arXiv:1702.04620v1 [math.NT] 15 Feb 2017

ON THE NON COMMUTATIVE IWASAWA MAIN CONJECTURE FOR ABELIAN VARIETIES OVER FUNCTION FIELDS.

FABIEN TRIHAN AND DAVID VAUCLAIR

Abstract. We establish the Iwasawa main conjecture for semi-stable abelian varieties over a function field of characteristic p under certain restrictive assumptions. Namely we consider p-torsion free p-adic Lie extensions of the base field which contain the constant $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension and are everywhere unramified. Under the classical $\mu = 0$ hypothesis we give a proof which mainly relies on the interpretation of the Selmer complex in terms of p-adic cohomology [TV] together with the trace formulas of [EL1].

Contents

1. Introduction 2
Outline of the paper 3
2. Sheaves and cohomology 5
2.1. The formalism of localization in a topos 5
2.2. Bivariant systems of sheaves 8
2.3. The trace isomorphism for locally constant morphisms 13
2.4. From sheaves to bivariant functors 16
2.5. From bivariant functors to normic systems 21
2.6. Descent, codescent and duality 28
3. K-theory for $\Lambda(G)$ 30
3.1. Review of K_0 and K_1 30
3.2. The determinant functor 31
3.3. Localization 33
3.4. The evaluation map at Artin representations 35
4. Selmer complexes and crystalline cohomology 39
4.1. Preliminaries 39
4.2. Flat cohomology of C vanishing at Z 40
4.3. Selmer complexes 41
4.4. Crystalline syntomic complexes 51
5. The Main conjecture 53
5.1. The constant $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension 53
5.2. The case of a p-adic Lie extension 56
References 61

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11S40 (primary), 11R23, 11R34, 11R42, 11R58, 11G05, 11G10 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. abelian variety, Iwasawa theory, p-adic cohomology, syntomic, non-commutative.

1. Introduction

Consider a function field K of characteristic p, C the corresponding proper smooth geometrically irreducible curve over a finite field k and an abelian variety A/K with Neron model $\mathcal{A}/C$. Assume for simplicity that A has good reduction everywhere and that the Hasse-Weil L function of A/K does not vanish at $s = 1$. In this situation the BSD conjecture predicts that each group involved in the right hand term below is finite and that the following formula holds (where $\hat{A}$ denotes the dual abelian variety):

$$L(A/K,1) = \frac{\#Ш(A/K)}{\#A(K)\#\hat{A}(K)}.\frac{\#H^0(C,Lie(\mathcal{A}))}{\#H^1(C,Lie(\mathcal{A}))} \tag{1}$$

Let us restrict our attention to the p-adic valuations on both sides. There are natural complexes of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules $R\Gamma(C,T_p(\mathcal{A}))$, $R\Gamma(C,Lie(\mathcal{A}))$ whose cohomology groups are the p-part of the groups appearing above. These complexes thus become acyclic after extension of scalars to $\mathbb{Q}_p$ hence produce classes in $K_0(\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Looking at $L(A/K,1)$ as an element of $K_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq {\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times$ the p-part of (1) simply becomes

$$\partial(L(A/K,1)) = [R\Gamma(C,T_p(\mathcal{A}))] + [R\Gamma(C,Lie(\mathcal{A}))] \tag{2}$$

where $\partial : K_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to K_0(\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is the connecting map of lower K-theory (ie. the p-adic valuation $v_p : {\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times \to \mathbb{Z}$). As explained in [KT] the complexes appearing on the right hand side can be related to p-adic (crystalline and then rigid) cohomology and the trace formulae which are known in this context are then sufficient to actually prove (2).

The main purpose of this paper is to establish a similar statement in the setting of non commutative Iwasawa theory. We don't assume that $L(A/K,1) \neq 0$ anymore and A is now allowed to have semi-stable reduction at some given set Z of points of C. Consider a Galois extension K_∞/K which contains the constant $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension Kk_∞/K, is unramified everywhere, and whose Galois group $G = limproj\, G_n$ is p-adic Lie without p-torsion. In that situation, we will form perfect complexes of modules over the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda(G) := limproj\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]$, $N_{K_\infty} := Rlimproj_n R\Gamma^{Z_n}(C_n,T_p(\mathcal{A}))$, $L_{K_\infty} := Rlimproj_n R\Gamma^{Z_n}(C_n,Lie(\mathcal{A}))$ where $R\Gamma^{Z_n}(C_n,-)$ is the functor of cohomology vanishing at Z_n (see. section 4 for a precise definition and the relation to usual Selmer complexes) and is designed to take out the contribution at bad points. A significant difference with the BSD statement is that here the cohomology $\Lambda(G)$-modules of these complexes are expected to be torsion even if $L(A/K,1) = 0$. We will prove this unconditionally in the setting of the constant $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension and this will produce classes in $K_0(\Lambda(\Gamma),Frac(\Lambda(\Gamma)))$, where $\Gamma = Gal(Kk_\infty/K)$. To go from this case to the general one, we follow the strategy of [CFKSV] which unfortunately requires an extra assumption namely the usual $\mu = 0$ hypothesis. When it is verified we will get classes in $K_0(\Lambda(G),\Lambda(G)_{S^*})$ where S^* is the so called canonical Ore set of *loc. cit.*. The Iwasawa main conjecture then predicts the existence of a canonical element $\mathcal{L} \in K_1(\Lambda(G)_{S^*})$ which is such that

$$\partial\mathcal{L} = [N_{K_\infty}] + [L_{K_\infty}] \tag{3}$$

where $\partial : K_1(\Lambda(G)_{S^*}) \to K_0(\Lambda(G),\Lambda(G)_{S^*}$ denotes the connecting map in lower K-theory, and which satisfies the interpolation property

$$\rho(\mathcal{L}) = L^Z(A/K,\rho^\vee,1) \tag{4}$$

where $(-)^\vee$ denotes the contragredient, ρ runs through Artin representations of G and $\rho(-) : K_1(\Lambda(G)_{S^*}) \to L^\times \cup \{0, \infty\}$ denotes the corresponding evaluation map defined in *loc. cit.* (cf. section 3 for explanations). Our main result (theorem 5.14) yields such an element $\mathcal{L}$ satisfying (3) and (4) for Artin representations whose field of definition is an extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ which is totally ramified at p. We also give a similar statement involving complexes $N^*_{K_\infty}$ and $L^*_{K_\infty}$ which are dual to N_{K_∞} and L_{K_∞}.

In section 5 the construction of $\mathcal{L}$, the proof that N_{K_∞} is torsion and the proof of (3) are simultaneous and rely essentially on the comparison theorem of [TV] between flat and crystalline cohomology. The proof of (4) relies on the comparison of crystalline and rigid cohomology [LST] together with the trace formula for the latter and the descent properties of the Iwasawa complexes along the tower K_∞/K (section 2). Since we can invoke directly the needed comparison results from the litterature, the proof of 5.14 itself turns out to be rather straightforward once appropriate tools are at hand. A significant part of this paper is thus devoted to review and or build such tools as explained in the detailed overview below.

Outline of the paper.

Section 2. Defining the complexes N_{K_∞}, L_{K_∞} or $N^*_{K_\infty}$, $L^*_{K_\infty}$ occurring in the main conjecture (3) involves forming projective limits along the galois tower formed by the C_n's or alternatively inductive limits and taking duals. In order to perform these operations a convenient framework is given by the derived category of normic systems $D^b(\underline{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod))$ defined and studied in [Va]. A normic system is a collection M_n of G_n-modules together with equivariant morphisms $M_n \to M_m$, $M_m \to M_n$ satisfying a natural compatibility (def. 2.11). The purpose of this section is to show that the collection of derived functors $R\Gamma(C_n, -)$ comes from a functor $R\Gamma(\underline{C}, -)$ with values in $D^b(\underline{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod))$.

We place ourself in a setting which is general enough to handle the various cohomology theories (flat, étale, crystalline...) involved here. Namely we show (thm. 2.20) that in a ringed locally connected topos (E, A) any pro-torsor $\underline{X} = (X_n, G_n)_n$ gives rise to a functor

$$\Gamma(\underline{X}, -) : S_A(E) \to \underline{G}(\Gamma(E, A)\text{-}mod) \tag{5}$$

from the category of A-modules of E to that of normic systems of $\Gamma(E, A)$-modules. This functor is defined in several steps involving intermediate categories. First we consider the category $BS_A(E)$ of bivariant systems of A-modules where an object $\underline{F}$ is a collection $F_X \in S_A(X)$ (the category of modules over X) for varying X, together with functoriality morphisms in both directions $F_X \to f^*F_Y$, $f^*F_Y \to F_X$ satisfying natural compatibilities (see def. 2.1). An object of $BS_A(E)$ can be obtained by considering all restrictions of a given module of (E, A). A surprising fact is that all bivariant systems are in fact of this form. Next we define the category of bivariant functors $Bi_E(E^{flc}, S_A(E))$ where an object $\underline{B}$ is a collection $B(X) \in S_A(E)$ (here the category is independant of X) indexed by the locally constant objects of X together with functoriality morphisms $B(X) \to B(Y)$, $B(Y) \to B(X)$ subject to some compatibilities. We will prove in 2.7 that a bivariant system $\underline{F}$ naturally gives rise to a bivariant functor $\underline{B}$ by setting $B(X) := x_*F_X$ where x is the structural map of X. This is the crucial point of this section and relies on the construction of a trace isomorphism (prop. 2.5) which yields in particular a trace map $B(X) \to B(Y)$ along finite locally constant morphisms $f : X \to Y$. Next we study and relate bivariant functors to normic systems in the case of the classifying topos B_G

and we finally get the desired functor (5) by contravariant functoriality of the category $Bi_{(-)}((-)^{flc}, S_A(-))$ along the morphism of topoi $E \to B_G$ provided by the pro-torsor $\underline{X}$.

The final subsection collects the basic properties (descent, codescent, duality) of the Iwasawa complexes defined using the functor (5) with $A = \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Section 3. We review the basic facts from K-theory which are needed for our purpose. This involves mainly the determinant functor for perfect complexes from [Kn] and its behaviour with respect to distinguished triangles and localization following [FK]. We also discuss the evaluation map which appears in (4).

Section 4. We begin by recalling the definition of the derived functor of global sections vanishing at a closed subscheme which naturally appears in the comparison theorem of [TV]. Next we compare the complex of normic systems $R\Gamma^{\underline{Z}}(\underline{C}, T_p(\mathcal{A}))$ underlying N_{K_∞} to the usual Selmer complex of A/K. The definition of the latter is recalled and we prove a duality statement in the derived category. Finally we recall the comparison result of [TV]. The latter takes place in the small étale topos of C and only have to apply the normic section functor of section 2 in order to deduce the following fundamental distinguished triangles:

$$R\Gamma(\underline{C}^\sharp/\mathbb{Z}_p, Fil^1 D_{log}(\mathcal{A})(-Z)) \overset{\mathbf{1}}{\to} R\Gamma(\underline{C}^\sharp/\mathbb{Z}_p, D_{log}(\mathcal{A})(-Z)) \to R\Gamma(\underline{C}, Lie(\mathcal{A})(-Z)) \overset{+1}{\to}$$
$$R\Gamma^{\underline{Z}}(\underline{C}, T_p(\mathcal{A})) \to R\Gamma(\underline{C}^\sharp/\mathbb{Z}_p, Fil^1 D_{log}(\mathcal{A})(-Z)) \overset{\mathbf{1}-\phi}{\to} R\Gamma(\underline{C}^\sharp/\mathbb{Z}_p, D_{log}(\mathcal{A})(-Z)) \overset{+1}{\to}$$

Here ϕ is a semi-linear map such that $\phi\mathbf{1} = pFrob$. The properties of the normic section functor moreover yield immediately some nice properties such as derived descent and codescent along K_∞/K.

Section 5. We put everything together in order to prove the Iwasawa main conjecture. The third term of the first distinguished triangle above is a k-vector space and the arrow denoted $\mathbf{1}$ thus becomes invertible after inverting S^*. Whence an endomorphism $(\mathbf{1} - \phi)_{\mathbf{S}^*}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{S}^*}^{-\mathbf{1}}$ acting on the localization P_{K_∞,S^*} of

$$P_{K_\infty} := R\underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim} R\Gamma(C_n^\sharp/\mathbb{Z}_p, D_{log}(\mathcal{A})(-Z))$$

In the case where $G = \Gamma$, the base change formula in crystalline cohomology together with a semi-linear argument shows that the first term in the second distinguished triangle above vanishes as well after S^*-localization. In the general case, an argument using Nakayama's lemma ensures that it is still the case under the $\mu = 0$ assumption. The endomorphism $(\mathbf{1} - \phi)_{S^*}\mathbf{1}_{S^*}^{-1}$ is thus invertible, allowing us to *define* $\mathcal{L} \in K_1(\Lambda_{S^*})$ as its determinant, rendering (3) almost tautological. Let us hint the idea of proof of (4). Using the descent properties of the normic section functor together with the comparison between crystalline and rigid cohomology from [LST], we prove an isomorphism

$$L\overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda_O(G)}(V_{\rho,\Lambda_O(G)})^*\overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G)}P_{K_\infty} \simeq R\Gamma_{rig,c}(U/L, pr^*D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho^\vee)) \tag{6}$$

where $U(\rho^\vee)$ denotes the unipotent convergent isocrystal associated to ρ (prop. 5.15). On the one hand the trace formula in rigid cohomology shows that $L^Z(A/K, \rho^\vee, 1)$ coincides with the alternated product of the determinants of $1 - p^{-1}Frob$ acting on the cohomology L-vector spaces of the right hand side. Since we have no morphism from Λ_{S^*} to L we may not use directly the base change property of the Det functor together with a localized

version of (6) in order to relate the action of $1 - p^{-1}Frob$ on the right hand side with $\rho(\mathcal{L})$. We turn this difficulty by investigating carefully the spectral sequence of codescent along Kk_∞/K with the definition of the evaluation map in mind.

Acknowledgements. The first author is supported by JSPS.

2. Sheaves and cohomology

The purpose of this section is to show that under reasonable conditions the cohomology of a topos along a galois tower with group G naturally gives rise to complexes of $\Lambda(G)$-modules satisfying natural properties such as derived descent, codescent and duality along the tower. This goal is achieved in 2.6.

2.1. **The formalism of localization in a topos.**

Consider a ringed topos (E, A), and let $S_A(X)$ denote the category of $A_{|X}$-modules of $E_{/X}$, for any object X of E. After SGA 4, exp. III and IV, let us review some basic facts regarding the functorial behaviour of the maps $S_A : X \mapsto S_A(X)$ (properties (**S0**-A, B) below) and $S : (E, A) \mapsto S_A$ (properties (**S1**-A, B, C)).

Let $\mathfrak{Tr}(\mathfrak{Add})$ denote the 2-category where objects are small additive categories and morphisms are triples of adjoint additive functors. The map $X \mapsto S_A(X)$ underlies an essentially canonical pseudo 2-functor $S_A : E \to \mathfrak{Tr}(\mathfrak{Add})$. This means the following.

(**S0**-A) *Every morphism $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ in E gives rise to a triple of localization adjoint functors $S_E(f) = (f_!, f^*, f_*) : S_A(X) \to S_A(Y)$. For $f = id_X$, one has $f_! = f^* = f_! = id_{S(X)}$.*
(**S0**-B) *Every couple of composable morphisms (g, f) of E comes with a composition isomorphism $\alpha^*_{g,f} : f^*g^* \simeq (gf)^*$. If f or g is an identity morphism, then $\alpha^*_{g,f} = id_{(fg)_*}$. Also, for h, g, f composable, the associativity constraint holds: $(\alpha^*_{h,gf})(\alpha^*_{g,f}.id_{h^*}) = (\alpha^*_{hg,f})(id_{f^*}.\alpha^*_{h,g})$.*

The properties ($S0$-A) and ($S0$-B) formally imply the following:
- There are canonical families of composition isomorphisms $\alpha_{!g,f} : (gf)_! \simeq g_!f_!$ and $\alpha_{*g,f} : (gf)_* \simeq g_*f_*$ which are respectively deduced from α^* by left and right transposition. They verify conditions which are similar to ($S0$-B). Note that tautologically, $\alpha^*_{g,f}$ and $\alpha_{*g,f}$ define a isomorphism of adjunctions $(g^*, g_*)(f^*, f_*) \simeq ((gf)^*, (gf)_*)$, in particular the two obvious morphisms $(gf)^*(gf)_* \to id$ (the one using the adjunction $((gf)^*, (gf)_*)$ and the one using composition isomorphisms together with the adjunctions (f^*, f_*), (g^*, g_*)) coincide. The same remark holds for $\alpha_{!g,f}$ and $\alpha^*_{g,f}$.
- For $fg' = gf'$ there is a canonical base change morphism $ch^*_{g,f,f',g'} : g^*f_* \to f'_*g'^*$ defined using adjunctions and composition isomorphisms as follows:

$$g^*f_* \to (f'_*f'^*)(g^*f_*)(g'_*g'^*) \simeq f'_*(h^*h_*)g'^* \to f'_*g'^*$$

where $h := fg' = gf'$. As checked easily, the two other alternative natural definitions for $ch^*_{g,f,f',g'}$ (using adjunction attached to f and f', resp. g and g', instead of h) coincide

with this one. Moreover ch^* is compatible with composition in the sense that all morphisms $(g_2g_1)^*(f_2f_1)_* \to (f_2''f_1'')_*(g_2''g_1'')^*$ built up from the base change and composition morphisms arising from a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \xrightarrow{g_1''} & & \xrightarrow{g_2''} & \\ f_1'' \downarrow & & f_1' \downarrow & & f_1 \downarrow \\ & \xrightarrow{g_1'} & & \xrightarrow{g_2'} & \\ f_2'' \downarrow & & f_2' \downarrow & & f_2 \downarrow \\ & \xrightarrow{g_1} & & \xrightarrow{g_2} & \end{array}$$

coincide.

- By transposition, ch^* produces another family of base change morphisms $ch_{!g',f',f,g} : g'_!f'^* \to f^*g_!$ verifying similar properties. Alternatively, $ch_!$ could be defined directly using adjunction and composition isomorphisms. Both definitions coincide.

Although it does not follow formally from $(S0\text{-}A, B)$, the base change morphism $ch^*_{g,f,f',g'}$ (and hence also $ch_{!g',f',f,g}$) turns out to be an isomorphism as soon as the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{g'} & \\ f' \downarrow & & f \downarrow \\ & \xrightarrow{g} & \end{array}$$

is cartesian. This comes from the following facts:

- $S_A : E \to \mathfrak{T}r(\mathfrak{A}dd)$ is related to the pseudo 2-functor $E_{/(-)} : E \to \mathfrak{T}r(\mathfrak{C}at)$ ($\mathfrak{C}at$ the 2-category of small categories). More precisely, $(f_*, f^*) : S_A(X) \to S_A(Y)$ is induced by the corresponding morphism of topoi $E_{/X} \to E_{/Y}$ (whereas $f_! : S_A(X) \to S_A(Y)$ is not induced by the corresponding forgetful functor $f_\circ : E_{/X} \to E_{/Y}$. Note that $f_\circ$ is often denoted $f_!$ as well in the literature; we will refrain ourself from using this notation in order to avoid confusions).

- The analogous statement (about cartesian base change being an isomorphism) is trivially true for $E_{/(-)}$.

Let us now consider a morphism of ringed topoi $(\pi, \theta) : (E, A) \to (E', A')$ (ie. $\pi = (\pi^{-1}, \pi_*) : E \to E'$ and $\theta : \pi^{-1}A' \to A$). Then there is a (essentially canonical) pseudo-transformation of pseudo 2-functors $S_{\pi,\theta} : S_A\pi^{-1} \to S_{A'}$ which is the identity if (π, θ) is. This means the following.

(**S1**-A) *Every object X' of E' comes with an adjunction $\pi_{X'} = (\pi^*_{X'}, \pi_{X'*}) : S_A(X) \to S_{A'}(X')$ where X denotes $\pi^{-1}X'$. If $\pi^{-1} = \pi_* = id_E$ and $\theta = id_A$, then $\pi_{X'*} = \pi^*_{X'} = id_{S_A(X')}$*

(**S1**-B) *Every morphism $f' : X' \to Y'$ comes with a functoriality isomorphism $\beta_{*f'} : \pi_{Y'*}f_* \simeq f'_*\pi_{X'*}$ where $f : X \to Y$ denotes $\pi^{-1}f' : \pi^{-1}X' \to \pi^{-1}Y'$. If $f' = id_{X'}$, or $\pi^* = \pi_* = id_E$, then $\beta_{*f'} = id_{f'_*\pi_{X'*}}$. For $f' : X' \to Y'$, $g' : Y' \to Z'$, $f = \pi^{-1}f'$, $g = \pi^{-1}g'$, the composition constraint holds: $(\beta_{*g'f'})(id_{\pi_{Z'*}}.\alpha_{*g,f}) = (\alpha_{*g',f'}.id_{\pi_{X'*}})(id_{g'_*}.\beta_{*f'})(\beta_{*\pi,g'}.id_{f_*})$.*

The axioms $(S1\text{-}A)$ and $(S1\text{-}B)$ formally imply the following:

- There is a canonical family of functoriality isomorphisms $\beta^*_{f'} : \pi^*_{X'}f'^* \simeq f^*\pi^*_{Y'}$, deduced from β_* by transposition. Those verify conditions similar to $(S1\text{-}B)$.

- For $f' : X' \to Y'$ sent to $f : X \to Y$ by π^{-1}, there is a canonical base change morphism $ch^*_{f'} : f'^*\pi_{Y'*} \to \pi_{X'*}f^*$ (resp. $ch_{*f'} : \pi^*_{Y'}f'_* \to f_*\pi^*_{X'}$) defined using adjunction and functoriality isomorphism as follows

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & f'^*\pi_{Y'*} & \to & (f'^*\pi_{Y'*})(f_*f^*) & \simeq & (f'^*f'_*)(\pi_{X'*}f^*) & \to & \pi_{X'*}f^* \\ \text{(resp.} & \pi^*_{Y'}f'_* & \to & (f_*f^*)(\pi^*_{Y'}f'_*) & \simeq & (f_*\pi^*_{X'})(f'^*f'_*) & \to & f_*\pi^*_{X'} \quad). \end{array}$$

As checked easily, introducing $\pi_{X'*}\pi^*_{X'}$ instead f_*f^* gives the same morphism. For $g' : Y' \to Z'$ sent to $g : Y \to Z$ by π^{-1}, the following composition constraint holds:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} (ch^*_{g'f'})(\alpha^*_{g',f'}.id_{\pi_{Z'*}}) & = & (id_{\pi_{X'*}}.\alpha^*_{g,f})(ch^*_{f'}.id_{g^*})(id_{f'^*}.ch^*_{g'}) \\ \text{(resp.} \quad (ch_{*g'f'})(id_{\pi^*_{Z'}}.\alpha_{*,g',f'}) & = & (\alpha_{*g,f}.id_{\pi^*_{X'}})(id_{g_*}.ch_{*f'})(ch_{*g'}.id_{f'_*}) \quad). \end{array}$$

- These base change morphisms for (π, θ) are compatible with the base change morphisms for localization morphisms: if the right square below is commutative and has image the left one by π^{-1}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{g_2} & X \\ {\scriptstyle f_2}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g_1} & T \end{array} \qquad\qquad \begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \xrightarrow{g'_2} & X' \\ {\scriptstyle f'_2}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'_1} \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g'_1} & T' \end{array}$$

then the following compatibility holds:

$$\begin{array}{l} (id_{f'_{2*}}.ch^*_{g'_2})(ch^*_{g'_1,f'_1,f'_2,g'_2}.id_{\pi_{X'*}})(id_{g'^*_1}.\beta_{*f'_1}) = (\beta_{*f'_2}.id_{g^*_2})(id_{\pi_{Y'*}}.ch^*_{g_1,f_1,f_2,g_2})(ch^*_{g'_1}.id_{f_{1*}}) \\ \text{(resp. } (id_{f_{2*}}.\beta^*_{g'_2})(ch_{*f'_2}.id_{g'^*_2})(id_{\pi^*_{Y'}}.ch^*_{g'_1,f'_1,f'_2,g'_2}) = (ch^*_{g_1,f_1,f_2,g_2}.id_{\pi_{X'}})(id_{g^*_1}.ch_{*f'_1})(\beta^*_{g'_1}.id_{f'_{1*}}) \ ). \end{array}$$

- By transposition, ch^* produces another family of base change morphisms $ch_{!f'} : f_!\pi^*_{X'} \to \pi^*_{Y'}f'_!$ verifying similar properties. Alternatively $ch_!$ could be defined directly using adjunction and composition morphisms. Both definitions coincide.

Although its does not follow from $(S1\text{-}A, B)$, it turns out that $ch^*_{f'}$ and $ch_{!f'}$ always are isomorphisms. This relies on the analogous fact for the corresponding pseudo-transformation from $X' \to E_{/\pi^{-1}X'}$ to $X' \mapsto E'_{/X'}$ which in turn is trivial.

Next consider two morphisms of ringed topoi $(\pi, \theta) : E \to E'$, $\pi' : E' \to E''$ and denote (π^1, θ^1) their composition (ie. $\pi^1 = (\pi^{-1}\pi'^{-1}, \pi'_*\pi_*)$ and $\theta_1 = \theta\pi^{-1}(\theta')$). There is a canonical isomorphism $\gamma^1 : S_{\pi,\theta}S_{\pi',\theta} \simeq S_{\pi^1,\theta^1}$ which is the identity if (π, θ) or (π', θ') is. In concrete terms, if $S_\pi = (\pi, \beta)$, $S_{\pi'} = (\pi', \beta')$ and $S_{\pi^1} : (\pi^1, \beta^1)$, then:

(**S1**-*C*) *Every object X'' of E'' comes with an isomorphism $\gamma_{*X''} : \pi'_{X''*}\pi_{X'*} \simeq (\pi'\pi)_{X''*}$, where X', X respectively denote $\pi'^{-1}X''$, $\pi^{-1}X'$. If π or π' is an identity morphism, so is $\gamma_{*X''}$. For $f'' : X'' \to Y''$ of respective image $f' : X' \to Y'$, $f : X \to Y$ by π'^{-1}, $\pi^{-1}\pi'^{-1}$, the functoriality constraint holds: $(id_{f''_*}.\gamma_{*X''})((\beta'_{*f''}.id_{\pi_{X'*}})(id_{\pi'_{Y''*}}.\beta_{*f'})) = (\beta''_{X''})(\gamma_{*Y''}.id_{f_*})$.*

If now $\pi'' : E'' \to E'''$, $\pi_2 = \pi''\pi'$ and if γ_1 (resp. γ^2_* γ^3_*, γ^4_*) denotes the collection of isomorphisms relative to the composition of π' with π (resp. π'' with π', π'' with π^1, π^2 with π), then for X''' in E''' and $X'' = \pi''^{-1}X$, the associativity constraint holds: $(\gamma^3_{*X'''})(id_{\pi''_{X'''*}}.\gamma^1_{*X''}) = (\gamma^4_{*X'''})(\gamma^2_{*X'''}.id_{\pi_{X'*}})$

The axiom $(S1\text{-}C)$ formally implies the following:

- There is a canonical family of isomorphisms $\gamma^* : (\pi'\pi)^* \simeq \pi^*\pi'^*$ deduced from γ_* by transposition. Those satisfy a similar functoriality constraint. (In our case, it turns out that γ^* is even an equality, as follows from the definition of π^* and π'^*).

- The family of base change morphisms ch^* and ch'^* respectively attached to π and π' are compatible with the family ch^{1*} attached to $\pi^1 = \pi\pi'$. More precisely, if $f'' : X'' \to Y''$ is sent to $f' : X' \to Y'$ (resp. $f : X \to Y$) by π'^{-1} (resp. $\pi^{-1}\pi'^{-1}$) one has: $(\gamma_{*X''}.id_{f^*})((id_{\pi'_{X''_*}}.ch^*_{f'})(ch'^*_{f''}.id_{\pi_{Y'_*}})) = (ch^{1*}_{f''})(id_{f''^*}.\gamma_{*Y''})$.

2.2. **Bivariant systems of sheaves.**

We are now in position to define the category of bivariant systems of modules and to study its first properties.

Definition 2.1. *Consider a ringed topos (E, A). Given a subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of E, we define the following categories:*

(i) $PBS_A(\mathcal{C})$, the category of prebivariant systems of modules is defined as follows:

An object is a triple $\underline{F} = (F_\bullet, F^*, F_!)$ where

- $F_\bullet = (F_X)_{X \in Ob(\mathcal{C})}$ is a collection of modules with $F_X \in S_A(X)$.

- F^* sends a morphism $f : X \to Y$ of $\mathcal{C}$ to a morphism $F^*(f) : F_Y \to f_*F_X$ of $S_A(Y)$ which is the identity if f is.

- $F_!$ sends a morphism $s : Z \to T$ of $\mathcal{C}$ to a morphism $F_!(s) : F_Z \to s^*F_T$ of $S_A(Z)$ which is the identity if s is.

- (composition) F^* and $F_!$ verify the composition constraint:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha_{*g,f}F^*(gf) & = & g_*F^*(f)F^*(g) \quad \text{whenever } f, g \text{ are composable in } \mathcal{C} \\ F_!(ts) & = & \alpha^*_{t,s}s^*F_!(t)F_!(s) \quad \text{whenever } s, t \text{ are composable in } \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

A morphism $\underline{\phi} : \underline{F}_1 \to \underline{F}_2$ is a collection $(\phi_X)_{X \in Ob(\mathcal{C})}$ of morphisms $\phi_X : F_{1X} \to F_{2X}$ in $S_A(X)$, such that the following squares commute for any $f : X \to Y$, $s : S \to T$ in $\mathcal{C}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_*F_{1X} & \xrightarrow{f_*\phi_X} & f_*F_{2X} \\ {\scriptstyle F_1^*(f)}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle F_2^*(f)} \\ F_{1Y} & \xrightarrow{\phi_Y} & F_{2Y} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} F_{1S} & \xrightarrow{\phi_S} & F_{2S} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_{1!}(s)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_{2!}(s)} \\ s^*F_{1T} & \xrightarrow{s^*\phi_T} & s^*F_{2T} \end{array}$$

(ii) $BS_A(\mathcal{C})$, the category of bivariant systems of modules is the full subcategory of $PBS_A(\mathcal{C})$ whose objects are the $\underline{F}$'s verifying the following additional property:

- (base change) the right diagram below commutes as soon as the left one does.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{s_2} & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{s_1} & S \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} f_{2*}F_Z & \xrightarrow{f_{2*}F_!(s_2)} & f_{2*}s_2^*F_X & \xleftarrow{(ch^*_{s_1,f_1,f_2,s_2})_{F_X}} & s_1^*f_{1*}F_X \\ {\scriptstyle F^*(f_2)}\uparrow & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle s_1^*F^*(f_1)} \\ F_Y & & \xrightarrow{\qquad F_!(s_1) \qquad} & & s_1^*F_S \end{array}$$

By abuse of notation, we often refer to a prebivariant system of modules $\underline{F} = (F_\bullet, F^*, F_!)$ simply by the collection $F_\bullet$.

Let us point out two useful properties of a bivariant system of modules.

- Applying the base change property to the case $f_1 = s_1 = id_S$, $f_2 = s_2 = f : Z \to S$, resp. $f_2 = s_2 = id_Z$, $f_1 = s_1 = f : Z \to S$ we get that the following respective diagrams

commute:





(note that the direction of id_F really matters in the right diagram: it is not true in general that $F^*(f)F_!(f)adj_2 = id_{f^*f_*F_Z}$).

- From this, one easily deduce that $F_\bullet$ is compatible with finite direct sums. More precisely if $(\iota_i : X_i \to \sqcup_{j\in I} X_j)_{i\in I}$ represents a finite direct sum in E which lies in $\mathcal{C}$, then the following triangle commutes:



Moreover $F_!(\iota_i) : F_{X_i} \to \iota_i^* F_{\sqcup_j X_j}$ (and thus every arrow of the triangle) is in fact an isomorphism.

Next one can "dualize" the axioms of definition 2.1 by reversing arrows and following the "exchange rules" $f_* \leftrightarrow f_!$, $f^* \leftrightarrow f^*$, $F^* \leftrightarrow F_!$.

Definition 2.2. *Given a subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of E, we define the following categories:*

(i) $PB'S_A(\mathcal{C})$, the category of precobivariant systems of modules is defined as follows:

An object is a triple $\underline{F} = (F_\bullet, F_!, F^*)$ where

- *$F_\bullet = (F_X)_{X\in Ob(\mathcal{C})}$ is a collection of sheaves with $F_X \in S_A(X)$.*
- *$F_!$ sends a morphism $f : X \to Y$ of $\mathcal{C}$ to a morphism $F_!(f) : f_!F_X \to F_Y$ of $S_A(Y)$ which is the identity if f is.*
- *F^* sends a morphism $s : Z \to T$ of $\mathcal{C}$ to a morphism $F^*(s) : s^*F_T \to F_Z$ of $S_A(Z)$ which is the identity if s is.*
- *(composition) F^* and $F_!$ verify the composition constraint:*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F_!(gf) & = & F_!(g)g_!F_!(f)\alpha_{!g,f} \quad \text{whenever } f,g \text{ are composable in } \mathcal{C} \\ F^*(ts)\alpha^*_{t,s} & = & F^*(s)s^*F^*(t) \quad \text{whenever } s,t \text{ are composable in } \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

A morphism $\underline{\phi} : \underline{F}_1 \to \underline{F}_2$ is a collection $(\phi_X)_{X\in Ob(\mathcal{C})}$ of morphisms $\phi_X : F_{1X} \to F_{2X}$ in $S_A(X)$, such that the following squares commute for any $f : X \to Y$, $s : S \to T$ in $\mathcal{C}$:





(ii) $B'S_A(\mathcal{C})$, the category of cobivariant systems of modules is the full subcategory of $PB'S_A(\mathcal{C})$ whose objects are the $\underline{F}$'s verifying the following additional property:

- *(base change) the right diagram below commutes as soon as the left one does.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{s_2} & X \\ {\scriptstyle f_2}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{s_1} & S \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} f_{2!}F_Z & \xleftarrow{f_{2!}F^*(s_2)} & f_{2!}s_2^*F_X & \xrightarrow{(ch_{!f_2,s_2,s_1,f_1})_{F_X}} & s_1^*f_{1!}F_X \\ {\scriptstyle F_!(f_2)}\downarrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_1^*F_!(f_1)} \\ F_Y & & \xleftarrow{\qquad F^*(s_1)\qquad} & & s_1^*F_S \end{array}$$

A very pleasant fact is that $BS_A(E)$ and $B'S_A(E)$ are in fact the same category. Quite surprisingly, this category turns out to be equivalent to the category $S_A(E)$ of A-modules of E itself.

Proposition 2.3. *(i) Let e denote the final object of E. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a subcategory of E in which e lies and is final, then there is an isomorphism of categories*

$$^t(-) : PBS_A(\mathcal{C}) \to PB'S_A(\mathcal{C})$$

which sends a prebivariant system $\underline{F} : (F_\bullet, F^*, F_!)$ to a precobivariant one $^t\underline{F} = (F_\bullet, {}^tF_!, {}^tF^*)$ where for any $f : X \to Y$, $^tF_!(f) : f_!F_X \to F_Y$ (resp. $^tF^*(f) : f^*F_Y \to F_X$) is left transposed to $F_!(f) : F_X \to f^*F_Y$ (resp. $F^*(f) : F_Y \to f_*F_X$). The reciprocal isomorphism of categories, given by right transposition, is denoted $(-)^t$.

(ii) The functor $^t(-)$ induces an isomorphism between $BS_A(\mathcal{C})$ and $B'S_A(\mathcal{C})$ and fits into a strictly commutative triangle of adjunctions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & B'S_A(\mathcal{C}) \\ & \swarrow^{(^t(-),(-)^t)} & \searrow^{((-)'^*,(-)'_e)} \\ BS_A(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{((-)^*,(-)_e)} & S_A(\mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

where $((-)^*, (-)_e)$ is an equivalence of categories such that $(-)_e\underline{F} = F_e$ and $(-)^*F = (F_\bullet, F^*, F_!)$ where $F_X = x^*F$, $x : X \to e$.

Proof. (i) is easily verified. The shortest way for proving (ii) is to notice that $BS_A(\mathcal{C})$ and $B'S_A(\mathcal{C})$ are respectively closed under isomorphisms inside $PBS_A(\mathcal{C})$ and $PB'S_A(\mathcal{C})$ and to establish that there indeed exist functors $(-)^* : S_A(\mathcal{C}) \to PBS_A(\mathcal{C})$, $(-)'^* := {}^t(-)(-)^* : S_A(E) \to PB'S_A(\mathcal{C})$ which respectively induce an equivalence of $S_A(E)$ with the subcategory $BS_A(\mathcal{C})$, $B'S_A(\mathcal{C})$ and with $(-)_e$, $(-)'_e := (-)_e{}^t(-) = (-)_e$ as respective quasi inverse.

The functor $(-)^*$ (resp. $(-)'^*$) sends an object F of $S_A(\mathcal{C})$ to the prebivariant system $\underline{F} = (F_\bullet, F^*, F_!)$ (resp. precobivariant system $^t\underline{F} = (F_\bullet, {}^tF_!, {}^tF^*)$) where $F_X = x^*F_e$ and $F^*(f)$, $F_!(f)$ are defined as follows for $f : X \to Y$:

$$\begin{array}{rrcccl} & F^*(f) & : & y^*F & \overset{(ch^*_{y,id_E,f,x})_F}{\to} & f_*x^*F \\ & F_!(f) & : & x^*F & \overset{(\alpha^*_{y,f})^{-1}_F}{\to} & f^*y^*F \\ (\text{ resp. } & {}^tF_!(f) & : & f_!y^*F & \overset{(ch_{!f,y,x,id_e})_F}{\to} & x^*F \\ & {}^tF^*(f) & : & f^*y^*F & \overset{(\alpha^*_{y,f})_F}{\to} & x^*F \quad) \end{array}$$

It is straightforward to check the base change property and we thus get the desired functor $(-)^*$ (resp. $(-)'^*$).

Next we indicate how to build the adjunction morphisms. For F in $S_A(E)$, $F \to (-)_*(-)^*F$ (resp. $F \to (-)'_e(-)'^*F$) is the identity (here E is identified with $E_{/e}$). For $\underline{F} \in BS_A(E)$ (resp. $\underline{F} \in B'S_A(\mathcal{C})$), $(-)^*(-)_*F \to \underline{F}$ (resp. $(-)'^*(-)'_eF \to F$) is defined by the collection of morphisms ${}^tF^*(x) : x^*F_e \to F_X$, whose compatibility with F^* and $F_!$ (resp. ${}^tF_!$ and ${}^tF^*$) is easily checked. This is in fact an isomorphism, and its inverse is given by the collection $F_!(x) : F_X \to x^*F_e$, as implied by the axiom (*base change*) for $\underline{F}$ (resp. ${}^t\underline{F}$) and the commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{id} & X \\ {\scriptstyle id}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle x} \\ X & \xrightarrow{x} & e \end{array} \qquad\qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{x} & e \\ {\scriptstyle x}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} \\ e & \xrightarrow{id} & e \end{array}$$

□

The equivalence just described between $BS_A(\mathcal{C})$ and $S_A(\mathcal{C})$ has numerous immediate implications. For instance:

(i) The category $BS_A(\mathcal{C})$ is canonically a complete monoidal category, ie. has tensor products $\otimes$ and inner homomorphisms $\mathcal{H}om$ satisfying natural conditions.

(ii) Any morphism of ringed topoi: $(\pi, \theta) : E \to E'$ such that $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$ induces a canonical adjunction $\pi : BS_A(\mathcal{C}) \to BS_{A'}(\mathcal{C}')$.

Nevertheless, the "operations" defined in this way are not so natural (for instance, the identity of E does not induce the identity of $BS_A(\mathcal{C})$). Also, it can be psychologically (and technically) helpful to replace them with more natural ones in particular cases. Below are some constructions which rely on the isomorphism between $BS_A(\mathcal{C})$ and $B'S_A(\mathcal{C})$:

(i) Consider a fixed object F of $S_A(E)$.

- Thanks to the compatibility of inner homomorphism groups with localization, there is a contravariant functor $B'S_A(E) \to BS_A(E)$, $(F_\bullet, F_!, F^*) \mapsto (F'_\bullet, F'^*, F'_!)$ where $F'_X = \mathcal{H}om_{S_A(X)}(F_X, x^*F)$, and where for $f : X \to Y$, $F'^*(f)$ and $F'_!(f)$ are respectively described by composition as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} F'^*(f) : \mathcal{H}om_{S_A(Y)}(F_Y, y^*F) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{S_A(Y)}(f_!F_X, y^*F) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & f_*\mathcal{H}om_{S_A(X)}(F_X, x^*F) \\ F'_!(f) : \mathcal{H}om_{S_A(X)}(F_X, x^*F) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{S_A(X)}(f^*F_Y, x^*F) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{} & f^*\mathcal{H}om_{S_A(Y)}(F_Y, y^*F) \end{array}$$

Identifying $BS_A(E)$ and $B'S_A(E)$ via transposition, we thus get a functor $BS_A(E) \to BS_A(E)$, which will be denoted $\underline{\mathcal{H}om}(-, F)$. We leave it to the reader to check that this functor is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}om(-, (-)^*F)$, where $\mathcal{H}om$ here means the inner homomorphisms bifunctor mentioned above.

- Similarly, one can use compatibility of tensor products with localization to define a functor $(-)\underline{\otimes}F : B'S_A(E) \to B'S_A(E)$ (use the projection formula), and thus $BS_A(E) \to BS_A(E)$. This functor is canonically isomorphic to $(-) \otimes (-)^*F$ where $\otimes$ here means the tensor product bifunctor mentioned above.

(ii) Concerning functoriality with respect to a morphism of ringed topoi, we will make use of the following construction.

Proposition 2.4. *Consider a morphism of ringed topoi $(\pi, \theta) : (E, A) \to (E', A')$.*

(i) If π^{-1} induces a functor $\mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{C}$ then (π, θ) induces a functor

$$\underline{\pi}_* : BS_A(\mathcal{C}) \to BS_{A'}(\mathcal{C}')$$

sending $\underline{F} = (F_\bullet, F^*, F_!)$ to a bivariant system of modules $\underline{F'} = (F'_\bullet, F'^*, F'_!)$ satisfying $F'_{X'} := \pi_{X'*}F_{\pi^{-1}X'}$.

(ii) If now π^{-1} induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{C}' \simeq \mathcal{C}$ then (π, θ) induces a functor

$$\underline{\pi}^* : BS_{A'}(\mathcal{C}') \to BS_A(\mathcal{C})$$

which is left adjoint to $\underline{\pi}_*$ and sends $\underline{F}' = (F'_\bullet, F'^*, F'_!)$ to a bivariant system of modules $\underline{F} = (F_\bullet, F^*, F_!)$ such that $F_{\pi^{-1}X'} := \pi'^*_{X'} F'_{X'}$.

Proof. (i) In order to define $\underline{F}' = \underline{\pi}_* \underline{F}$ we have to specify F'^* and $F'_!$. For a morphism $f' : X' \to Y'$ (resp. $s' : Z' \to T'$) in $\mathcal{C}'$, of image $f : X \to Y$ (resp. $s : Z \to T$) by π^{-1} in $\mathcal{C}$, we define $F'^*(f')$ (resp. $F'_!(s')$) as the following composed morphism of $S_{A'}(Y')$ (resp. $S_{A'}(Z')$):

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} F'^*(f') & : & \pi_{Y'*}F_Y & \overset{\pi_{Y'*}F^*(f)}{\to} & \pi_{Y'*}f_*F_X & \overset{(\beta_{*f'})_{F_X}}{\underset{\sim}{\to}} & f'_*\pi_{X'*}F_X \\ (\text{resp. } F'_!(s') & : & \pi_{Z'*}F_Z & \overset{\pi_{Z'*}F_!(s)}{\to} & \pi_{Z'*}s^*F_T & \overset{(ch^*_{s'})^{-1}_{F_T}}{\underset{\sim}{\to}} & s'^*\pi_{T'*}F_T \quad) \end{array}$$

The compatibility of F'^* (resp. $F'_!$) with composition is easily deduced from that of F^* (resp. $F_!$) together with the composition constraint on β_* (resp. ch^*). The compatibility with finite direct sums is also straightforward, using only the definition of ch^*. Let us now check in details that the base change property holds for F'^* and $F_!$. Fix a commutative square in E' on the right and consider its image by π^{-1} on the left.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{s_2} & X \\ {\scriptstyle f_2}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{s_1} & S \end{array} \qquad\qquad \begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \xrightarrow{s'_2} & X' \\ {\scriptstyle f'_2}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'_1} \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{s'_1} & S' \end{array}$$

We need to check that the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f'_{2*}F'_{Z'} & & \xrightarrow{f'_{2*}F'_!(s'_2)} & & f'_{2*}s'^*_2F'_{X'} \xleftarrow{(ch^*_{s'_1,f'_1,f'_2,s'_2})_{F'_{X'}}} s'^*_1 f'_{1*}F'_{X'} \\ {\scriptstyle F'^*(f'_2)}\uparrow & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle s'^*_1F'^*(f'_1)} \\ F'_{Y'} & & \xrightarrow{\qquad F'_!(s'_1) \qquad} & & s'^*_1F'_{S'} \end{array}$$

ie. the exterior boundary of the following bigger one:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} f'_{2*}\pi_{Z'*}F_Z & \xrightarrow{f'_{2*}\pi_{Z'*}F_!(s_2)} & f'_{2*}\pi_{Z'*}s^*_2F_X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{(id_{f'_{2*}}.ch^*_{s'_2})^{-1}_{F_X}} & f'_{2*}s'^*_2\pi_{X'*}F_X & \xleftarrow{(ch^*_{s'_1,f'_1,f'_2,s'_2}.id_{\pi_{X'*}})_{F_X}} & s'^*_1f'_{1*}\pi_{X'*}F_X \\ {\scriptstyle (\beta_{*f'_2})_{F_Z}}\uparrow\sim & & {\scriptstyle (\beta_{*f'_2}.id_{s^*_2})_{F_X}}\uparrow & & & & \sim\uparrow{\scriptstyle (id_{s'^*_1}.\beta_{*f'_1})_{F_X}} \\ \pi_{Y'*}f_{2*}F_Z & \xrightarrow{\pi_{Y'*}f_{2*}F_!(s_2)} & \pi_{Y'*}f_{2*}s^*_2F_X & \xleftarrow{(id_{\pi_{Y'*}}.ch^*_{s_1,f_1,f_2,s_2})_{F_X}} & \pi_{Y'*}s^*_1f_{1*}F_X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{(ch^*_{s'_1}.id_{f_{1*}})^{-1}_{F_X}} & s'^*_1\pi_{S'*}f_{1*}F_X \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_{Y'*}F^*(f_2)}\uparrow & & & & {\scriptstyle \pi_{Y'*}s^*_1F^*(f_1)}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle s'^*_1\pi_{S'*}F^*(f_1)} \\ \pi_{Y'*}F_Y & & \xrightarrow{\qquad \pi_{Y'*}F_!(s_1) \qquad} & & \pi_{Y'*}s^*_1F_S & \xrightarrow[\sim]{(ch^*_{s'_1})^{-1}_{F_S}} & s'^*_1\pi_{S'*}F_S \end{array}$$

commutes. This is indeed the case, since:

- the top left and bottom right squares are commutative, thanks to that $\beta_{*f'_2}$ and $ch^*_{s'_1}$ being respectively natural transformations;

- the bottom left pentagon is commutative thanks to the base change property for F^* and $F_!$;

- the top right hexagon commutes thanks to the compatibility between base change for (π, θ) and for localization, which precisely states that

$$(id_{f'_{2*}}.ch^*_{s'_2})(ch^*_{s'_1,f'_1,f'_2,s'_2}.id_{\pi_{X'*}})(id_{s'^*_1}.\beta_{*f'_1}) = (\beta_{*f'_2}.id_{s^*_2})(id_{\pi_{Y'*}}.ch^*_{s_1,f_1,f_2,s_2})(ch^*_{s'_1}.id_{f_{1*}})$$

If now $\underline{\phi} = (\phi_X) : \underline{F}_1 \to \underline{F}_2$, then the collection of morphisms $\pi_{X'*}\phi_X : \pi_{X'*}F_{1X} \to \pi_{X'*}F_{2X}$ is compatible with F'^*_1 and F'^*_2 (resp. $F'_{1!}$ and $F'_{2!}$) just because the $\beta_{*f'}$'s (resp. $ch^*_{f'}$) are natural transformations. Whence a morphism $\underline{F}'_1 \to \underline{F}'_2$ which we define to be $\underline{\pi}_*\underline{\phi}$. Clearly, this is compatible with composition, and the desired functor $\underline{\pi}_* : BS_A(\mathcal{C}) \to B\overline{S}_{A'}(\mathcal{C}')$ is defined.

(ii) Let us first remark that the definition of the family $F_\bullet$ makes sense because π^{-1} induces a bijection $Ob(\mathcal{C}') \to Ob(\mathcal{C})$. Next we define F^* (resp. $F_!$) as the following families of composed morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{rccccccc} & F^*(f) & : & \pi^*_{Y'}F'_{Y'} & \overset{\pi^*_{Y'}F'^*(f')}{\to} & \pi^*_{Y'}f'_*F'_{X'} & \overset{(ch_{*f'})_{F'_{X'}}}{\to} & f_*\pi^*_{X'}F'_{X'} \\ (\text{resp.} & F_!(s) & : & \pi^*_{Z'}F'_{Z'} & \overset{\pi^*_{Z'}F'_!(s')}{\to} & \pi^*_{Z'}s'^*F'_{T'} & \underset{\sim}{\overset{(\beta^*_{f'})_{F_T}}{\to}} & s^*\pi^*_{T'}F_T \quad) \end{array}$$

where, as in (i), $f' : X' \to Y'$ (resp. $s' : Z' \to T'$) is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}'$ sent to $f : X \to Y$ (resp. $s : Z \to T$) by π^{-1}. The proof of the required compatibilities and functoriality is similar to (i).

□

2.3. **The trace isomorphism for locally constant morphisms.**

Recall that a topos E is locally connected if and only if every object of X has a (necessarily unique, up to canonical isomorphism) decomposition into a direct sum of indecomposable objects. As checked easily (following indications of [SGA4] exp. IV, 4.3, 7.6 and 8.7), this is equivalent to the existence of a triple of adjoint functors $(\pi_\circ, \pi^{-1}, \pi_*)$ with $\pi = (\pi^{-1}, \pi_*) : E \to Set$ the essentially unique morphism of topoi. When this is the case, we say that an object X of E is connected if it is indecomposable (ie. if $\pi_\circ(X) = pt$) and we say that E is connected if its final object e is connected ie. if π^{-1} is fully faithful.

In view of applications, consider a scheme S and a subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of the category of schemes over S which are locally connected. If one endows $\mathcal{C}$ with a topology between zariski and fpqc then the topos of sheaves over $\mathcal{C}$ is locally connected. This is the case for the small *top* topos S_{top} of a locally noetherian scheme S, *top* being a property which is stable stable by base change and composition, stronger than flat locally of finite presentation but weaker than open immersion. Consider now the small crystalline *top* topos $(S/\Sigma)_{crys,top}$ where Σ is a scheme or p-adic formal scheme endowed with divided powers. If *top* is such that the natural immersion $i : S_{top} \to (S/\Sigma)_{crys,top}$ admits a right retraction $u : (S/\Sigma)_{crys,top} \to S_{top}$ (eg. if *top* is *zar*, *et* or *syn*) then $(S/\Sigma)_{crys,top}$ is locally connected as well (indeed the required triple of adjoint functors to Set can be obtained by composing the one from S_{top} with the triple $(i^{-1}, i_* = u^{-1}, u_*)$).

From now on, *E will always denote a locally connected topos*, e its final object in it and we constantly identify an object X in E with its structural morphism $x : X \to e$ in $E_{/e}$. Note that every induced topos $E_{/X}$ is automatically locally connected too (here a

triple $(\pi_{X\circ}, \pi_X^{-1}, \pi_{X*}) : E_{/X} \to Set$ is obtained by composition of $(\pi_\circ, \pi^{-1}, \pi_*)$ with the localization triple $(x_\circ, x^{-1}, x_*) : E_{/X} \to E$).

We will often make use of the following easy fact. Consider a family of morphisms $(u_i : U_i \to e)$, a full subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of E, and let $\mathcal{C}_i$ denote the full subcategory of $E_{/U_i}$ which is the preimage of $\mathcal{C}_i$ under the forgetful functor $u_{i\circ} : E_{/U_i} \to E$. If $\mathcal{C}$ generates E, then $\mathcal{C}_i$ generates $E_{/U_i}$ for each i. If now the family (u_i) is a covering, then the converse holds as well.

An object X of E is called

- *finite* if E is generated by the set of objects U for which $X(U)$ is a finite set.
- *constant* if it is in the essential image of the functor $\pi^{-1} : Set \to E$.
- *locally constant* if e has a covering family $(u_i : U_i \to e)_i$ such that for each i, $u_i^{-1}X$ is a constant object of $E_{/U_i}$.

Let us make some remarks about these properties.

- If X is finite (resp. constant, locally constant) in E and $u : U \to e$ then so is $u^{-1}X$ in $E_{/U}$. If $u_i : (U_i \to e)$ is a covering family, and the $u_i^{-1}X$'s are finite (resp. locally constant) in $E_{/U_i}$ then so is X in E.
- If X is locally constant (resp. and finite) then the full subcategory $\mathcal{C}(X)$ of E whose objects are the connected U's such that $u^{-1}X$ is constant (resp. and finite) in $E_{/U}$ (here $u : U \to e$) generates E. It is useful to notice that every morphism of $\mathcal{C}(X)$: $V \to U$ induces a bijection $X(U) \to X(V)$.

A morphism $X \to Y$ is said to be finite (resp. constant, locally constant) if the corresponding object of $E_{/Y}$ is. Let $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ and suppose g is locally constant (resp. and finite), then f is locally constant (resp. and finite) if and only if gf is. To check this one may reduce to the case $Z = e$, E connected and Y constant, then use the isomorphism $Y \simeq \sqcup_{\pi_\circ(Y)} e$ and pullback to each component. We can in particular define the following subcategories of E:

- E^{FLC} which contains every object of E, but only the finite locally constant morphisms.
- E^{flc} the full subcategory formed by locally constant objects. It is in fact a (full) subcategory of E^{FLC}.

These categories can be checked to be stable in E by finite (projective and inductive) limits. If $\pi : E \to E'$ is a morphism of topoi, then π^{-1} induces functors $E'^{FLC} \to E^{FLC}$ and $E'^{flc} \to E^{flc}$.

Thanks to these remarks, it is also possible to define:

- the *order* of a finite locally constant X object of E. It is an integer valued locally constant function, (ie. global section of the constant sheaf $\pi^{-1}\mathbb{N}$) whose value at every U in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is $\#X(U)$.
- the *degree* $deg(f)$ of a locally constant morphism $f : X \to Y$ is defined to be the order of the corresponding locally constant object of $E_{/Y}$. The degree is multiplicative: $deg(fg) = deg(f)deg(g)$.

Proposition 2.5. *As usual, let A be a sheaf of rings.*

(i) For each finite locally constant morphism $f : X \to Y$ in E there is a canonical isomorphism of functors $S_A(X) \to S_A(Y)$, $s_f : f_! \simeq f_*$. We call s_f the trace isomorphism for f.

(ii) The family (s_f) verifies the following conditions:

- (composition constraint) $s_{id_X} = id_{id_S(X)}$ and $\alpha_{*g,f} s_{gf} = (s_g . s_f)\alpha_{!g,f}$.

- *(degree) The composed morphism*

$$id \overset{adj_{(f^*,f_*)}}{\to} f_*f^* \overset{s_f^{-1}.id_{f^*}}{\to} f_!f^* \overset{adj_{(f_!,f^*)}}{\to} id$$

coincides with multiplication by $deg(f)$.
- *(cartesian base change) The right square below commutes as soon as the left one is cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{s_2} & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{s_1} & S \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} s_{2!}f_2^* & \xrightarrow{ch_{!s_2,f_2,f_1,s_1}} & f_1^*s_{1!} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{s_2}.id_{f_2^*}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id_{f_1^*}.s_{s_1}} \\ s_{2*}f_2^* & \xleftarrow[ch^*f_1,s_1,s_2,f_2]{} & f_1^*s_{1*} \end{array}$$

Proof. (i) Applying the above remarks to the object $f : X \to Y$ of the topos $E_{/Y}$ we get that the resulting full subcategory $\mathcal{C}_Y := \mathcal{C}(f : X \to Y)$ generates $E_{/Y}$. Let now $\mathcal{C}_X$ denote the full subcategory of $E_{/X}$ whose objects are those whose image under $f_\circ$ is in $\mathcal{C}_Y$, so that $\mathcal{C}_X$ generates $E_{/X}$. In particular the respective categories of modules $S_A(\mathcal{C}_X)$, $S_A(\mathcal{C}_Y)$ on the induced sites $\mathcal{C}_X$, $\mathcal{C}_Y$ are equivalent to $S_A(X)$, $S_A(Y)$ via restriction. Since the forgetful functor $f_\circ : \mathcal{C}_X \to \mathcal{C}_Y$ is cocontinuous it is sufficient to construct an isomorphism $\hat{s}_f : f_{\hat{!}} \simeq f_{\hat{*}}$ where

$$f_{\hat{!}} \text{ (resp. } f_{\hat{*}}) : PS_A(\mathcal{C}_X) \to PS_A(\mathcal{C}_Y)$$

denotes the left (resp. right adjoint) to the restriction functor $f^{\hat{*}} : F \mapsto Ff_\circ$ and $PS_A(-)$ denotes the category of presheaves of modules.

Let us compute the image of a presheaf of modules F on $\mathcal{C}_X$ under $f_{\hat{!}}$ and under $f_{\hat{*}}$. For $\phi : U \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}_Y$, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$f_{\hat{!}}F(U \overset{\phi}{\to} Y) = \varinjlim F(U' \overset{\psi}{\to} X) \simeq \bigoplus_{\psi \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}_Y}(\phi,f)} F(U \overset{\psi}{\to} X) \tag{7}$$

the direct limit being taken on the category of diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\theta} & U' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} \\ Y & \xleftarrow[f]{} & X \end{array}$$

where ψ is an object of $\mathcal{C}_X$ and θ a morphism of $\mathcal{C}_Y$. The right isomorphism in (7) holds because this category of indices is the direct sum of connected subcategories indexed by $\psi \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}_Y}(\phi, f)$, each of which has the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{id_U} & U \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} \\ Y & \xleftarrow[f]{} & X \end{array}$$

as an initial object.

On the other hand, one has

$$f_{\hat{*}}F(U \overset{\phi}{\to} Y) = \varprojlim F(U' \overset{\psi}{\to} X) \simeq \prod_{\psi \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}_Y}(\phi,f)} F(U \overset{\psi}{\to} X) \tag{8}$$

the projective limit being taken on the category of diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \xrightarrow{\theta} & U \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

where ψ is an object of $\mathcal{C}_X$ and θ a morphism of $\mathcal{C}_Y$. The right isomorphism requires some explanations, which we give now. Clearly, this category of indices is isomorphic to the full subcategory of $E_{/X\times_{f,Y,\phi}U}$, whose objects are those $(\psi,\theta): U' \to X \times_{f,Y,\phi} U$ with $\psi \in Ob(\mathcal{C}_X)$, ie. $f\psi \in Ob(\mathcal{C}_Y)$. Now, $E_{/X\times_{f,Y,\phi}U} \simeq (E_{/U})_{/\phi^{-1}f}$. But $\phi^{-1}f$ is a constant object of $E_{/U}$; explicitly, since $E_{/U}$ is connected, one has $\phi^{-1}(f) \simeq \pi_U^{-1}\pi_{U*}\phi^{-1}(f) \simeq \sqcup_{\psi\in Hom_{E_{/U}}(id_U,\phi^{-1}f)} id_U$. In other words, $X\times_{f,Y,\phi}U \simeq \sqcup_{\psi\in Hom_{E_{/Y}}(\phi,f)}U$. Since every arrow to $\sqcup_{\psi\in Hom_{E_{/Y}}(\phi,f)}U$ whose source is connected factors through one of the summands, it follows that the category of indices is in fact the direct sum of connected subcategories indexed by $\psi \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}_Y}(\phi,f)$, each of which has the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{id_U} & U \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

as a terminal object; whence the isomorphism in (8).

Putting (7) and (8) together gives a canonical isomorphism of $A(U)$-modules

$$f_!F(U \xrightarrow{\phi} Y) \simeq f_*F(U \xrightarrow{\phi} Y) \tag{9}$$

Now every morphism $(V \xrightarrow{\chi} Y) \to (U \xrightarrow{\phi} Y)$ in $\mathcal{C}_Y$ induces a bijection $Hom_{\mathcal{C}_Y}(\phi,f) \to Hom_{\mathcal{C}_Y}(\chi,f)$ (see the remark following the definition of the category $\mathcal{C}(X)$) and it follows easily that (9) is functorial with respect to the object $\phi: U \to Y$ of $E_{/Y}$. This finishes the proof of (i) since functoriality with respect to F is obvious.

The proof of (ii) is straightforward using the explicit construction of s_f given above.

□

2.4. **From sheaves to bivariant functors.**

We define the category of bivariant functors and show that thanks to the trace isomorphism of the previous paragraph (prop. 2.5) a bivariant system of sheaves (and thus a sheaf cf. prop. 2.3 (ii)) naturally gives rise to a bivariant functor (cf. prop. 2.7 below for a precise statement).

Definition 2.6. *Consider a category $\mathcal{C}$ and two subcategories $\mathcal{C}'$, $\mathcal{C}''$ having the same objects. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an additive category we define the category $Bi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}',\mathcal{C}'';\mathcal{A})$ (or simply $Bi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}';\mathcal{A})$ if $\mathcal{C}'=\mathcal{C}''$) of $\mathcal{A}$-valued bivariant functors on $(\mathcal{C}'^{op},\mathcal{C}'')$ as follows:*

An object is a triple $\underline{B} = (B(-), B^*, B_!)$ where $B^*: \mathcal{C}'^{op} \to \mathcal{A}$, $B_!: \mathcal{C}'' \to \mathcal{A}$ are two functors which coincide on the objects, $B(X) := B^*(X) = B_!(X)$, and the following properties hold:

- *(cartesian base change) If*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{s_2} & X \\ {\scriptstyle f_2}\downarrow & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{s_1} & S \end{array}$$

is a cartesian square of $\mathcal{C}$, then

$$B^*(f_1)B_!(s_1) = B_!(s_2)B^*(f_2)$$

- *(direct sums) If $(\iota_i : X_i \to \sqcup_{j\in I} X_j)_{i\in I}$ represents the direct sum in $\mathcal{C}$ of a finite family $(X_i)_{i\in I}$ of objects, then*

$$\bigoplus_i B(X_i) \overset{(B_!(\iota_i))_i}{\to} B(\sqcup_j X_j) \qquad \text{and} \qquad B(\sqcup_j X_j) \overset{(B^*(\iota_i))_i}{\to} \prod_i B(X_i)$$

are both isomorphisms. Moreover their composition is the canonical isomorphism between direct sums and products in $\mathcal{A}$ (this follows from (cartesian base change) if direct sums are disjoint in $\mathcal{C}$).

A morphism $(B(-), B^*, B_!) \to (B'(-), B'^*, B'_!)$ is a pair of transformations of functors which coincide on the objects.

The category $Bi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}''; \mathcal{A})$ is naturally additive and inherits the exactness properties of $\mathcal{A}$. It is for instance abelian if $\mathcal{A}$ is. As an additive category it is strictly 2-functorial with respect to its arguments:

- covariantly with respect to additive functors $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$.
- contravariantly with respect to functors $\mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ which preserve finite direct sums and fiber products and respectively send $\mathcal{C}'_1$ and $\mathcal{C}''_1$ into $\mathcal{C}'_2$ and $\mathcal{C}''_2$.

Proposition 2.7. *There are canonical functors*

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} (-)_! & : & BS_A(E) & \to & Bi_E(E^{FLC}, E; S_A(E)) \\ (-)_* & : & BS_A(E) & \to & Bi_E(E, E^{FLC}; S_A(E)) \end{array}$$

where $(-)_!$ (resp. $(-)_*$) sends a bivariant system of modules to a bivariant functor $\underline{F}_! = (B(-), B^*, B_!)$ (resp. $\underline{F}_* = (B(-), B^*, B_!)$) such that $B(X) = x_!F_X$ (resp. $B(X) = x_*F_X$).

The functors $BS_A(E) \to Bi_E(E^{flc}; S_A(E))$ obtained by composing $(-)_!$ and $(-)_*$ with the restriction of bivariant functors to $(E^{flc,op}, E^{flc})$ are canonically isomorphic.

Proof. Let us begin with the definition of the functor $(-)_! : \underline{F} \mapsto \underline{F}_!$. For a given bivariant system of modules $(F_\bullet, F^*, F_!)$, let us denote $(B(-), B^*, B_!) = \underline{F}_!$ the bivariant functor to be defined. For a morphism $f : X \to Y$ in E^{FLC} (resp. E), $B^*(f)$ (resp. $B_!(f)$) is defined to be the following composed morphism:

$$\begin{array}{rcccccccc} B^*(f) & : & y_!F_Y & \overset{y_!F^*(f)}{\to} & y_!f_*F_X & \overset{(id_{y_!}.s_f^{-1})_{F_X}}{\underset{\sim}{\to}} & y_!f_!F_X & \overset{(\alpha^{-1}_{!y,f})_{F_X}}{\underset{\sim}{\to}} & x_!F_X \\ (\text{resp. } B_!(f) & : & x_!F_X & \overset{x_!F_!(f)}{\to} & x_!f^*F_Y & \overset{(ch_{!x,f,id_e,y})_{F_X}}{\to} & y_!F_Y & &) \end{array}$$

That B^* (resp. $B_!$) is a functor $E^{FLC,op} \to S_A(E)$ (resp. $E \to S_A(E)$) easily follows from the compatibility of F^*, s with composition and the associativity constraint for $\alpha_!$ (resp. from the compatibility of $F_!$ and $ch_!$ with composition).

Let us check the compatibility with finite direct sums. With the notations of definition 2.6 and setting $X := \sqcup_j X_j$, we must firstly check that $(B^*(\iota_i))_i$, ie. the following composed morphism:

$$x_! F_X \overset{(x_! F^*(\iota_i))_i}{\to} \prod_i x_! \iota_{i*} F_{X_i} \simeq \prod_i x_{i!} F_{X_i}$$

is an isomorphism. This follows from the fact that $x_!$ commutes to finite products together with $(F^*(\iota_i))_i : F_X \to \prod_i \iota_{i*} F_{X_i}$ being an isomorphism. Next, we must check that $(B_!(\iota_i))_i$, ie. the composed morphism of the first row in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bigoplus_i x_{i!} F_{X_i} & \xrightarrow{\oplus_i x_{i!} F_!(\iota_i)} & \bigoplus_i x_{i!} \iota_i^* F_X & \xrightarrow{((ch_{!x_i,\iota_i,id_e,x})_{F_X})_i} & x_! F_X \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \oplus_i (\alpha_{!x,\iota_i})_{\iota_i^* F_X}} & & \| \\ & & \bigoplus_i x_! \iota_{i!} \iota_i^* F_X & \xrightarrow{(adj_{(\iota_{i!},\iota_i^*)F_X})_i} & x_! F_X \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism. This is indeed the case since each $F_!(\iota_i) : F_{X_i} \to \iota_i^* F_X$ is an isomorphism, $x_!$ commutes with direct sums and $\bigoplus_i \iota_{i!} \iota_i^* F_X \to F_X$ is an isomorphism. The last condition of 2.6 (ii) is verified since direct sums are disjoint in E.

Let us now check the cartesian base change property. Given a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{s_2} & X \\ {\scriptstyle f_2}\downarrow & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{s_1} & S \end{array}$$

of E^{FLC}, we must check that the the following square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(Z) & \xrightarrow{B_!(s_2)} & B(X) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle B^*(f_2)} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle B^*(f_1)} \\ B(Y) & \xrightarrow{B_!(s_1)} & B(S) \end{array}$$

ie. the exterior boundary of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} z_! F_Z & \xrightarrow{z_! F_!(s_2)} & z_! s_2^* F_X & & \xrightarrow{(ch_{!z,s_2,id_e,x})_{F_X}} & & x_! F_X \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle (\alpha^{-1}_{!y,f_2})_{F_Z}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle (\alpha^{-1}_{!y,f_2}.id_{s_2^*})_{F_X}} & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle (\alpha^{-1}_{!s,f_1})_{F_X}} \\ y_! f_{2!} F_Z & \xrightarrow{y_! f_{2!} F_!(s_2)} & y_! f_{2!} s_2^* F_X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{(id_{y_!}.ch_{!f_2,s_2,s_1,f_1})_{F_X}} & y_! s_1^* f_{1!} F_X & \xrightarrow{(ch_{!y,s_1,id_e,s}.id_{f_{1!}})_{F_X}} & s_! f_{1!} F_X \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle (id_{y_!}.s^{-1}_{f_2})_{F_Z}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle (id_{y_!}.s^{-1}_{f_2}.id_{s_2^*})_{F_X}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle (id_{y_!}.id_{s_1^*}.s^{-1}_{f_1})_{F_X}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle (id_{s_!}.s^{-1}_{f_1})_{F_X}} \\ y_! f_{2*} F_Z & \xrightarrow{y_! f_{2*} F_!(s_2)} & y_! f_{2*} s_2^* F_X & \xleftarrow[\sim]{(id_{y_!}.ch^*_{s_1,f_1,f_2,s_2})_{F_X}} & y_! s_1^* f_{1*} F_X & \xrightarrow{(ch_{!y,s_1,id_e,s}.id_{f_{1*}})_{F_X}} & s_! f_{1*} F_X \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle F^*(f_2)} & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle y_! F^*(f_1)} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle s_! F^*(f_1)} \\ y_! F_Y & & \xrightarrow{y_! F_!(s_1)} & & y_! s_1^* F_S & \xrightarrow{(ch_{!y,s_1,id_e,s})_{F_S}} & s_! F_S \end{array}$$

commutes. This is indeed the case, since:

- the bottom left pentagon commutes thanks to def. 2.1 *(base change)* for F^* and $F_!$.
- the middle square commutes thanks to prop. 2.5 *(cartesian base change)*.

- the top right pentagon commutes thanks to the compatibility of $ch_!$ with composition, applied to the following commutative diagram:



- the remaining squares are all commutative, just because $\alpha_{!y,f_2}$, s_{f_2} and $ch_{!y,s_1,id_e,s}$ are natural transformations.

At this point, we have defined the functor $(-)_!$ on the objects. Its effect on morphisms is the obvious one: it sends a morphism of bivariant systems $(\phi_X)_{X\in Ob(E)}$ to the collection $(x_!\phi_X)_{X\in Ob(E)}$, which clearly defines a morphism of bivariant functors. Hence the desired functor $(-)_! : BS_A(E) \to Bi_E(E^{FLC}, E; S_A(E))$.

The construction of the functor $(-)_*$ is obtained by "dualizing" that of $(-)_*$, ie. by reversing arrows and following the "exchange rules" $f_* \leftrightarrow f_!$, $f^* \leftrightarrow f^*$, $F^* \leftrightarrow {}^tF_!$, $F_! \leftrightarrow {}^tF^*$, $B^* \leftrightarrow B_!$. Let us only write down the definition of $B_!(f)$ (resp. $B^*(f)$) for a morphism $f : X \to Y$ in E^{FLC} (resp. E) and leave the rest to the reader:

$$\begin{array}{rcccccccccc} & B_!(f) & : & x_*F_X & \overset{(\alpha_{*y,f})_{F_X}}{\to} & y_*f_*F_X & \overset{(id_{y_*}.s_f^{-1})_{F_X}}{\to} & y_*f_!F_X & \overset{y_*{}^tF_!(f)}{\to} & y_*F_Y & \\ (\text{resp.} & B^*(f) & : & y_*F_Y & \overset{(ch^*_{id_e,y,x,f})_{F_Y}}{\to} & x_*f^*F_Y & \overset{x_*{}^tF^*(f)}{\to} & x^*F_X & & &) \end{array}$$

Next we consider the induced functors $(-)_!, (-)_* : BS_A(E) \to Bi_E(E^{flc}; S_A(E))$. For a fixed $\underline{F}$ in $BS_A(E)$, any locally constant object X comes with an isomorphism $(s_x)_{F_X} : x_!F_X \simeq x_*F_X$. Using compatibility of the family (s_f) with composition, it is straightforward to check that the family $((s_x)_{F_X})_{X\in Ob(E^{flc})}$ indeed gives a morphism of bivariant functors. Whence the result, since it is obviously functorial with respect to $\underline{F}$.

□

The following result easily follows from what has already been proven.

Corollary 2.8. *There are canonical functors*

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} (-)_!(-)^* & : & S_A(E) & \to & Bi_E(E^{FLC}, E; S_A(E)) \\ (-)_*(-)^* & : & S_A(E) & \to & Bi_E(E, E^{FLC}; S_A(E)) \end{array}$$

where

- *(i) The functor $(-)_!(-)^*$ is exact, and sends a module $F \in Ob(S_A(E))$ to a bivariant functor $((-)^*F)_! = \underline{B}$ such that $B(X) = x_!x^*F$.*
- *(ii) The functor $(-)_*(-)^*$ sends a module $F \in Ob(S_A(E))$ to a bivariant functor $((-)^*F)_* = \underline{B}$ such that $B(X) = x_*x^*F$. If F is injective, $\underline{B}$ is componentwise injective (ie. the $B(X)$'s are injective).*
- *(iii) The functors $S_A(E) \to Bi_E(E^{flc}; S_A(E))$ induced by $(-)_!(-)^*$ and $(-)_*(-)^*$ are canonically isomorphic. In particular, each of them is exact and sends injective modules to componentwise injective bivariant functors.*

□

We leave it to the reader to investigate the functoriality of all these constructions with respect to E and content ourselves with the following useful fact.

Lemma 2.9. *Given a morphism of ringed topoi $(\pi,\theta):(E',A')\to(E,A)$. The squares of the following diagram of categories are commutative up to a canonical isomorphism of functors:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
S_A(E) & \xrightarrow{(-)^*} & BS_A(E) & \xrightarrow{(-)_*} & Bi_E(E,E^{FLC};S_A(E)) & \xrightarrow{Bi_E(id_E,\Gamma_E)} & Bi_E(E,E^{FLC};\Gamma_E(A)-mod) \\
{\scriptstyle\pi_*}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle\underline{\pi}_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Bi(\pi^{-1};\pi_*)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Bi(\pi^{-1};res^{\Gamma(E,A)}_{\Gamma(E',A')})} \\
S_{A'}(E') & \xrightarrow{(-)^*} & BS_{A'}(E') & \xrightarrow{(-)_*} & Bi_{E'}(E',E'^{FLC};S_{A'}(E')) & \xrightarrow{Bi'_E(id'_E,\Gamma'_E)} & Bi_{E'}(E',E'^{FLC};\Gamma_{E'}(A')-mod)
\end{array}$$

where $\Gamma_E(-)$ (resp. Γ'_E) denotes the global sections functor on E (resp. E').

Proof. Let us just indicate how to construct the commutativity isomorphisms in question:

- In the left square, $\underline{\pi}_*(-)^*\simeq(-)^*\pi_*$ comes from the obvious isomorphism $(-)_e\underline{\pi}_*\simeq\pi_*(-)_e$ (recall that $x'^*\pi_*\simeq\pi_{X'*}x^*$ if $X=\pi^{-1}X'$).
- In the middle square, $Bi(\pi^{-1};\pi_*)(-)_*\simeq(-)_*\underline{\pi}_*$ evaluated at some bivariant system $\underline{F}$ is described by the collection of isomorphisms $(\pi_*x_*F_X\simeq x'_*\pi_{X'*}F_X)_{X'\in Ob(E')}$.
- In right square this simply follows from the canonical isomorphism $res^{\Gamma_E(A)}_{\Gamma_{E'}(A')}\Gamma_E\simeq\Gamma_{E'}\pi_*$.

□

We conclude this section by describing explicitly the composed functor considered in the previous lemma.

Proposition 2.10. *(i) The composed functor $Bi_E(id_E;\Gamma_E)(-)_*(-)^*$ is isomorphic to a functor*

$$Tr:S_A(E)\to Bi_E(E,E^{FLC};\Gamma_E(A)-mod)$$

which takes a sheaf F to a bivariant functor $\underline{B}:=Tr\,F$ verifying the following: if $X\in Ob(E)$ then $B(X)$ is the $\Gamma_E(A)$-module deduced from the $A(X)$-module $F(X)$ by restricting scalars to $\Gamma_E(A)$; if $f:X\to Y$ is a morphism (resp. a finite locally constant morphism) in E then $B^*(f):F(Y)\to F(X)$ is the restriction of F along f (resp. $B_!(f):F(X)\to F(Y)$ is the trace map of F along f).

(ii) The functor Tr has an exact left adjoint $CoTr$ which takes a bivariant functor $\underline{B}=(B(-),B^*,B_!)$ to the sheaf of A-modules associated to the presheaf of A-modules $A(-)\otimes_{\Gamma_E(A)}B^*(-)$. If E is generated by E^{flc} then the same holds verbatim for the functor $S_A(E)\to Bi_E(E^{flc};\Gamma_E(A)-mod)$ deduced from Tr as in cor. 2.8 (iii).

Proof. (*i*) By definition, $Bi(id_E;\Gamma_E)(-)_*(-)^*$ takes a sheaf F to a bivariant functor $\underline{B'}$ where $B'(X):=\Gamma_E(x_*x^*F)$ is canonically isomorphic to $B(X):=F(X)$. Via this isomorphism, it is straightforward to check that for any morphism (resp. any finite locally constant morphism) f, $B'^*(f)$ (resp. $B'_!(f)$) induces $B^*(f)$ (resp. $B_!(f)$). From there, one deduces that the triple $\underline{B}=(B(-),B^*,B_!)$ verifies the axioms of a bivariant functor, denoted $Tr\,F$. Hence the desired functor Tr, isomorphic to $Bi(id_E;\Gamma_E)(-)_*(-)^*$.

(*ii*) The point is to show that the obvious functorial injection

$$Hom_{Bi_E(E,E^{FLC};\Gamma_E(A)-mod)}(\underline{B}, Tr\, F) \to Hom_{S_A(E)}(CoTr\underline{B}, F)$$

is surjective. This amounts to show that any collection of morphisms $(\phi_X : B(X) \to F(X))_X$ making every square of the left family below commutative also makes those of the right family below commutative:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} B(X) & \xrightarrow{\phi_X} & F(X) \\ {\scriptstyle B^*(f)}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle F^*(f)} \\ B(Y) & \xrightarrow{\phi_Y} & F(Y) \end{array}\right)_{f:X\to Y \text{ in } E} \qquad \left(\begin{array}{ccc} B(X) & \xrightarrow{\phi_X} & F(X) \\ {\scriptstyle B_!(f)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Tr_{F,f}} \\ B(Y) & \xrightarrow{\phi_Y} & F(Y) \end{array}\right)_{f:X\to Y \text{ in } E^{FLC}}$$

Using the axiom (*cartesian base change*) for $\underline{B}$ together with the fact that F is a sheaf we are reduced to the case where $f : X \to Y$ constant. But then the required commutativity follows easily from the axiom (*direct sums*) for $\underline{B}$.

□

We note that when A is a constant ring, the functor Tr turns out to be fully faithful.

2.5. **From bivariant functors to normic systems.**

We define and study briefly the category of normic systems along a profinite group G and show that once a G-torsor is fixed, bivariant functors (and thus sheaves) give rise to normic systems (cf. thm. 2.20 and its proof).

2.5.1. *Normic systems.*

The following definitions slightly generalize those given in [Va].

Definition 2.11. *Consider a profinite group G, N a filtrant set, $(H_n)_{n\in N}$ the filtrant set of the normal open subgroups and denote $G_n = G/H_n$, $G_{m,n} = H_n/H_m$, $n \leq m$. Given an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ we define the following categories.*

(*i*) *$Mod(G, \mathcal{A})$ the category of functors from G to $\mathcal{A}$. An object thus consists in an object M of $\mathcal{A}$ together with a collection of endomorphisms $(c_g : M \to M)_{g\in G}$ satisfying the axioms of a left action; a morphism $M \to N$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{A}$, compatible with every c_g.*

(*ii*) *${}_{G_n}\mathcal{A}$, the category of G_n-objects of $\mathcal{A}$, is defined as the full subcategory of $Mod(G, \mathcal{A})$ whose objects are those on which H_n acts trivially. For $m \geq n$, the inclusion functor ${}_{G_n}\mathcal{A} \to {}_{G_m}\mathcal{A}$ has a left and a right adjoint, described respectively as the coinvariants functor $(-)_{G_{m,n}}$ and the fixed points functor $(-)^{G_{m,n}}$.*

(*iii*) *${}_{G}\mathcal{A}$ the category of discrete G-objects of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as the full subcategory of $Mod(G, \mathcal{A})^\wedge$ whose objects are "$\varinjlim$" of inductive systems $n \mapsto M_n$ with $M_n \in {}_{G_n}\mathcal{A}$ whose transition morphisms induce $M_n \simeq M_m^{G_{m,n}}$. Here "$\varinjlim$" indicates that the inductive limit is computed in the category $Mod(G, \mathcal{A})^\wedge$ of presheaves on $Mod(G, \mathcal{A})$.*

(*iv*) *$\underline{G}\mathcal{A}$ the category of $\mathcal{A}$-valued normic systems is defined a follows:*

- an object is a triple $\underline{M} = ((M_n)_{n\in N}, (j_{n,m} : M_n \to M_m)_{n\leq m}, (k_{m,n} : M_m \to M_n)_{n\leq m})$ satisfying the following properties:

($Norm1$) M_n is an object of ${}_{G_n}\mathcal{A} \subset Mod(G, \mathcal{A})$, $j_{n,m}$ and $k_{m,n}$ are morphisms of $Mod(G, \mathcal{A})$.

($Norm2$) If $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3$ then $j_{n_2,n_3} \circ j_{n_1,n_2} = j_{n_1,n_3}$ and $k_{n_2,n_1} \circ k_{n_3,n_2} = k_{n_3,n_1}$.

(Norm3) If $n \leq m$, $j_{n,m} \circ k_{m,n} : M_m \to M_m$ coincides with the endomorphism $\sum_{g \in G_{m,n}} c_g$ of M_m. Note that this is not only a morphism in $\mathcal{A}$, but also in $Mod(G, \mathcal{A})$ since $H_n \lhd \Gamma$.

- a morphism $\underline{\phi} : \underline{M} \to \underline{M'}$ is a collection of morphisms of $Mod(G, \mathcal{A})$, $(\phi_n : M_n \to M'_n)_{n \in N}$ such that the following squares commute for each couple $n \leq m$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_m & \xrightarrow{\phi_m} & M'_m \\ {\scriptstyle j_{n,m}}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle j_{n,m}} \\ M_n & \xrightarrow{\phi_n} & M'_n \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} M_m & \xrightarrow{\phi_m} & M'_m \\ {\scriptstyle k_{m,n}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle k_{m,n}} \\ M_n & \xrightarrow{\phi_n} & M'_n \end{array}$$

Let us make some remarks about the category ${}_G\mathcal{A}$ of discrete G-objects.

- The natural functor ${}_{G_n}\mathcal{A} \to {}_G\mathcal{A}$ is fully faithful. More generally the morphisms of ${}_G\mathcal{A}$ have the following description if $M_n, M'_n \in_{G_n} \mathcal{A}$:

$$Hom_{{}_G\mathcal{A}}(\text{“}\varinjlim\text{”}_n M_n, \text{“}\varinjlim\text{”}_m M'_m) \simeq \varprojlim_n \varinjlim_m Hom_{Mod(G,\mathcal{A})}(M_n, M'_m).$$

- The category of discrete objects has another, more familiar, description if one assumes that $\mathcal{A}$ has filtrant inductive limits, that the inclusion functor ${}_{G_n}\mathcal{A} \to Mod(G, \mathcal{A})$ has a right adjoint $(-)^{H_n}$ and that the latter commutes to direct limits of filtrant inductive systems with monomorphic transition morphisms. These conditions are verified for instance if $\mathcal{A}$ has projective limits and exact filtrant inductive limits (eg. if $\mathcal{A}$ is a category of modules, or modules in a topos). In that case, the functor $\varinjlim : {}_G\mathcal{A} \to Mod(G, \mathcal{A})$, “$\varinjlim M_n$”$\mapsto \varinjlim M_n$ is fully faithful and its image is an abelian subcategory of $Mod(G, \mathcal{A})$ (it consists in the objects of the form $\varinjlim M_n$ with M_n in ${}_{G_n}\mathcal{A}$). This exact faithful functor has then a right adjoint $M \mapsto$“$\varinjlim M^{H_n}$” and it follows in particular that there are enough injectives in ${}_G\mathcal{A}$ if there are in $\mathcal{A}$.

We now turn to some properties of the category ${}_{\underline{G}}\mathcal{A}$ of normic systems.

Proposition 2.12. *If limits indexed by a category I are representable in $\mathcal{A}$, then the same holds in ${}_{\underline{G}}\mathcal{A}$. Moreover they commute to the conservative family of component functors ${}_{\underline{G}}\mathcal{A} \to {}_{G_n}\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. This is clear.

□

It follows in particular that ${}_{\underline{G}}\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian category. To go further, a decisive observation is the following lemma, pointed out by B. Kahn and inspired from [TW].

Lemma 2.13. *(i) If G is in fact a finite group, then the category ${}_{\underline{G}}\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to $Mod(\mu_\lhd(G), \mathcal{A})$ where $\mu_\lhd(G)$ is the* normal Mackey algebra*, defined as the quotient of the free associative algebra $\mathbb{Z}\{\{c_g, g \in G\}, \{j_{n,m}, k_{m,n}, n \leq m\}\}$ by the following relations:*

- $c_{g_1} c_{g_2} = c_{g_1 g_2}$, $c_g j_{n,m} = j_{n,m} c_g$, $c_g k_{m,n} = k_{m,n} c_g$, for $g, g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $n \leq m$ in N.

- $j_{n_2,n_3} j_{n_1,n_2} = j_{n_1,n_3}$, $k_{n_2,n_1} k_{n_3,n_2} = k_{n_3,n_1}$, for $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3$ in N.

- $c_g j_{n,n} = j_{n,n}$, $j_{n,n} = k_{n,n}$, for $n \in N$ and $g \in H_n$.

- $j_{n,m} k_{m,n} = \sum_{g \in G_{m,n}} c_g j_{m,m}$, for $n \leq m$ in N.

- $\sum_{n \in N} j_{n,n} = 1$.

(ii) In general, ${}_{\underline{G}}\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to the category of cocartesian sections of the cofibered category above N^{op} associated to the covariant pseudo-functor on N^{op} mapping n to

$Mod(\mu_\triangleleft(G_n),\mathcal{A})$, and $m \geq n$ to the functor $Mod(\mu_\triangleleft(G_m),\mathcal{A}) \to Mod(\mu_\triangleleft(G_n),\mathcal{A})$ given by extension of scalars through the morphism $\mu_\triangleleft(G_m) \to \mu_\triangleleft(G_n)$ defined by killing the $j_{a,b}$'s and $k_{b,a}$'s with $b \not\leq n$.

Proof. (i) Let us describe the functor $\underline{_G\mathcal{A}} \to Mod(\mu_\triangleleft(G),\mathcal{A})$. A normic system $\underline{M}$ is sent to $\oplus_n M_n$, together with its obvious structure of $\mu_\triangleleft(G)$-module: c_g acts on every components whereas $j_{n,m}$ (resp. $k_{m,n}$) sends the n-th (resp. n-th) component into the m-th (resp. n-th) one. By definition, a morphism of normic systems $\underline{M} \to \underline{M'}$ consists in a collection of morphisms $\phi_n : M_n \to M'_n$, compatible with the c_g's, the $j_{n,m}$'s and the $k_{m,n}$'s. It thus gives rise to a morphism $\oplus_n M_n \to \oplus_n M'_n$, compatible with the action of $\mu_\triangleleft(G)$ and this is clearly compatible to composition. We thus have defined the desired functor $\underline{_G\mathcal{A}} \to Mod(\mu_\triangleleft(G),\mathcal{A})$. It now remains to notice that both the full faithfulness and the essential surjectivity of this functor immediately follow from the isomorphism of algebras $\mu_\triangleleft(G) \simeq \prod_{n\in N} j_{n,n}\mu_\triangleleft(G)$.

(ii) We apply (i) to the case $G = G_n$. Letting n vary, this gives an equivalence of cofibered categories. Whence the result, since $\underline{_G\mathcal{A}}$ is clearly equivalent to the category of cocartesian sections of the cofibered category associated to $n \mapsto \underline{_{G_n}\mathcal{A}}$.

□

The following corollary answers a question of [Va].

Corollary 2.14. (i) *If $\mathcal{A}$ has projective limits as well as enough injectives, then $\underline{_G\mathcal{A}}$ has enough injectives.*

(ii) *If $\mathcal{A}$ has inductive limits as well as enough projectives, then $\underline{_G\mathcal{A}}$ has enough projectives.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}/N^{op}$ denote the fibered category $n \mapsto Mod(\mu_\triangleleft(G_n),\mathcal{A})$ considered previously and let $Sect(\mathcal{F}/N^{op})$ (resp. $Cocart(\mathcal{F}/N^{op})$) denote its category of sections (resp. cocartesian sections). Denoting $|N|$ the discrete category underlying N, there are three obvious forgetful functors

$$Cocart(\mathcal{F}/N^{op}) \stackrel{F_1}{\to} Sect(\mathcal{F}/N^{op}) \stackrel{F_2}{\to} \prod_{|N|} Mod(\mu_\triangleleft(G_n),\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{F_3}{\to} \mathcal{A}^{|N|}$$

each of which is exact and faithful. The result will follow formally if one proves that each of them has a right adjoint. For F_3, this is easy and left to the reader. For F_2, this results from SGA 4, Vbis, 1.2.10 (note that here $\mathcal{F}/N^{op}$ is indeed bifibered). For F_1, we use the following general easy fact concerning an arbitrary cofibered category $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{S}$ with cocleavage $f \mapsto f_!$:

Fact: Assume that for any $f : X \to Y$ the following properties are verified:

- The functor $f\circ : \mathcal{S}_{/X} \to \mathcal{S}_{/Y}$ is cofinal.
- $f_!$ commutes to projective limits indexed by $\mathcal{S}_{/X}$.

Then the inclusion functor $Cocart(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{S}) \to Sect(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{S})$ has a right adjoint, which takes a section $X \mapsto s(X)$ to the cocartesian section $X \mapsto \varprojlim_{f:Z\to X} f_! s(Z)$.

(ii) Sending c_g to $c_{g^{-1}}$ and exchanging $j_{n,m}$ with $k_{m,n}$ clearly gives rise to an isomorphism of categories $\underline{_G(\mathcal{A}^{op})} \simeq (\underline{_G\mathcal{A}})^{op}$, so that (ii) is deduced from (i), by duality.

□

2.5.2. *The case of a classifying topos.*

We explain how bivariant systems on the classifying topos of G are related to normic systems.

Recall that the classifying topos B_G is the category of discrete (left) G-sets. Its connected objects are the finite G-sets on which G is transitive. They form a generating set of objects (in fact the G_n's viewed as left G-sets via multiplication are already generating) and B_G is thus locally connected. The corresponding triple of adjoint functors is

$$((-)_G, inf^G_{\{1\}}, (-)^G) : B_G \to Set$$

where $(-)_G$ and $(-)^G$ respectively take a discrete G-module to its quotient of G-coinvariants and subset of G-invariants, while $inf^G_{\{1\}}$ endows a set with the trivial G-action. We note that a discrete G-set is a locally constant object of B_G if and only if the action of G factors through a finite group.

Endowing the full subcategory of B_G formed by the G_n's with the induced topology we get a site $\mathcal{C}(G)$ whose associated topos is naturally equivalent to B_G. Concretely a discrete left G-set X can be recovered as follows: $X = \varinjlim_n Hom_{B_G}(G_n, X)$ where Hom_{B_G} is the set of G-equivariant maps and the action of $g \in G$ on X is functorially induced by the endomorphism "multiplication by g on the right" of the left G-set G_n.

Let $m \geq n$, we have a natural functor $\iota_{m,n} : \mathcal{C}(H_m) \to \mathcal{C}(H_n)$ given by $G_{k,m} \mapsto G_{k,n}$ and $Hom_{B_{H_m}}(G_{k,m}, G_{k',m}) = H(m,k,k')/H(m,k,k') \cap H_{k'} \subset H(n,k,k')/H(n,k,k') \cap H_{k'} = Hom_{B_{H_n}}(G_{k,n}, G_{k',n})$ where $H(m,k,k') = \{\gamma \in H_m, \gamma^{-1} H_k \gamma \subset H_{k'}\}$ and the first identification is by sending the image of $1 \in G_{k,m}$ to its class in $H(m,k,k')$. This functor turns out to be continuous as well as cocontinuous and thus induces a triple of adjoint functors

$$(\iota_{m,n\circ}, \iota^{-1}_{m,n}, \iota_{m,n*}) : B_{H_m} \to B_{H_n} \tag{10}$$

where $\iota^{-1}_{m,n}$ is restriction from H_n to H_m, $\iota_{m,n\circ} : X \mapsto \varinjlim_k H_m \backslash (H_n \times X^{H_k})$ is induction (here the quotient by H_m is taken with respect to the action $h.(g,x) = (gh^{-1}, hx)$ and the left action of H_n on the quotient is induced by left multiplication on H_n: $\gamma.(g,x) = (\gamma g, x)$) and $\iota_{m,n*} : X \mapsto \varinjlim_k Hom_{B_{H_m}}(G_{k,n}, X)$ is coinduction (here the action of H_n is given by right multiplication of H_n on $G_{k,n}$: $(\gamma.\phi)(g) = \phi(g\gamma)$).

One may notice that the functor $\iota_{n,0}$ factors through an equivalence $\mathcal{C}(H_n) \to \mathcal{C}(G)_{/G_n}$ and that this induces an equivalence

$$eq_n : B_{H_n} \simeq (B_G)_{/G_n} \tag{11}$$

by passing to the associated topoi. The latter equivalence is explicitly described by sending $X \in B_{H_n}$ to the object $\iota_{n,0\circ}X$ equipped with its natural morphism to G_n (via the first projection), a quasi inverse being given by sending $Y/G_n \in (B_G)_{/G_n}$ to $\varinjlim_k Hom_{(B_G)_{/G_n}}(G_k/G_n, Y/G_n)$. Via this equivalence (10) is compatible (up to a canonical isomorphism) with the localization triple of adjoint functors

$$(l_{m,n\circ}, l^{-1}_{m,n}, l_{m,n*}) : (B_G)_{/G_m} \to (B_G)_{/G_n} \tag{12}$$

Indeed the map $(\gamma, x) \mapsto (\gamma, (\gamma, x))$ induces a functorial isomorphism in $(B_G)_{/G_m}$ between $(\iota_{m,0\circ}\iota^{-1}_{m,n}X)/G_m = ((\varinjlim_k H_m \backslash (G \times X^{H_k})) \xrightarrow{p_1} G_m)$ and $l^{-1}_{m,n}((\iota_{n,0\circ}X)/G_m) = (G_m \times_{G_n, p_1} \varinjlim_k H_n \backslash (G \times X^{H_k}) \xrightarrow{p_1} G_m)$.

Lemma 2.15. (*i*) *The right action of $g \in G_n$ on G_n induces morphisms of topoi $(.g) : (B_G)_{/G_n} \to (B_G)_{/G_n}$ and $(.g) : B_{H_n} \to B_{H_n}$ which are compatible via (11) up to a*

canonical isomorphism. Those morphisms come with canonical composition isomorphisms $(.g)(.h) \simeq (.hg)$ *which are subject to the obvious associativity constraint. There are moreover canonical isomorphisms* $l_{n,0}(.g) \simeq l_{n,0}$ *and* $\iota_{n,0}(.g) \simeq \iota_{n,0}$ *which are compatible with the isomorphism* $l_{n,0}eq_n \simeq \iota_{n,0}$.

(ii) The group G_n *acts on the left on the functor* $l_{n,0*}l_{n,0}^{-1}$ *as follows: the action of* $g \in G_n$ *is defined as* $l_{n,0*}l_{n,0}^{-1} \to l_{n,0*}(.g)_*(.g)^{-1}l_{n,0}^{-1} \simeq l_{n,0*}l_{n,0}^{-1}$ *where the first arrow is the adjunction morphism for* $(.g)$ *and the second one is the isomorphism* $l_{n,0}(.g) \simeq l_{n,0}$. *It acts on the functor* $\iota_{n,0*}\iota_{n,0}^{-1}$ *in the same way. The isomorphism* $l_{n,0*}l_{n,0}^{-1} \simeq \iota_{n,0*}\iota_{n,0}^{-1}$ *induced by (11) is compatible with the action of* G_n *just described on both sides. For* $X \in B_G$ *the action of* G_n *on* $\iota_{n,0*}\iota_{n,0}^{-1}X = \varinjlim_k Hom_{B_{H_n}}(G_k, X)$ *is explicitly described by the formula* $(g.\phi)(h) = g(\phi(g^{-1}h))$.

Proof. (i) The first morphism of topoi is by functoriality of localized topoi. Explicitly, $(x : X \to G_n) \in (B_G)_{/G_n}$ is sent to $(.g) \circ x : X \to G_n$. Now if $(x : X \to G_n) = eq_{n*}Y = (p_1 : \varinjlim_k H_n \backslash (G \times Y^{H_k}) \to G_n)$ then $(\gamma, y) \mapsto (\gamma g, y)$ induces an isomorphism between $(.g)_*(x : X \to G_n)$ and $eq_{n*{}_gY}$ where ${}_gY$ denotes Y endowed with the action $h.y = ghg^{-1}y$. Conjugating the action of H_n by g thus gives the direct image of the announced morphism of topoi $(.g) : B_{H_n} \to B_{H_n}$.

The isomorphism $l_{n,0}(.g) \simeq l_{n,0}$ is obvious. The isomorphism $\iota_{n,0}(.g) \simeq \iota_{n,0}$ is explicitly described as follows $(.g)^{-1}\iota_{n,0}^{-1}X \simeq \iota_{n,0}^{-1}X$ is multiplication by g. The claimed compatibility is left to the reader and the proof of (ii) as well.

□

If A is a ring of B_G (ie. a ring endowed with a left action of G, compatible with the ring structure: $(ga)(gb) = g(ab)$ and $(ga)+(gb) = g(a+b)$) then $S_A(B_G)$ clearly identifies with the category (A, G)-*mod* of A-modules endowed with a discrete A-semi-linear action of G). The last two functors in (10) (or equivalently (12)) preserve products and thus naturally induce adjoint functors between $(\iota_{m,0}^{-1}A, H_m)$-*mod* and $(\iota_{n,0}^{-1}A, H_n)$-*mod* in the usual way. Explicitly if $M \in (\iota_{m,0}^{-1}A, H_m)$-*mod* then $\iota_{n,0}^{-1}A$ acts on $\iota_{m,n*}M = \varinjlim_k Hom_{B_{H_m}}(G_{k,n}, M)$ via the following formula: $(a\phi)(g) = (ga)(\phi(g))$. For M in (A, G)-*mod* this action is compatible with the natural action of G_n described in the previous lemma: $g.(a\phi) = a(g.\phi)$ (check this either by abstract nonsense or by direct verification).

Lemma 2.16. *Let* M *in* $S_A(B_G)$. *For* $m \geq n$, *the adjunction morphism* $id \to \iota_{m,n*}\iota_{m,n}^{-1}$ *induces an isomorphism* $\iota_{n,0*}\iota_{n,0}^{-1}M \simeq (\iota_{n,0*}\iota_{n,0}^{-1}M)^{G_{m,n}}$. *The trace morphism* $l_{m,n*}l_{m,n}^{-1} \to id$ *induces a morphism* $\iota_{m,0*}\iota_{m,0}^{-1}M \to \iota_{n,0*}\iota_{n,0}^{-1}M$ *whose composition with the previous isomorphism is* $\phi \mapsto \sum_{g \in G_{m,n}} g\phi$.

Proof. The first isomorphism is simply induced by the inclusion $Hom_{B_{H_n}} \subset Hom_{B_{H_m}}$. The second statement comes from the fact that the trace morphism $l_{m,n*}l_{m,n}^{-1}M \to M$ composed with the adjunction morphism $M \to l_{m,n*}l_{m,n}^{-1}M$ is $\sum_{g \in G_{m,n}} g$ as follows easily from the proof of 2.5.

□

Remark 2.17. *One checks easily that the trace morphism induces an isomorphism* $(\iota_{m,0*}\iota_{m,0}^{-1}M)_{G_{m,n}} \simeq \iota_{n,0*}\iota_{n,0}^{-1}M$.

Proposition 2.18. *There is a natural diagram of categories, commutative up to canonical isomorphisms*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
S_A(B_G) & \xrightarrow{(-)_*(-)^*} & Bi_{B_G}(B_G^{flc}, S_A(B_G)) & \longrightarrow & Bi_{B_G}(B_G^{flc}, A^G\text{-}mod) & \xrightarrow[2.10_{(ii)}]{CoTr} & S_A(B_G) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(A,G)\text{-}mod & \xrightarrow{\underline{Coind}} & \underline{G}((A,G)\text{-}mod) & \longrightarrow & \underline{G}(A^G\text{-}mod) & \xrightarrow{A\otimes_{A^G}\varinjlim(-)} & (A,G)\text{-}mod
\end{array}$$

where:

- Both left horizontal functors are exact. The functor $\underline{Coind}$ *takes a discrete* A*-semi-linear* G*-module* M *to the normic system* $\underline{M}' = ((M'_n),(j_{n,m}),(k_{m,n}))$ *where* $M'_n \in {}_{G_n}(_{(A,G)}\text{-}mod)$ *is* $\iota_{n,0*}\iota_{n,0}^{-1}M = \varinjlim_k Hom_{B_{H_n}}(G_k, M)$ *together with the extra action of* G_n *via conjugation:* $(g.\phi)(h) = g(\phi(g^{-1}h))$*,* $j_{n,m}$ *is induced by the inclusion* $Hom_{B_{H_n}} \subset Hom_{B_{H_m}}$ *and* $k_{m,n}$ *is induced by* $\sum_{g\in G_{m,n}} g$ *seen as a morphism in the other direction.*

- The middle unlabeled horizontal functors are both left exact. They are induced by the fixed point functors $S_A(B_G) \to A^G\text{-}mod$ *and* $(A,G)\text{-}mod \to A^G\text{-}mod$*.*

- Both right horizontal functors are right exact. They are also left exact when A *is* A^G*-flat (and thus in particular when* A *is constant, ie. when* $A^G = A$*). The functor* $\varinjlim(-)$ *takes a normic system to the direct limit of its underlying inductive system and if* $\underline{M}$ *is an* A^G *module then* $A\otimes_{A^G} M$ *is a discrete* A*-semi-linear* G*-module via the factor* A*.*

- The left and right vertical functors are the obvious identification explained before the proposition.

- Both middle vertical functors are occurrences of a general functor

$$Bi_{B_G}(B_G^{flc}, \mathcal{A}) \to \underline{G}\mathcal{A}$$

defined for any $\mathcal{A}$ *as in 2.11 which takes an* $\mathcal{A}$*-valued bivariant* $\underline{B} = (B(-), B^*, B_*)$ *to a normic system* $\underline{M} = ((M_n),(j_{n,m}),(k_{m,n}))$ *such that* M_n *is* $B(G_n)$*, endowed with the action of* G_n *given by* $gm := B^*(.g)m$ *where* $.g$ *denotes the automorphism "right multiplication by* g*" of the left* G*-set* G_n*, whereas* $j_{n,m} = B^*(q_{m,n})$ *and* $k_{m,n} = B_!(q_{m,n})$ *with* $q_{m,n} : G_m \to G_n$ *the canonical morphism.*

Proof. Let us first indicate how to check that the functor $Bi_{B_G}(B_G^{flc}, \mathcal{A}) \to \underline{G}\mathcal{A}$ of the last statement is well defined. First, one has to notice that $j_{n,m}$ (resp. $k_{m,n}$) actually occurs in ${}_G\mathcal{A}$, ie. is G_m-equivariant. This just comes from the fact that B^* (resp. $B_!$) is a functor (resp. together with the fact, resulting from (*cartesian base change*), that $B_!(.g) = B^*(.g^{-1})$) since $q_{m,n}$ is compatible to $.g$. The only remaining thing to check is the relation $(Norm3)$. This one follows easily from the axioms (*cartesian base change*) and (*direct sum*) for $\underline{B}$ applied to

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\sqcup_{h\in G_{m,n}} G_m & \xrightarrow{p_2} & G_m \\
{\scriptstyle p_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q_{m,n}} \\
G_m & \xrightarrow[q_{m,n}]{} & G_n
\end{array}$$

which is a cartesian square of B_G, if p_1 (resp. p_2) denotes the map which is the identity (resp. right translation by h) on component h. Pseudo-commutativity of the first square follows from 2.15 and 2.16. The remaining statements cause no difficulty.

□

Remark 2.19. *The functor obtained by composing the two left horizontal arrows in the first (resp. second) line is naturally isomorphic to*

$$\begin{array}{rccccc} & Tr & : & S_A(B_G) & \to & Bi_{B_G}(B_G^{flc}, A^G\text{-}mod) \\ (resp. & \Gamma(\underline{G},-) & : & (A,G)\text{-}mod & \to & \underline{_G}(A^G\text{-}mod) \quad) \end{array}$$

where $\Gamma(\underline{G},-)$ takes $M \in Ob((A,G)\text{-}mod)$ to the collection (M^{H_n}) together with its obvious structure of normic system of A^G-modules. This functor is left adjoint to $CoTr$ (resp. $A \otimes_{A^G} \varinjlim(-)$.

2.5.3. *Normic sections along a pro-torsor.*

Consider a projective system of (X_n) of G-sets where X_n is a torsor of E under $\pi^{-1}G_n$ (here as usual π denotes the canonical morphism of topoi $E \to Set$). In this situation there are essentially canonical morphisms of topoi

$$p = p_\infty : E \to B_G \quad \text{and} \quad p_n : E \to B_{G_n}$$

related by functoriality isomorphisms $p_n \simeq \iota_{m,n} p_m$ $(0 \leq n \leq m \leq \infty)$ satisfying the usual composition constraint. Before stating the main result of this section, we review the definition of p.

- Fix an object X in B_G and let $(X^{H_n})^0$ denote the set underlying X^{H_n}. For each m, n in N, the object $X_m \times \pi^{-1}(X^{H_n})^0$ of E comes naturally with an action of $\pi^{-1}G$: $(g,(x,a)) \mapsto (gx, ga)$; we let $\pi^{-1}G\backslash(X_m \times \pi^{-1}(X^{H_n})^0)$ denote the coinvariant object for this action. The resulting family of objects of E varies covariantly (resp. contravariantly) with respect to n (resp. m) and

$$p^{-1}X := \varinjlim_n \varprojlim_m \pi^{-1}G\backslash(X_m \times (X^{H_n})^0)$$

(Note that this functor is exact, since $\varprojlim_m$ is essentially constant while $\varinjlim_n$ is filtrant). For $X = G_n$, viewed as a G-set via left-translation, one has the canonical isomorphism $p^{-1}X \simeq X_n$, $(x,g) \mapsto g^{-1}x$. Now right translations realize a homomorphism $G_n^{op} \to Aut_{B_G}(X)$; applying p^{-1} thus gives a homomorphism $G_n^{op} \to Aut_E(p^{-1}X) \simeq Aut_E(X_n)$, which is immediately checked to send $g \in G_n$ to the action of g^{-1} on X_n.

- The functor p_* sends $Y \in Ob(E)$ to the set $\varinjlim_n Hom(X_n, Y)$, endowed with a left action of G via the inverse action of G_n on X_n: $(g,f)(x) := f(g^{-1}x)$.

Theorem 2.20. *Given a ring A of E there is a left exact functor*

$$\Gamma(\underline{X},-) : S_A(E) \to \underline{_G}(\Gamma(E,A)\text{-}mod)$$

such that for any $F \in S(E)$, $\Gamma(\underline{X},F) = ((M_n),(j_{n,m}),(k_{m,n}))$ satisfies the following properties:

- M_n is the $\Gamma(E,A)$-module $F(X_n)$ endowed with the action of G_n coming from the right action of G_n on X_n: $(g,x) \mapsto g^{-1}x$ and $j_{n,m}$ (resp. $k_{m,n}$) is the restriction (resp the trace) along $X_m \to X_n$.

- For $n \leq m$, $j_{n,m} : F(X_n) \to F(X_m)$ factors through an isomorphism of $_{G_n}(\Gamma(E,A)\text{-}mod)$

$$F(X_n) \to F(X_m)^{G_{m,n}}$$

Proof. We define $\Gamma(\underline{X}, -)$ as the functor obtained by composition along the top right exterior boundary of the following pseudo-commutative diagram, given by 2.9, 2.10, 2.18:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_A(E) & \xrightarrow{Tr} & Bi_E(E^{flc}, \Gamma(E,A)\text{-}mod) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_{\infty *}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Bi(p_\infty^{-1}, id)} \\ S_{p_{\infty *}A}(B_G) & \xrightarrow{Tr} & Bi_E(B_G^{flc}, \Gamma(E,A)\text{-}mod) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (p_{\infty *}A, G)\text{-}mod & \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\underline{G}, -)} & \underline{G}(\Gamma(E,A)\text{-}mod) \end{array}$$

so that the first property of the theorem tautologically holds. The second property immediately follows by comparing to the bottom left path.

□

Passing to derived categories, we immediately get the following

Corollary 2.21. *Assume that each $A(X_n)$ is a flat $\Gamma(E,A)$-algebra. Then there is an exact (in the sense of triangulated categories) functor*

$$R\Gamma(\underline{X}, -) : D^+(S_A(E)) \to D^+(\underline{G}(\Gamma(E,A)\text{-}mod))$$

such that for any $F \in D^+(S(E))$:

- The n-th component functor $D^+(\underline{G}\Gamma(E,A)\text{-}mod) \to D^+({}_{G_n}(\Gamma(E,A)\text{-}mod))$ takes $R\Gamma(\underline{X}, F)$ to $R\Gamma(X_n, F_{|X_n})$.

- For any $n \leq m$, $j_{n,m}$ induces a functorial isomorphism in $D^+({}_{G_n}(\Gamma(E,A)\text{-}mod))$

$$R\Gamma(X_n, F_{|X_n}) \simeq R\Gamma(G_{m,n}, R\Gamma(X_m, F_{|X_m}))$$

Proof. The only point is to check that $\Gamma(\underline{X}, -)$ sends injective sheaves to componentwise injective normic systems. To this end, we note that $F \in S_A(E)$ is sent to an $\underline{M} \in \underline{G}\Gamma(E,A)\text{-}mod$ where M_n, as described in the previous proposition, is nothing but the image of F through the composed functor

$$S_A(E) \overset{p_{n*}}{\to} S_{p_{n*}A}(B_{G_n}) \to (p_{n*}A(G_n), G_n)\text{-}mod \to {}_{G_n}\Gamma(E,A)\text{-}mod$$

where the second and third arrows are respectively the natural equivalence mentioned earlier and restriction of scalars from the G_n-ring $p_{n*}A(G_n) = A(X_n)$ to $\Gamma(E,A)$ with trivial action of G_n.

□

2.6. **Descent, codescent and duality.**

A normic system naturally gives rise to a pair of objects in the derived category of Iwasawa modules. The first one is obtained by forming the derived projective limit (along the $k_{m,n}$'s) while the second one is obtained by forming the inductive system (along the $j_{m,n}$'s) and then dualizing. We explain some remarkable properties satisfied by these two when the normic system arises from a sheaf as explained in the previous section.

In order to use the results of [Va], we now make the following assumptions.

- A is a constant ring $\mathbb{Z}_p$ so that the categories ${}_G(A\text{-}mod)$ (A-linear discrete G-modules) and $(G,A)\text{-}mod$ (A-semi-linear discrete G-modules) are the same (this category is denoted ${}_G\mathcal{C}$ in loc. cit).

- $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_p[[G]] := \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]$ is noetherian of finite global dimension $d+1$ and has an open pro-p-subgroup. As is well known, these condition is in particular verified if G is a compact p-adic Lie group of dimension d without p-torsion.

- *Limits.* Forgetting the $k_{m,n}$'s (resp. the $j_{n,m}$'s), inflating from G_n-modules to discrete G-modules (resp. abstract Λ-modules) and then taking the limits of the resulting inductive (resp. projective) system gives rise to a composed functor

$$\begin{array}{rccccl} & \underline{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod) & \to & ({}_G(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod))^{N^{op}} & \overset{\lim}{\to} & {}_G(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod) \\ (\text{resp.} & \underline{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod) & \to & (\Lambda\text{-}mod)^N & \overset{\lim}{\to} & \Lambda\text{-}mod \quad) \end{array}$$

which will simply be denoted $\varinjlim$ (resp. $\varprojlim$). The first is exact and thus passes to derived categories while the second is only left exact, but right derivable. From now on, *we always assume that* $R\varprojlim$ *has finite cohomological dimension.* This is e.g. the case if N has a numerable cofinal subset (as is always the case in practice).

Proposition 2.22. *There are canonical adjunctions*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} (\varinjlim, R\Gamma(\underline{G}, -)) & : & D^+({}_G(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod)) & \to & D^+(\underline{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod)) \\ (\underline{\Lambda}\overset{L}{\otimes}_\Lambda(-), R\varprojlim) & : & D^-(\underline{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod)) & \to & D^-(\Lambda\text{-}mod) \end{array}$$

Here, $\underline{\Lambda}$ *is the normic system of right* Λ*-modules* $(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n])$*. It induces an obvious right exact functor* $\underline{\Lambda} \otimes_\Lambda (-) : \Lambda\text{-}mod \to \underline{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod)$ *which is left derivable.*

Proof. The derived version are easily deduced from the obvious ordinary adjunctions. For (ii), we use that ${}_{\underline{G}}\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod$ has enough injectives (cf. prop. 2.14). In [Va], this was not known and a finiteness assumption was thus needed to avoid deriving $\varprojlim$ (cf. loc. cit. prop. 4.2. and rem. 4.3).

□

Note that in the above proposition, it is possible to make the adjunction morphisms involved functorial at the level of the complexes. Also, they can still be provided a functorial cone, as in loc. cit.

- *Duality.* Consider a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module I. If M (resp. M_n) is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module endowed with a left action of G (resp. G_n) then $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M, I)$ (resp. $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M_n, I)$) is endowed a left action of G (resp. G_n) as well by reversing the action on M (ie. $(g.f)(m) = f(g^{-1}m)$). If the action of Γ is discrete the action of G on $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M, I)$ extends Λ. If now $\underline{M} = (M_n, j_{n,m}, k_{m,n})$ is a normic system then $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\underline{M}, I) := (Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M_n, I), Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(k_{m,n}, I), Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(j_{n,m}, I))$ is a normic system as well. Using an injective resolution of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ we get duality functors

$$\begin{array}{rccc} RHom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p) & : & D^b({}_G(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod)) & \to & D^b(\Lambda\text{-}mod) \\ RHom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(-, \mathbb{Z}_p) & : & D^b(\underline{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod)) & \to & D^b(\underline{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod)) \end{array}$$

It might be useful to point out the following relation to Pontryagin duality:

$$RHom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq RHom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M, \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

If now M is a Λ-module we view $Hom_\Lambda(M, \Lambda)$ as a left Λ-module via the right action of Λ on itself and the involution $g \mapsto g^{-1}$. Using projective resolutions of M we get a

functor

$$RHom_\Lambda(-,\Lambda) \ : \ D^b(\Lambda\text{-}mod) \ \to \ D^b(\Lambda\text{-}mod)$$

Theorem 2.23. *Let E, X_n be as in paragraph 2.5.3.*

(i) (Descent) There is a canonical isomorphism of functors $D^+(S_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(E)) \to D^+({}_{\underline{G}}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod))$

$$R\Gamma(\underline{X},-) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(\underline{G}, \varinjlim R\Gamma(\underline{X},-))$$

(ii) Consider a bounded complex F of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules of E and assume that there exists q_0 such that $H^q(X_n, F_{|X_n})$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (resp. trivial) for any $n \in N$, $q \geq 0$ (resp. $q \geq q_0$).

(Codescent) Functorially in F, There is a canonical isomorphism in $D^+({}_{\underline{G}}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod))$:

$$\underline{\Lambda} \overset{L}{\otimes}_\Lambda R\varprojlim R\Gamma(\underline{X},F) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(\underline{X},F)$$

(Finitess) $R\varprojlim R\Gamma(\underline{X},F)$ and $RHom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\varinjlim R\Gamma(\underline{X},F),\mathbb{Z}_p)$ are in $D^p(\Lambda\text{-}mod)$ (the derived category of perfect complexes).

(Duality) Functorially in F, There is a canonical isomorphism in $D^p(\Lambda\text{-}mod)$:

$$RHom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\varinjlim R\Gamma(\underline{X},F),\mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq RHom_\Lambda(\varprojlim R\Gamma(\underline{X},F),\Lambda)$$

Proof. (i) We are going to check that the adjunction morphism of $(\varinjlim, R\Gamma(\underline{G},-))$ is an isomorphism. Replacing F by an injective resolution and truncating, one reduces to the case where F is an injective $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of E placed in degree 0. Let $\underline{M} := \Gamma(\underline{X},F)$. Then $\forall n$, M_n is injective in ${}_{G_n}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod)$ (indeed M_n corresponds to $p_{n*}F$ if one identifies the latter category to $S_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(B_{G_n})$) and the descent map induces an isomorphism $M_n \to M_m^{G_{m,n}}$. Similarly $\varinjlim M_n$ is injective and the adjunction morphism of descent occurring in $D^+({}_{\underline{G}}(R\text{-}mod))$ is represented at level n by the isomorphism $\Gamma(X_n, F_{|X_n}) \to \Gamma(H_n, \varinjlim_m \Gamma(X_m, F_{|X_m}))$.

(ii) Under the stated assumptions,

- $(Codescent)$ and $(Finiteness)$ follow from (i), by [Va] 4.4 (ii) et 4.6 (i).
- $(Duality)$ follows from $(Codescent)$, by loc. cit. 4.6 (iii).

□

3. K-theory for $\Lambda(G)$

For the convenience of the reader, we review the K-groups and determinant functors which are needed for our purpose. Unless specified otherwise, R denotes a (unitary) ring.

3.1. Review of K_0 and K_1.

The following definitions can be found in [Ba], ch. VII, IX.

- $K_0(R)$ is the abelian group defined by generators $[P]$, where P is a finitely generated projective R-modules, and relations
  - (i) $[P] = [Q]$ if P is isomorphic to Q as a R-module.
  - (ii) $[P \oplus Q] = [P] + [Q]$

- $K_1(R)$ is the abelian group defined by generators $[P, f]$, where P is a finitely generated projective R-module and f is an automorphism of P with relations (the group law is denoted multiplicatively):

(i) $[P,f]=[Q,g]$ if P is isomorphic to Q as a R-module via an isomorphism which is compatible with f and g.
(ii) $[P\oplus Q, f\oplus g]=[P,f][Q,g]$
(iii) $[P,fg]=[P,f][P,g]$

Note that if the ring R is noetherian and regular, then forgetting the word "projective" does not change the definitions (cf [Ba], IX, Proposition 2.1).

- The functor $Hom_R(-,R)$ realizes an anti-equivalence between finitely generated projective left modules and finitely generated projective right modules. As a result, one gets an isomorphism $K_i(R)\simeq K_i(R^{op})$, if R^{op} denotes the opposed ring. Both this isomorphism and its inverse will be denoted $(-)^*$ (eg. $[P,f]^*=[P^*,f^*]$ if f^* denotes the transpose of f).

- Morita equivalence. Let us fix a finitely generated projective right R-module V and let $V^*=Hom_R(V,R)$. Then V (resp. V^*) is naturally endowed with a natural structure of $((End_R(V),R)$-bimodule (resp. $(R,End_R(V))$-bimodule). Since V is projective and finitely generated, one has a canonical isomorphism of (R,R)-bimodules: $V^*\otimes_{End_R(V)}V\simeq R$ (resp. of $(End_R(V),End_R(V))$-bimodules: $V\otimes_R V^*\simeq End_R(V)$.

The functor $V^*\otimes_{End_R(V)}(-):End_R(V)\text{-}mod\to R\text{-}mod$ is thus an equivalence of categories, with $V\otimes_R(-)$ as quasi-inverse. In particular, there is a canonical isomorphism $K_i(End_R(V))\simeq K_i(R)$, $i=0,1$.

3.2. **The determinant functor.**

Let $\mathcal{P}(R)$ denote the category of strictly perfect (ie. bounded with projective finitely generated objects) complexes, $K^p(R)$ its homotopy category and $D^p(R)$ its essential image in the derived category (which is naturally equivalent, and identified, to $K^p(R)$). If $D^p(R)^{is}$ denotes the subcategory of $D^p(R)$ where morphisms are the isomorphisms of the latter we have by [Kn], th. 2.3, 2.12 a canonical functor

$$Det_R: D^p(R)^{is}\simeq K^p(R)^{is}\to\mathcal{C}_R \tag{13}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_R$ is the Picard category (ie. a category together with an endobifunctor, referred to as the *product*, endowed with associativity and commutativity isomorphisms satisfying natural compatibilities, unit objects and where every object and every morphism is invertible; cf [Kn] appendix A) considered in [FK] 1.2:

- An object of $\mathcal{C}_R$ is a couple (P,Q) of finitely generated projective R-modules.
- $Mor((P,Q),(P',Q'))$ is empty if $[P]-[Q]\neq[P']-[Q']$ in $K_0(R)$. Else, there exists an R-module M such that

$$P\oplus Q'\oplus M\simeq P'\oplus Q\oplus M.$$

We set $I_M:=Isom(P\oplus Q'\oplus M,P'\oplus Q\oplus M)$ and $G_M:=Aut(P'\oplus Q\oplus M)$ and we define the set of morphisms from (P,Q) to (P',Q') as $K_1(R)\times^{G_M}I_M$ where the right hand side denotes the quotient of $K_1(R)\times I_M$ by the action of G_M given by

$$(x,y)\mapsto(x\bar{g},g^{-1}y))$$

where $x\in K_1(R)$, $y\in I_M$, $g\in G_M$ and $\bar{g}$ is its image in $K_1(R)$. As seen easily, this set does not depend on M, up to a canonical isomorphism, and this can used to define composition in a natural way. Note that by definition, one has a

canonical identification $Aut_{\mathcal{C}_R}((P,Q)) = K_1(R)$ for any object (P,Q).

- The product is defined as

$$(P,Q).(P',Q') := (P \oplus P', Q \oplus Q').$$

and admits naturally $(0,0)$ as a unit. Every object (P,Q) in $\mathcal{C}_R$ admits $(P,Q)^{-1} := (Q,P)$ as a natural inverse.

It follows immediately from its construction that the functor (13) is compatible with base change, ie. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D^p(R') & \xrightarrow{Det_{R'}} & \mathcal{C}_{R'} \\ {\scriptstyle R' \overset{L}{\otimes}_R (-)}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle R' \otimes_R (-)} \\ D^p(R) & \xrightarrow{Det_R} & \mathcal{C}_R \end{array}$$

is naturally pseudo-commutative for any R'/R. Let us quickly review the construction of Det_R following [FK] 1.2.

- A strictly perfect complex P is sent to $Det_R(P) := (P^+, P^-)$ where $P^+ := \oplus_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z}} P^i$ and $P^- := \oplus_{i \in 1+2\mathbb{Z}} P^i$.
- Any exact sequence $0 \to P' \to P \to P'' \to 0$ of $\mathcal{P}(R)$ induces a canonical isomorphism $Det_R(P) \simeq Det_R(P').Det_R(P'')$. Using this, one constructs a canonical *trivialization* $can_P : Det_R(0) \simeq Det_R(P)$, for any strictly perfect complex P which is acyclic.
- If $C(f)$ denotes the mapping cone of a morphism $f : P \to P'$ of strictly perfect complexes then $Hom_{\mathcal{C}_R}(Det_R(P), Det_R(P'))$ identifies with $Hom_{\mathcal{C}_R}(Det_R(0), Det_R(C(f)))$ (indeed $C(f)^+ \simeq P^- \oplus P'^+$ and $C(f)^- \simeq P^+ \oplus P'^-$). In particular, when f is a quasi-isomorphism, then $can_{C(f)} : Det_R(0) \to Det_R(C(f))$ induces a morphism $Det_R(P) \to Det_R(P')$, which we denote $Det_R(f)$. One may check that it is compatible with composition and only depends on the homotopy class of f so that the functor Det_R is finally defined.

We will make essential use of the homorphism

$$Det_R : Aut_{D^p(R)}(P) \to Aut_{C_R}(Det_R(P)) = K_1(R) \tag{14}$$

which is induced by (13) for any perfect complex P. Of course if P is reduced to a (finitely generated projective) module P^0 placed in degree zero then $Det_R(P) = (P^0, 0)$ and $Det_R(f) : (P^0,0) \to (P^0,0)$ *is* the class of $f^0 : P^0 \to P^0$. In that case (14) is thus nothing but the tautological map $Aut_R(P^0) \to K_1(R)$.

Let us now state some multiplicative properties.

- Consider a morphism between exact sequences of strictly perfect complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P'_1 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P''_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & {\scriptstyle f'}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f''}\downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P'_2 & \longrightarrow & P_2 & \longrightarrow & P''_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphisms. The following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Det_R(P_1) & \simeq & Det_R(P_1').Det_R(P_1'') \\ {\scriptstyle Det_R(f)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Det_R(f').Det_R(f'')} \\ Det_R(P_2) & \simeq & Det_R(P_2').Det_R(P_2'') \end{array}$$

- Consider an automorphism f of some P in $K^p(R)$. Then:
  - $Det_R(f[1]) = Det_R(f)^{-1}$ in $Aut_{\mathcal{C}_R}(Det_R(P)) = K_1(R)$.
  - f is the homotopy class of some automorphism of the complex P. Let us fix such one and denote $f^q : P^q \to P^q$ its component of degree q. Then in $K_1(R) = Aut_{\mathcal{C}_R}(Det_R(P)) = Aut_{\mathcal{C}_R}(Det_R(P^q))$ we have $Det_R(f) = \prod Det_R(f^q)^{(-1)^q}$.
  - If P is cohomologically perfect (ie. each H^q is an object of $D^p(R)$) then $Det_R(f) = \prod Det_R(H^q(f))^{(-1)^q}$ in $K_1(R) = Aut_{\mathcal{C}_R}(Det_R(P)) = Aut_{\mathcal{C}_R}(Det_R(H^q(P)))$.

3.3. **Localization.**

The main K-group of interest for Iwasawa theory is a relative one. We recall its definition ([FK] 1.3). Consider a strictly full triangulated subcategory Σ of $D^p(R)$. The group $K_1(R, \Sigma)$ is then defined by generators and relations as follows:

- Generators: $[C, a]$ where C is an object of Σ and $a : Det_R(0) \to Det_R(C)$ is a *trivialization of* C.
- Relations: Let C, C', C" be objects of Σ.
  - If $C \simeq 0$ then $[C, can_C] = 1$.
  - If $f : C \simeq C'$, then compatible trivializations of C and C' give rise to the same element in $K_1(R, \Sigma)$ (ie. $[C', Det_R(f) \circ a] = [C, a]$ if $a : Det_R(0) \simeq Det_R(C)$).
  - If $0 \to C' \to C \to C'' \to 0$ is an exact sequence of $\mathcal{P}(R)$, and $a : 1 \simeq Det_R(C)$, $a' : 1 \simeq Det_R(C')$, then

$$[C, a] = [C', a'].[C'', a'']$$

    where $a : 1 \stackrel{a'.a''}{\to} Det_R(C').Det_R(C'') \simeq Det_R(C)$.

There is has a localization exact sequence (cf [FK] 1.3.15)

$$K_1(R) \longrightarrow K_1(R, \Sigma) \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} K_0(\Sigma) \longrightarrow K_0(R)$$

(here $K_0(\Sigma)$ denotes the Grothendieck group of the triangulated category Σ) where:

- the first map sends $[P, f] \in K_1(R)$ to complex $[P \stackrel{f}{\to} P]$ placed in degrees $[-1, 0]$, together with the trivialization which is represented by the identity of P.
- ∂ sends $[C, f] \in K_1(R, \Sigma)$ to the element $-[C] \in K_0(\Sigma)$.
- the last map sends the class of a strictly perfect complex $[C]$ to the alternated sum $\sum(-1)^i[C^i]$.

As checked easily, this localization sequence is functorial with respect to (R, Σ): if R' is an R-algebra, and if Σ' is a strictly full triangulated subcategory of $D^p(R')$ containing the essential image of Σ under the functor $R' \otimes^L_R (-) : D^p(R) \to D^p(R')$ then one has a morphism $K_1(R, \Sigma) \to K_1(R', \Sigma')$ which is compatible with the obvious functoriality maps.

If now $T \subset R$ is a left denominator set (cf [FK], 1.3.6) then we can apply the above constructions to the full triangulated subcategory Σ_T of $D^p(R)$ consisting of complexes

whose image under the functor $R_T \otimes^L_R (-) : D^p(R) \to D^p(R_T)$. We note that if R is noetherian and regular then $K_0(\Sigma_T)$ is isomorphic to the Grothendieck group of finitely generated T-torsion modules, by sending $[C]$ to $\sum(-1)^i H^i(C)$. For a general R we have the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *(*[FK]*, 1.3.7) There is a canonical isomorphism of groups*

$$K_1(R, \Sigma_T) \simeq K_1(R_T)$$

by sending $[C, a]$ to the isomorphism $Det_{R_T}(0) \xrightarrow{a_T} Det_{R_T} C_T \overset{can^{-1}_{C_T}}{\to} Det_{R_T}(0)$ viewed as an element of $K_1(R_T) = Aut_{\mathcal{C}_{R_T}}(Det_{R_T}(0))$ (here a_T and C_T are deduced from a and C by localization). This isomorphism is functorial with respect to (R, T).

□

Let us mention an alternative characterization of this isomorphism. Consider an endomorphism f of a strictly perfect complex P such that f_T is a quasi-isomorphism. Identifying $Hom_{\mathcal{C}_R}(Det_R(P), Det_R(P))$ with $Hom(Det_R(0), Det_R(C(f)))$ sends the identity of $Det_R(P)$ to a morphism $triv : Det_R(0) \to Det_R(C(f))$. Then the class $[C(f), triv] \in K_1(R, \Sigma_T)$ corresponds to $Det_{R_T}(f_T)^{-1} \in K_1(R_T)$ (both are indeed described by the same endomorphism of $P^+_T \oplus P^-_T$ coming from $can_{C(f)_T}$).

Crucial to us will be the following:

Lemma 3.2. *Consider morphisms $a, b : C \to C'$ in $D^p(R)$ whose localizations a_T, b_T are isomorphisms in $D^p(R_T)$. In $K_0(\Sigma_T)$, one has the equality*

$$\partial Det_{R_T}(a_T b_T^{-1}) = [C(a)] - [C(b)]$$

as long as the following condition holds:

$(frac)$ In $D^p(R)$, there exists a commutative square of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{a} & C' \\ {\scriptstyle b}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d} \\ C' & \xrightarrow{c} & C' \end{array}$$

in which c and d also become isomorphisms after localization by T.

Proof. An easy diagram chasing shows that $[C(c)] - [C(a)] = [C(d)] - [C(b)]$. Since $Det_{R_T}(a_T b_T^{-1}) = Det_{R_T}(d_T)^{-1} Det_{R_T}(c_T)$ and ∂ is a homomorphism, it thus suffices to prove that $\partial Det_{R_T}(c_T) = [C(c)]$ and $\partial Det_{R_T}(d_T) = [C(d)]$. But this is clear from the alternative characterization of the isomorphism $K_1(R, \Sigma_T) \simeq K_1(R_T)$ mentioned above.

□

We do not know whether or not the condition $(frac)$ always holds, neither if this computation of $\partial Det_{R_T}(a_T b_T^{-1})$ is always correct. We thus content ourselves with the following sufficient condition.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume R is noetherian and consider $a, b : C \to C'$ in $D^p(R)$. If there exists f in the center of R such that $R[\frac{1}{f}] \otimes^L_R C(b) = 0$, then one can find $c, d : C' \to C'$ such that $da = cb$. In fact one can chose $d = f^n$ for n large enough.*

Proof. Since cohomology modules of $C(b)$ are finitely generated and almost all of them are zero, it is possible to find n such that $f^n : C(b) \to C(b)$ is zero. But then, the long exact sequence of Ext's

$$\cdots \to Hom(C', C') \overset{Hom(b,C')}{\to} Hom(C, C') \to Ext^1(C(b), C') \to \cdots$$

shows that $f^n a$ has a trivial image in $Ext^1(C(b), C')$ and thus comes from some $c \in Hom(C', C')$ as claimed.

□

3.4. **The evaluation map at Artin representations.**

Consider a profinite group $G = \varprojlim_n G_n$ and a closed normal subgroup H such that $\Gamma := G/H$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We use the following notations.

- We let $\Lambda = \Lambda(G) := \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]$ denotes the Iwasawa algebra of G. We assume that this ring is left noetherian and regular (which will be the case when considering the Galois group of a p-adic Lie extension as in the introduction).

- If O is the ring of integers of a finite extension L of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, then $\Lambda_O(G) := \varprojlim_n O[G_n] \simeq O \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(G)$ and $\Lambda_L(G) := L \otimes_O \Lambda_O(G)$ have similar properties.

- S and S^* denote the canonical Ore sets defined in [CFKSV]. Recall that an element f of $\Lambda(G)$ is in S if and only if $\Lambda(G)/f$ is a finitely generated $\Lambda(H)$-module whereas $S^* = \cup_k p^k S$. As usual, $\Lambda_S = \Lambda(G)_S$ and $\Lambda_{S^*} = \Lambda(G)_{S^*}$ denote the corresponding localizations of Λ. If $G = \Gamma$ then $Q_O(\Gamma) := \Lambda(\Gamma)_{S^*}$ is the fraction field of $\Lambda_O(\Gamma)$.

- $\mathcal{M}_H(G)$ denotes the category of S^*-torsion finitely generated $\Lambda(G)$-modules. We recall that a finitely generated $\Lambda(G)$ module M is S-torsion (resp. S^*-torsion) if and only if it is a finitely generated $\Lambda(H)$-module (resp. modulo its p-torsion). In this context, the localization exact sequence reads:

$$K_1(\Lambda) \longrightarrow K_1(\Lambda_{S^*}) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_0(\mathcal{M}_H(G)) \longrightarrow K_0(\Lambda)$$

- If R is one of the previous (localized) Iwasawa algebras, one often prefers to endow the dual of a left module with a left action, deduced from the right one via the involution $g \mapsto g^{-1}$. This is our convention for duality of normic systems and their limit modules. In this paragraph though, we leave right modules on the right, for the sake of clarity.

Consider a free O-module V of finite rank V. For any O-algebra R (eg. $R = \Lambda_O(G)$), we denote V_R (resp. ${}_RV$) the right (resp. left) R-module $V \otimes_O R$ (resp. $R \otimes_O V$) deduced from V by extending scalars from O to R. Also, $({}_RV)^* := Hom_R({}_RV, R)$ (resp. $(V_R)^* := Hom_R(V_R, R)$) is systematically given its right (resp. left) R-module structure coming from the (R, R)-bimodule structure of R.

It might be useful to recall that one has a canonical isomorphism of left (resp. right) R-modules $(V_R)^* \simeq {}_R(V^*)$ (resp. $({}_RV)^* \simeq (V^*)_R$) and canonical isomorphisms of O-algebras $End_R((V_R)^*) \simeq End_R(V_R)^{op}$ and $End(({}_RV)^*) \simeq End({}_RV)^{op}$ (R-algebras). If now R is central O-algebra (eg. if $R = \Lambda_O(G)$) we have moreover canonical isomorphisms of O-algebras $End_R({}_RV) \simeq R^{op} \otimes_O End_O(V)$, $End_R(V_R) \simeq End_O(V) \otimes_O R$. These isomorphisms are subject to natural compatibilities, such as the commutativity of the

following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} End_R((_RV)^*) & \simeq & End_R(_RV)^{op} & \simeq & (R^{op}\otimes_O End_O(V))^{op} \\ \wr| & & & & \wr| \\ End_R((V^*)_R) & \simeq & End_O(V^*)\otimes_O R & \simeq & End_O(V)^{op}\otimes_O R \end{array}$$

Consider now an O-Artin representation $\rho : G \to Aut_O(V)$. By O-Artin representation we mean that V is as above and ρ has a finite image. Consider the unique homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebras

$$\Phi : \Lambda(G) \to End_{\Lambda_O(G)}(V_{\Lambda_O(G)})$$

sending $g \in G \subset \Lambda_O(G)$ to $v \otimes \lambda \mapsto \rho(g)(v) \otimes g\lambda$.

By functoriality of K_1, one has a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_1(\Lambda(G)) & \xrightarrow{K_1(\Phi)} & K_1(End_{\Lambda_O(G)}(V_{\Lambda_O(G)})) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{Morita} & K_1(\Lambda_O(G)) \\ & \searrow^{K_1(\rho)} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle K_1(\epsilon_V)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle K_1(\epsilon)} \\ & & K_1(End_O(V)) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{Morita} & K_1(O) \end{array}$$

(with tw_ρ the arc from $K_1(\Lambda(G))$ to $K_1(\Lambda_O(G))$)

where tw_ρ is the map sending $[P, f] \in K_1(\Lambda(G))$ to $[(V_{\Lambda_O(G)})^* \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} P, id \otimes f] \in K_1(\Lambda_O(G))$ where $(V_{\Lambda_O(G)})^*$ is viewed as a $(\Lambda_O(G), \Lambda(G))$-bimodule, the left action of $\Lambda_O(G)$ being the obvious one and the right action of $\Lambda(G)$ being deduced via Φ from the natural right action of $End_{\Lambda_O(G)}(V_{\Lambda_O(G)})$. Furthermore the image of this element in $K_1(O)$ is $[V^* \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} P, id_{V^*} \otimes f]$ where the right $\Lambda(G)$-module structure of V^* is deduced from the right action of G on V^*: $g \mapsto \rho(g)^*$. The composed homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(\Lambda(G)) & \to & K_1(O) \simeq O^\times \\ [P, f] & \mapsto & det_O(id_{V^*} \otimes f | V^* \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} P) \end{array}$$

where det_O denotes the usual (commutative) determinant, will simply be denoted ρ.

Remark 3.4. *(i) The element $tw_\rho([P, f])$ is also equal to $[V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P, id \otimes f]$. Indeed there are isomorphisms of left $\Lambda_O(G)$ modules*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (V_{\Lambda_O(G)})^* \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} P & \overset{\sim}{\leftarrow} & {}_{\Lambda_O(G)}(V^*) \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} P \\ & \overset{\sim}{\to} & V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P \end{array}$$

where the $(\Lambda_O(G), \Lambda(G))$-bimodule structure of ${}_{\Lambda_O(G)}(V^*)$ is given by $h.(\lambda \otimes \phi) = h\lambda \otimes \phi$ and $(\lambda \otimes \phi).h = \lambda h \otimes \phi \circ (\rho(h)))$, the left action of $\Lambda_O(G)$ on $V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P$ is given by $h.(\phi \otimes p) = \phi \circ (\rho(h^{-1})) \otimes hp$, the first isomorphism is induced by ${}_{\Lambda_O(G)}(V^*) \to (V_{\Lambda_O(G)})^*$, $\lambda \otimes \phi \mapsto (v \otimes \mu \mapsto \lambda\phi(v)\mu)$ and the second is given by $g \otimes \phi \otimes p \mapsto \phi \circ \rho(g^{-1}) \otimes gp$.

(ii) Let us examine the simple case where P is free with basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ to fix the ideas. The element $[V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P, id \otimes f]$ occurring in (i) above has then a more convenient description as follows. Sending $\phi \otimes ge_i$ to $\phi \circ \rho(g) \otimes ge_i$ realises an isomorphism of $V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P$ with $V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P$ viewed as a left $\Lambda_O(G)$-module via $h.(\phi \otimes \lambda) = \phi \otimes h\lambda$. Through this isomorphism $id \otimes f$ translates as the automorphism sending $\phi \otimes \lambda_j e_j$ to $\iota(\lambda_j f(e_j))$ where $\iota : V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P \to V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P$ sends $\phi \otimes ge_i$ to $\phi \circ \rho(g) \otimes ge_i$. In particular we find that via the determinant isomorphism $K_1(O) \simeq O^\times$, $\rho([P, f])$ is nothing but the determinant of the automorphism of $O \otimes_{\Lambda_O(G)} (V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P) \simeq \oplus_i V^* \otimes e_i$ sending $\phi \otimes e_j$ to $\sum_i \phi \circ \rho(f_i(e_j))$ if $f(e_j) = \sum f_i(e_j)e_i$.

Next, following [CFKSV], we consider the extension of ρ to a map

$$\rho : K_1(\Lambda(G)_{S^*}) \to L^\times \cup \{0; \infty\} \tag{15}$$

defined as $\epsilon\rho_{Q_O(\Gamma)}$ where:

- the map $\epsilon : Q_O(\Gamma)^\times \to L \cup \{\infty\}$ coincides with $\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I \to L$, the localization of augmentation map at the augmentation ideal I, and takes the value ∞ elsewhere (ie. at the elements of $Q_O(\Gamma)$ which are not integral at I).

- the map $\rho_{Q_O(\Gamma)} : K_1(\Lambda(G)_{S^*}) \to Q_O(\Gamma)^\times$ is defined by composing the obvious localized version of tw_ρ, $K_1(\Lambda(G)_{S^*}) \to K_1(\Lambda_O(G)_{S^*})$, the fonctioriality map $K_1(\Lambda_O(G)_{S^*}) \to K_1(Q_O(\Gamma))$ and the isomorphism $det_{Q_O(\Gamma)} : K_1(Q_O(\Gamma)) \simeq Q_O(\Gamma)^\times$ furnished the usual commutative determinant.

The map ρ is multiplicative in the sense of the usual partial multiplication

$$(L \times L) \cup ((L^\times \cup \{\infty\}) \times (L^\times \cup \{\infty\})) \to L \cup \{\infty\}$$

This means that for $x, y \in K_1(\Lambda(G)_{S^*})$:

(i) $\rho(x^{-1}) = \rho(x)^{-1}$.

(ii) the formula $\rho(x)\rho(y) = \rho(xy)$ is true as soon as it makes sense, ie. whenever $(\rho(x), \rho(y)) \neq (0, \infty), (\infty, 0)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $[P, f]$ in $K_1(\Lambda(G)_{S^*})$ and consider its dual $[P^\vee, f^\vee]$ where $(-)^\vee$ stands for $Hom_{\Lambda(G)_{S^*}}(-, \Lambda(G)_{S^*})$ endowed viewed as a left $\Lambda(G)_{S^*}$-module via the involution $g \mapsto g^{-1}$. If ρ is an Artin representation with contragredient $\rho^\vee$ then in $K_1(L)$:*

$$\rho([P, f]) = \rho^\vee([P^\vee, f^\vee])$$

Proof. One easily reduces to the analogous statement for $\Lambda = \Lambda(G)$ in place of $\Lambda(G)_{S^*}$. In virtue of 3.4 (i), it is then sufficient to prove that for a finitely generated projective P over $\Lambda(G)$ we have in $L^\times$:

$$det_L(id \otimes id \otimes f | L \otimes_{\Lambda_O} (V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P)) = det_L(id \otimes id \otimes Hom_\Lambda(f, \Lambda) | L \otimes_{\Lambda_O} ((V^\vee)^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Hom_\Lambda(P, \Lambda)))$$

the action of Λ_O on $V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P$ (resp. $(V^\vee)^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Hom_\Lambda(P, \Lambda) = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Hom_\Lambda(P, \Lambda)$) being given by $g.(\phi \otimes p) = \phi \circ \rho(g^{-1}) \otimes gp$ (resp. $g.(v \otimes \phi) = \rho(g)v \otimes (p \mapsto \phi(p)g^{-1})$). Since the determinant of an endomorphism and its transpose are equal it is sufficient to build an isomorphism

$$Hom_L(L \otimes_{\Lambda_O} (V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P), L) \simeq L \otimes_{\Lambda_O} ((V^\vee)^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Hom_\Lambda(P, \Lambda)) \tag{16}$$

identifying $Hom_L(id \otimes id \otimes f, L)$ to $id \otimes id \otimes Hom_\Lambda(f, \Lambda)$. Let us examine the left term of (16). Let us chose n such that ρ factors through $G_n = G/H_n$. Denoting $V_L = L \otimes_O V$ we have the following series of natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} Hom_L(L \otimes_{\Lambda_O} (V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P), L) &\simeq Hom_L((V_L^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P)_G, L) \\ &\simeq Hom_L(V_L^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P_{H_n}, L)^{G_n} \\ &\simeq (V_L \otimes_L Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(P_{H_n}, L))^{G_n} \\ &\simeq (V_L \otimes_L Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(P_{H_n}, L))_{G_n} \end{aligned}$$

where the invariants or coinvariants occurring respectively in the second, third, fourth and fifth term are taken with respect to the following left actions of G or G_n: $g.(\phi \otimes p) =$

$\phi \circ \rho(g^{-1}) \otimes gp$, $g.\psi = (\phi \otimes p \mapsto \psi(\phi \circ \rho(g) \otimes g^{-1}p))$, $g.(v \otimes \phi) = \rho(g)v \otimes (p \mapsto \phi(g^{-1}p))$, $g.(v \otimes \phi) = \rho(g)v \otimes (p \mapsto \phi(g^{-1}p))$. Regarding the second term of (16) we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} L \otimes_{\Lambda_O} ((V^\vee)^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Hom_\Lambda(P,\Lambda)) & \simeq & (V_L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Hom_\Lambda(P,\Lambda))_G \\ & \simeq & (V_L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Hom_\Lambda(P,\Lambda)_{H_n})_{G_n} \\ & \simeq & (V_L \otimes_L Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]}(P_{H_n}, L[G_n]))_{G_n} \end{array}$$

where the coinvariants occurring respectively in the second, third, third and fourth term are taken with respect to the following left action of G, H_n, G_n and G_n: $g.(v \otimes \phi) = \rho(g)v \otimes (p \mapsto \phi(p)g^{-1})$, $h.\phi = (p \mapsto \phi(p)h^{-1})$, $g.(v \otimes \phi) = \rho(g)v \otimes (p \mapsto \phi(p)g^{-1})$, $g.(v \otimes \phi) = \rho(g)v \otimes (p \mapsto \phi(p)g^{-1})$. We finally obtain (16) by noticing the isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(P_{H_n}, L) & \simeq & Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]}(P_{H_n}, L[G_n]) \\ \phi & \mapsto & (p \mapsto \sum_{g \in G_n} \phi(gp)[g^{-1}]) \end{array}$$

The reader may easily check by himself that (16) is compatible with the automorphisms induced by f as desired.

□

The next results concerning the computation of (15) composed with (13) will be useful to us.

Lemma 3.6. *Consider an automorphism f of an object C of $D^p(\Lambda(G)_{S^*})$ as well as its determinant $Det_{\Lambda(G)_{S^*}}(f) \in K_1(\Lambda(G)_{S^*})$ (cf. 13). In $Q_O(\Gamma)^\times$ we have:*

$$\rho_{Q_O(\Gamma)}(Det_{\Lambda(G)_{S^*}}(f)) = \prod_q det_{Q_O(\Gamma)}(H^q(id \otimes f) | H^q((V_{Q_O(\Gamma)})^* \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G)^*_S} C))^{(-1)^q}$$

where the tensor product occurring on the right hand side is taken with respect to the right $\Lambda(G)_{S^*}$-module structure of $(V_{Q_O(\Gamma)})^*$ defined using Φ in the obvious way.

Proof. We can always assume that C is strictly perfect. In this case we have by definition of $\rho_{Q_O(\Gamma)}$ and compatibility of Det with scalar extension:

$$\rho_{Q_O(\Gamma)}(Det_{\Lambda(G)_{S^*}}(f)) \;=\; det_{Q_O(\Gamma)}(Det_{Q_O(\Gamma)}(id \otimes f))$$

where $id \otimes f$ is the automorphism of $(V_{Q_O(\Gamma)})^* \otimes_{\Lambda(G)^*_S} C \in D^p(Q_O(\Gamma))$ deduced from f. Now $Q_O(\Gamma)$ being a field, the complex $(V_{Q_O(\Gamma)})^* \otimes_{\Lambda(G)_{S^*}} C$ is cohomologically perfect, and thus

$$\begin{array}{rcl} det_{Q_O(\Gamma)} Det_{Q_O(\Gamma)}(id \otimes f) & = & \prod_q det_{Q_O(\Gamma)} Det_{Q_O(\Gamma)}(H^q(id \otimes f))^{(-1)^q} \\ & = & \prod_q det_{Q_O(\Gamma)}(H^q(id \otimes f) | H^q((V_{Q_O(\Gamma)})^* \otimes_{\Lambda(G)_{S^*}} C))^{(-1)^q} \end{array}$$

□

Lemma 3.7. *Let ϵ, I, $\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I$ as in (15). Consider a finitely generated $\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I$-module M together with an endomorphism $f : M \to M$ such that $Q_O(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I} f$ is invertible. The formula*

$$\begin{array}{l} \epsilon det_{Q_O(\Gamma)}(id \otimes f | Q_O(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I} M) \\ \quad = det_L(Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(id,f) | Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L,M)).det_L(Tor_1^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(id,f) | Tor_1^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L,M))^{-1} \end{array}$$

is true whenever the right hand term makes sense in $L^\times \cup \{0, \infty\}$.

Proof. Let $M' \subset M$ denote the $\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I$-torsion submodule of M, and $M'' := M/M'$. Let us denote $f' : M' \to M'$, $f'' : M'' \to M''$ the endomorphisms induced by f.

On the one hand, $Q_O(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I} M' = 0$, and thus

$$det_{Q_O(\Gamma)}(id \otimes f | Q_O(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I} M) = det_{Q_O(\Gamma)}(id \otimes f'' | Q_O(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I} M'')$$

On the other hand, M'' is free since $\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I$ is a dvr. We thus have a short exact sequence and an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{lll} Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M') & \hookrightarrow & Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M) \twoheadrightarrow Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M'') \\ Tor_1^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M') & \simeq & Tor_1^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M) \end{array}$$

both of which are compatible with f. Whence equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} &det_L(Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(id, f) | Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M)) \\ &\quad = det_L(Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(id, f) | Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M')) det_L(Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(id, f) | Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M'')) \end{aligned}$$

$$det_L(Tor_1^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(id, f) | Tor_1^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M)) = det_L(Tor_1^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(id, f) | Tor_1^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M'))$$

Now M' is of finite length, and is thus subject to Koszul duality:

$$Hom_L(Tor_1^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M'), L) \simeq Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, Hom_L(M', L))$$

Since det_L isn't affected by L-linear duality, this shows that

$$det_L(Tor_1^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(id, f) | Tor_1^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M')) = det_L(Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(id, f) | Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M'))$$

Now, in the formula to be proven, we see that the right hand term makes sense if and only if $det_L(Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(id, f) | Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M'))$ is non zero (ie. if and only if $det_L(Tor_1^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(id, f) | Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M))$ is non zero) in which case the factors $det_L(Tor_q^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(id, f) | Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M'))$, $q = 0, 1$, cancel each other and the desired formula reduces to the obvious equality

$$\epsilon det_{Q_O(\Gamma)}(id \otimes f | Q_O(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I} M'') = det_L(Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(id, f) | Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, M''))$$

□

4. Selmer complexes and crystalline cohomology

In this section we define Selmer complexes for abelian varieties over a one variable function field. We begin with their basic properties and a duality theorem. In the semi-stable case we will also recall their interpretation in terms of crystalline syntomic complexes following [TV].

4.1. **Preliminaries.** Let us begin with some technical facts regarding derived categories.

4.1.1. We will frequently use derived categories of the type $D(\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}})$, where $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}}$ denotes the category of contravariant functors $\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}$ being an abelian category. Note that $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}}$ is an abelian category. If $\mathcal{C}$ has enough injectives as well as products indexed by the subsets of $Ob(\mathcal{I})$, then the same holds for $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of modules on a ringed topos (E, A), $Mod(E, A)^{\mathcal{I}}$ naturally identifies with the category of modules on the topos $(E^{\mathcal{I}}, A)$. This point of view offers the possibility to consider the more general category $Mod(E^{\mathcal{I}}, A_\cdot)$, with $A_\cdot$ a projective system of rings of E indexed by $\mathcal{I}$.

These categories are especially useful for some particular choices of $\mathcal{I}$ which we explain now.

- Let $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{N}$ viewed as the category where $Hom(k, k')$ has one element if $k \leq k'$ and is empty otherwise (resp. $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{N}^{op}$, $N_2 := \mathbb{N} \sqcup_{|\mathbb{N}|} \mathbb{N}^{op}$). In that case, the objects of the category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}}$ are the projective systems of $\mathcal{C}$ indexed by integers (resp. the inductive systems of $\mathcal{A}$ indexed by integers, resp. the triples $((A_k)_k, (p_{k',k})_{k' \geq k}, (i_{k,k'})_{k \leq k'})$ where $((A_k)_k, (p_{k',k})_{k' \geq k})$ is in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $((A_k)_k, (i_{k,k'})_{k \leq k'})$ is in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}^{op}}$).

- Let $\mathcal{I} = [1]^a$ for some integer $a \geq 1$, $[1]$ denoting the category $\{0, 1, \leq\}$. In that case, we think of objects of $D(\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{I}})$ as $(a+1)$-uple naive complexes which are zero outside a specified range of the form $]-\infty, +\infty[\times [i_1, i_1 + 1] \times \ldots, \times [i_a, i_a + 1]$. The interest of this category lies in the fact that forming total complexes (with an appropriate sign convention which the interested reader is invited to specify) give rise to a triangulated functor $Tot_{a+1} : D(\mathcal{C}^{[1]^a}) \to D(\mathcal{C})$.

4.1.2. The case $\mathcal{I} = [1]$ is already interesting since Tot_2 gives rise to a functorial version of the mapping cone / mapping fiber construction. Let us discuss a fact that will be used repeatedly. A natural transformation $t : F_1 \to F_2$ between functors $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}'$ can be though as a functor F taking its values in $\mathcal{C}'^{[1]}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ has enough injectives we can form the derived functor $RF : D(\mathcal{C}) \to D(\mathcal{C}'^{[1]})$. Next, we define $MF(t) := Tot_2 \circ RF$. Since the mapping fiber construction gives a functor from $D(\mathcal{C}'^{[1]})$ to that of distinguished triangles in $D(\mathcal{C}')$ we find a canonical distinguished triangle $MF(t)(C) \to RF_1(C) \to RF_2(C) \to MF(t)(C)[1]$ varying functorially with respect to C in $D(\mathcal{C})$. Consider furthermore $F_0(C) := Ker(F_1(C) \to F_2(C))$. Then F_0 defines a right derivable functor. Since $RF_0 \simeq MF(t_0)$ for $t_0 : F_0 \to 0$ and t_0 canonically maps to t, one gets a canonical morphism $RF_0 \to MF(t)$. This natural transformation is an isomorphism as long as the morphism $F_1(C) \to F_2(C)$ is epimorphic for injective C (because in that case the sequence $0 \to F_0(C) \to F_1(C) \to F_2(C) \to 0$ is exact and the natural transformation in question is the one occurring in the construction of the distinguished triangle associated to a short exact sequence).

4.2. **Flat cohomology of C vanishing at Z.**

4.2.1. If S is any scheme, we denote

$$\epsilon : S_{FL} \to S_{et}$$

the natural morphism from the big flat topos of S (ie. the category of sheaves on Sch/S endowed with the topology generated by surjective families of flat morphisms of finite type) to the small étale one (ie. the category of sheaves one the category Et/S of étale S-schemes endowed with the topology generated by surjective families, cf SGA 4, VII, 1.2). The morphism ϵ is functorial with respect to S, ie. there is a canonical isomorphism $f\epsilon_S \simeq \epsilon_{S'} f$ for any $f : S_{et} \to S'_{et}$ induced by a morphism of schemes $f : S \to S'$. However, the reader should be aware that the base change morphism $f^{-1}\epsilon_* \to \epsilon_* f^{-1}$ is certainly not an isomorphism in general if f is not étale.

Definition 4.1. *Keep the above notations and let furthermore $i : T \hookrightarrow S$ be the inclusion of a closed subscheme as well as $j : Y \to S$ that of it open complement. We also assume given a projective system of torsors $\underline{S} = (S_n, G_n)$ where G_n is a finite quotient of a*

profinite group G and denote $\Gamma(\underline{S},-) : Mod(S_{et},\mathbb{Z}) \to {}_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z})$ the associated normic sections functor.

We define the following.

(i) The functor of global sections vanishing at T,

$$\Gamma^T(S,-) : Mod(S_{FL},\mathbb{Z}) \to Mod(\mathbb{Z})$$

is defined as $\Gamma^T(S,F) := Ker(F(S) \to F(T))$.

(ii) The functor of étale sections vanishing at T,

$$\epsilon_*^T : Mod(S_{FL},\mathbb{Z}) \to Mod(S_{et},\mathbb{Z})$$

is defined as $\epsilon_*^T F := Ker(\epsilon_* F \to \epsilon_* i_* i^{-1} F)$.

(iii) The functor of normic sections vanishing at T,

$$\Gamma^T(\underline{S},-) : Mod(S_{FL},\mathbb{Z}) \to {}_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z})$$

is defined as $\Gamma^T(\underline{S},F) := \Gamma(\underline{S},\epsilon_*^T F)$. *Its n^{th} component is thus* $Ker(F(S_n) \to F(T_n))$ *where* $T_n := S_n \times_S T$.

Note that by definition, one may respectively retrieve $\Gamma^T(S,-)$ and $\Gamma^T(\underline{S},-)$ from ϵ_*^T by forming global and normic sections.

Lemma 4.2. *The above functors are right derivable and their derived functors are subject to natural distinguished triangles in $D(S_{et})$,$D(Mod(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $D({}_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z}))$ which are functorial with respect to F in $D^+(S_{FL})$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} R\epsilon_*^T F & \longrightarrow & R\epsilon_* F & \longrightarrow & Ri_*R\epsilon_* F_{|T} & \xrightarrow{+1} & \\ R\Gamma^T(S,F) & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(S,F) & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(T,F_{|T}) & \xrightarrow{+1} & \\ R\Gamma^T(\underline{S},F) & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(\underline{S},F) & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(\underline{T},F_{|T}) & \xrightarrow{+1} & \end{array}$$

where $\underline{T}$ and $\underline{Y}$ denote the projective systems of torsors deduced from $\underline{S}$.

Proof. Since injective abelian sheaves of S_{FL} are flasque in the sense of [SGA 4, exp V, 4.7] it follows from the discussion 4.1.2 that $R\epsilon_*^T$ identifies with the functorial mapping fiber of the natural transformation $R\epsilon_* \to R(\epsilon_* i_* i^{-1})$ arising from $\epsilon_* \to \epsilon_* i_* i^{-1}$. Now, i^{-1} preserves injectives (i is a localization morphism) and thus $R(\epsilon_* i_* i^{-1}) \simeq R(\epsilon_* i_*) i^{-1}$. The first distinguished triangle follows since $R(\epsilon_* i_*) \simeq Ri_* R\epsilon_*$. The other cases are similar.

□

4.3. **Selmer complexes.**

4.3.1. We consider the following situation:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z_v & \xrightarrow{z_v} & C_v & \xleftarrow{j_v} & U_v \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{Z_v}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{C_v}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{U_v}} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{z} & C & \xleftarrow{j} & U \end{array} \tag{17}$$

where:

- C is a connected proper smooth 1-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme with function field K and constant field k.

- Z is an effective divisor on C, ie. a finite union of 0-dimensionnal irreducible closed subschemes Z_v. We denote $Z_v^{red} = Spec(k_v)$ and $Z^{red} = \sqcup_v Z_v^{red}$ the underlying reduced schemes.
- U denotes the open subcheme of C which is complementary to Z.
- For each v in $|Z|$, we denote O_v the completion of the local ring of C at v, K_v its fraction field and $C_v = Spec(O_v)$, $U_v = Spec(K_v)$.
- The arrows $i, j, j_v, i_v, \iota_{U_v}, \iota_{C_v}, \iota_{Z_v}$ are the obvious ones.

We fix moreover a profinite galois extension K_∞/K with group $G = limproj\, G_n$ satisfying the following properties:
- G is a p-adic Lie group without p-torsion,
- K_∞/K is unramified everywhere and contains the constant $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension $K_{ar} = Kk_\infty/K$ whose galois group is denoted Γ.

Thanks to the second assumption, there is an essentially unique projective system of torsors $\underline{C} = (C_n, G_n)$ over C corresponding to the galois tower $\underline{K} = (K_n, G_n)$ over F.

Finally we fix an abelian variety A over K and denote $\mathcal{A}$ its Neron model over C. We always assume that A has good reduction outside Z (ie. $A_{|U}$ is an abelian scheme over U).

4.3.2. We place ourselves in the situation described in 4.3.1. The divisor Z will be allowed to vary, but its support will always contain the points of bad reduction of A. Unless specified otherwise, cohomology is meant in the sense of big flat topoi. The purpose of this section is to give a tractable definition for normic Selmer complexes and to establish its basic properties as well as its relation to the complex of normic cohomology vanishing at Z.

4.3.3. To define the Selmer complex we need the following intermediary functors. We use the notations $\iota_{C_v} : C_v \to C$, $j_v : U_v \to C_v$ for all places of C (not only those of Z).
- $F_{(U)} : Mod(C_{FL}, \mathbb{Z}) \to Mod(C_{et}, \mathbb{Z})^{[1]}$ is the functor taking $F \in Mod(C_{FL}, \mathbb{Z})$ to

$$\left[j_*\epsilon_* F_{|U} \quad \longrightarrow \quad (\prod_{v\in|U|} \iota_{C_v,*}\epsilon_* F_{|C_v}) \times (\prod_{v\in|Z|} \iota_{C_v,*} j_{v,*}\epsilon_* F_{|U_v}) \right]$$

Note that for $Z \subset Z'$, there is an obvious natural transformation $F_{(U)} \to F_{(U')}$. As a result, there is also a functor $F_{(-)} : Mod(C_{FL}, \mathbb{Z}) \to Mod(C_{et}, \mathbb{Z})^{[1]\times\mathcal{U}}$ where $\mathcal{U}$ denotes the filtering set of open subschemes of C.
- $\tau_{\geq[0\,1]} : D(Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{[1]}) \to D(Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{[1]})$ (resp. $D(_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{[1]}) \to D(_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{[1]})$, $D(_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{[1]\times\mathcal{U}}) \to D(_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{[1]\times\mathcal{U}})$) is described as

$$\left[A \quad \longrightarrow \quad B\right] \quad \mapsto \left[A \quad \longrightarrow \quad \tau_{\geq 1}B\right]$$

Definition 4.3. *We define Selmer functors as follows.*
(*i*) *The* (U)-Selmer functor

$$Sel_{(U)}(C, -) : D^+(C_{FL}) \to D^+(Mod(\mathbb{Z}))$$

is defined as $Sel_{(U)}(C, -) := Tot_2 \circ \tau_{\geq[0\,1]} \circ R\Gamma(C, -) \circ RF_{(U)}$.
(*ii*) *The* (U)-normic Selmer functor

$$Sel_{(U)}(\underline{C}, -) : D^+(C_{FL}) \to D^+(_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z}))$$

is defined as $Sel_{(U)}(\underline{C}, -) := Tot_2 \circ \tau_{\geq[0\,1]} \circ R\Gamma(\underline{C}, -) \circ RF_{(U)}$.

(*iii*) *The* $\mathcal{U}$-normic Selmer functor

$$Sel_{(-)}(\underline{C},-) : D^+(C_{FL}) \to D^+({}_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{\mathcal{U}})$$

is defined as $Sel_{(-)}(\underline{C},-) := Tot_2 \circ \tau_{\geq[0\,1]} \circ R\Gamma(\underline{C},-) \circ RF_{(-)}$.

Let us point out that those Selmer functors are not triangulated, since their definition involves truncations.

Remark 4.4. (*i*) *The functor* (*ii*) *(resp.* (*i*)*) can be retrieved from functor* (*iii*) *(resp.* (*ii*)*) by taking* U*-components of the inductive system (resp. component* 0 *of the normic systems).*

(*ii*) *It follows immediately from the definition that the functor* $Sel_{(U)}(\underline{C})$ *of fits into a "distinguished triangle of functors"*

$$Sel_{(U)}(\underline{C}) \;\to\; R\Gamma(\underline{U}) \;\to\; (\prod_{v\in|U|} \tau_{\geq 1} R\Gamma(\underline{C}_v)) \times (\prod_{v\in|Z|} \tau_{\geq 1} R\Gamma(\underline{U}_v)) \;\stackrel{+1}{\to}$$

and similarly for $Sel_{(U)}(C)$*. In the literature Selmer complexes are usually designed to fit into a distinguished triangle where* $\tau_{\geq 1}R\Gamma(\underline{C}_v))$ *does not appear. This factor will disappear for abelian varieties with good reduction over* U *(see prop. 4.7 below). The reason why we add this term is to get functoriality with respect to* U*. Note that the functoriality of* $Sel_{(U)}$ *not only occurs in the derived category, but already at the level of complexes. This fact is reflected by the existence of* $Sel_{(-)}$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let* $Z \subset Z'$, $U' = C - Z'$, $U = C - Z$, *so that* $U' \subset U$.

(*i*) *The following natural morphisms in* $D({}_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z}))$ *are invertible:*

$$Sel_{(U)}(\underline{C},\mathcal{A}) \;\stackrel{(1)}{\longrightarrow}\; Sel_{(U')}(\underline{C},\mathcal{A}) \;\stackrel{(2)}{\longrightarrow}\; \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} Sel_{(-)}(\underline{C},\mathcal{A})$$

(*ii*) *If* Φ_v/Z_v^{red} *denotes the component group of* $\mathcal{A}$ *at* v*, we have*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \tau_{\geq 1}R\Gamma(\underline{C}_v,\mathcal{A}_{|C_v}) & \simeq & \tau_{\geq 1}R\Gamma(\underline{Z}_v^{red},\mathcal{A}_{|Z_v^{red}}) \\ & \simeq & H^1(\underline{Z}_v^{red},\Phi_v)[-1] \end{array} \tag{18}$$

This group is thus in particular zero if $\mathcal{A}$ *has good reduction at* v.

Proof. (*i*) It suffices to prove the assertion about (1). One has in $D({}_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z}))$ a tautological diagram with distinguished rows and columns as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
Sel_{(U)}(\underline{C},F) & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(\underline{U}) & \longrightarrow & (\prod_{v\in|U|} \tau_{\geq 1}R\Gamma(\underline{C}_v)) \times (\prod_{v\in|Z|} \tau_{\geq 1}R\Gamma(\underline{U}_v)) & \stackrel{+1}{\longrightarrow} \\
{\scriptstyle a}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle b}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle c}\downarrow & & \\
Sel_{(U')}(\underline{C},F) & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(\underline{U}') & \longrightarrow & (\prod_{v\in|U'|} \tau_{\geq 1}R\Gamma(\underline{C}_v)) \times (\prod_{v\in|Z'|} \tau_{\geq 1}R\Gamma(\underline{U}_v)) & \stackrel{+1}{\longrightarrow} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
C(a) & \longrightarrow & C(b) & \longrightarrow & C(c) & \stackrel{+1}{\longrightarrow} & \\
{\scriptstyle +1}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle +1}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle +1}\downarrow & &
\end{array}$$

where we wrote $R\Gamma(\underline{U})$ instead of $R\Gamma(\underline{U},F_{|U})$ by lack of space and similarly for U', C_v, and U_v. The morphism b is induced by restriction from U to U'. The morphism c is induced by restriction from C_v to U_v for $v \in |Z'|\backslash|Z| = |U|\backslash|U'|$ and is the identity for other v's. The definition of a, $C(a)$, $C(b)$, $C(c)$ is tautological. Let us compute these mapping cones.

To begin with, we note that by definition of relative cohomology

$$C(b) \simeq R\Gamma_{U\backslash U'}(\underline{U}, F_{|U})[1]$$

Next we note that there is an natural morphism

$$\prod_{v\in|Z'|\backslash|Z|} (R\Gamma_{Z_v}(\underline{U}_v, F_{|U_v})[1]) \to C(c)$$

which is invertible if the restriction morphisms

$$R\Gamma(\underline{C}_v, F_{|C_v}) \to R\Gamma(\underline{U}_v, F_{|U_v})$$

are invertible in degree 0. This is the case for $F = \mathcal{A}$ by the Neron extension property.

The morphism $C(b) \to C(c)$ is thus induced by

$$R\Gamma_{U\backslash U'}(\underline{U}, \mathcal{A}) \to \prod_{v\in|Z'|\backslash|Z|} R\Gamma_{Z_v}(\underline{C}_v, \mathcal{A})$$

and is thus an isomorphism by [Mi1], III, 1.28 and [Mi2], III, 7.14. It follows that $C(a) = 0$, ie. that a is an isomorphism.

(ii) The first isomorphism is by [Mi1], III 3.11 and the second is by Lang's lemma for the smooth connected algebraic group ([La], thm. 2) $\mathcal{A}^0_{Z^{red}_{n,v}}$.

□

4.3.4. We are now in position to define the Selmer complex of A/K. The reader will retrieve the usual description below (4.7 (iii), 4.10).

Definition 4.6. *We define the following:*

(i) *The* Selmer complex of A *is defined in* $D^b(_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z}))$ *as*

$$Sel(A/\underline{K}) := \lim_{\longrightarrow \mathcal{U}} Sel_{(-)}(\underline{C}, \mathcal{A})$$

(ii) *The* $p^{\cdot}$-Selmer complex of A *is defined in* $D^b(_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{N_2})$ *as*

$$Sel_{p^{\cdot}}(A/\underline{K}) := \mathbb{Z}/p^{\cdot} \overset{L}{\otimes} Sel(A/K)[-1]$$

(iii) *The* p^∞-Selmer complex of A *is defined in* $D^b(_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ *as*

$$Sel_{p^\infty}(A/\underline{K}) := \lim_{\longrightarrow} Sel_{p^{\cdot}}(A/\underline{K})$$

(iv) *The* T_p-Selmer complex of A *is defined in* $D^b(_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z}_p))$ *as*

$$Sel_{T_p}(A/\underline{K}) := R\lim_{\longleftarrow} Sel_{p^{\cdot}}(A/\underline{K})$$

The following proposition summarizes the relations between the Selmer complex of an abelian variety, its cohomology over C, its cohomology vanishing at Z, its cohomology over U and its compactly supported cohomology over U.

Proposition 4.7. (i) *$Sel(A/\underline{K})$ fits into canonical distinguished triangles as follows.*

$$\begin{array}{lllll}
Sel(A/\underline{K}) & \longrightarrow R\Gamma(\underline{C}, \mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\in|Z|} H^1(\underline{C}_v, \mathcal{A}_{|C_v})[-1] & \xrightarrow{+1} \\
Sel(A/\underline{K}) & \longrightarrow R\Gamma(\underline{U}, \mathcal{A}_{|U}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\in|Z|} H^1(\underline{U}_v, \mathcal{A}_{|U_v})[-1] & \xrightarrow{+1} \\
Sel(A/\underline{K}) & \longrightarrow R\Gamma(\underline{F}, A) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v\in|C|} H^1(\underline{U}_v, A_{|U_v})[-1] & \xrightarrow{+1}
\end{array}$$

(ii) $Sel_{p^\cdot}(A/\underline{K})$ compares to cohomology over C (resp. vanishing at Z) via canonical distinguished triangles as follows.

$$Sel_{p^\cdot}(A/\underline{K}) \to R\Gamma(\underline{C}, \mathbb{Z}/p^\cdot \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{A}[-1]) \to \prod_{v\in|Z|} \mathbb{Z}/p^\cdot \overset{L}{\otimes} H^1(\underline{k}_v, \Phi_v)[-2] \overset{+1}{\to}$$

$$R\Gamma^Z(\underline{C}, \mathbb{Z}/p^\cdot \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{A}[-1]) \to Sel_{p^\cdot}(A/\underline{K}) \to \oplus_{v\in|Z|} \mathbb{Z}/p^\cdot \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{A}(\underline{Z}_v)[-1] \overset{+1}{\to}$$

(iii) $Sel_{p^\cdot}(A/\underline{K})$ compares to (resp. compactly supported) cohomology over U via canonical distinguished triangles as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Sel_{p^\cdot}(A/\underline{K}) & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(\underline{U}, (\mathcal{A}_{|U,p^\cdot})) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\in|Z|} \mathbb{Z}/p^\cdot \overset{L}{\otimes} H^1(\underline{F}_v, A)[-2] & \xrightarrow{+1} & \\ R\Gamma_c(\underline{U}, (\mathcal{A}_{|U,p^\cdot})) & \longrightarrow & Sel_{p^\cdot}(A/\underline{K}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\in|Z|} \mathbb{Z}/p^\cdot \overset{L}{\otimes} A(\underline{F}_v)[-1] & \xrightarrow{+1} & \end{array}$$

Proof. The first and second distinguished triangles follow immediately from rem. 4.4 (ii) and prop. 4.5 (ii). The third one follows from the second one since étale cohomology over $Spec(F) = limproj_{U\in\mathcal{U}} U$ can be computed as a limit [SGA4], VII, 5.7 (note that the cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$ can be computed with respect to the étale topology since it is a smooth algebraic group).

(ii) The first distinguished triangle is deduced from the first one of (i) by applying $\mathbb{Z}/p^\cdot \otimes^L (-)[-1]$ (observe that this functor commutes to $R\Gamma(\underline{C}, -)$ and use (18)). To get the second one, we remark that the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\Gamma(\underline{C}, \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{sp_Z} & R\Gamma(\underline{Z}, \mathcal{A}_{|Z}) \\ {\scriptstyle d}\downarrow \| & & {\scriptstyle d'}\downarrow \\ R\Gamma(\underline{C}, \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{sp^1_Z} & \tau_{\geq 1} R\Gamma(\underline{Z}, \mathcal{A}_{|Z}) \\ {\scriptstyle c}\uparrow \| & & {\scriptstyle c'}\uparrow \\ R\Gamma(\underline{C}, \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{loc^1_C} & \prod_{v\in|Z|} \tau_{\geq 1} R\Gamma(\underline{C}_v, \mathcal{A}_{|C_v}) \end{array}$$

in the derived category of $\underline{G}Mod(\mathbb{Z})$ has an canonical counterpart in the derived category of diagrams of $\underline{G}Mod(\mathbb{Z})$ of this form since it only uses truncation and functoriality with respect to the base. It follows from this remark that there is a canonical meaning for the mapping fiber of horizontal arrows and that there are canonical morphisms between them. The mapping fiber of the middle one is naturally isomorphic to $Sel(A/\underline{F})$ (use 4.5 (i), (ii)). By 4.5(ii), c' is an isomorphism and we thus have

$$Sel(A/\underline{K}) \simeq MF(loc^1_C) \simeq MF(sp^1_Z)$$

Now d being an isomorphism, we get a canonical distinguished triangle $MF(sp_Z) \to MF(sp^1_Z) \to MF(d') \to MF(sp_Z)[1]$, ie.

$$R\Gamma^Z(\underline{C}, \mathcal{A}) \to Sel(A/\underline{K}) \to H^0(Z, \mathcal{A}_{|Z}) \overset{+1}{\to}$$

The second distinguished triangle follows by applying $\mathbb{Z}/p^\cdot \otimes^L (-)[-1]$.

(iii) The first distinguished triangle is deduced from the second of (i) by applying $\mathbb{Z}/p^\cdot \otimes^L (-)[-1]$. For the second one, we observe that the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\Gamma(\underline{U}, \mathcal{A}_{|U}) & \xrightarrow{loc^1_U} & \prod_{v\in|Z|} \tau_{\geq 1} R\Gamma(\underline{U}_v, \mathcal{A}_{|U_v}) \\ {\scriptstyle e}\uparrow \| & & {\scriptstyle e'}\uparrow \\ R\Gamma(\underline{U}, \mathcal{A}_{|U}) & \xrightarrow{loc_U} & \prod_{v\in|Z|} R\Gamma(\underline{U}_v, \mathcal{A}_{|U_v}) \end{array}$$

has an obvious counterpart in the derived category of such diagrams since it only uses truncation and functoriality with respect to the base. This justifies working with functorial mapping fibers as in and (ii). We get a canonical distinguished triangle $MF(loc_U) \to MF(loc_U^1) \to MF(e') \to MF(loc_U)[1]$, ie.

$$R\Gamma_c(\underline{U}, \mathcal{A}_{|U}) \to Sel(A/\underline{K}) \to \prod_{v \in |Z|} H^0(\underline{U}_v, \mathcal{A}_{|U_v}) \stackrel{+1}{\to}$$

The second distinguished triangle follows by applying $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\cdot} \otimes^L (-)[-1]$.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\hat{A}/K$ denotes the abelian variety which is dual to A/K. There is a canonical duality isomorphism in $D^{[0,3]}({}_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{N_2})$:*

$$Sel_{p^{\cdot}}(\hat{A}/\underline{K}) \simeq Sel_{p^{\cdot}}(A/\underline{K})^{\vee}[-3]$$

where $(-)^{\vee}$ means the exact functor $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Proof. That $Sel_{p^{\cdot}}(\hat{A}/\underline{K})$ has no cohomology outside $[0,3]$ follows for instance from the third distinguished triangle in 4.7 (i) since K has strict cohomological dimension ≤ 3. Let us come to the duality isomorphism. A sketch of proof is given in [KT] for a weaker statement (cf *loc. cit* 2.4) by combining local duality over U_v, $v \in |Z|$ together with global duality over U. Here we concentrate on the ungrateful task of checking that it is indeed possible to prove the result in the setting of derived categories of normic systems. For this purpose referring to a collection of pairings at each level n and checking compatibilities is not sufficient. The main point is thus to build the required duality morphism in $D^b({}_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{N_2})$. That it is an isomorphism will follow from the compatibility of our construction with that of [Mi2], III.

For the purpose of the proof, let $B/K := \hat{A}/K$, $\mathcal{B}/C$ its Néron model and Ψ/C its component group. Recall ([SGA7] or [Mi2]) that the Poincaré biextension $P : \mathcal{A}_{|U} \otimes^L \mathcal{B}_{|U} \to \mathbb{G}_{m|U}[1]$ (which is essentially given by definition of $\hat{B}/F$) induces the Grothendieck pairing $G : z^{-1}\Phi \otimes z^{-1}\Psi \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ (essentially via $j_*\mathbb{G}_m[1] \to z_*\mathbb{Z}[1] \leftarrow z_*\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$). We know that P extends over C to a (unique) biextension $\mathcal{A}^{\Phi'} \otimes_L \mathcal{B}^{\Psi'} \to \mathbb{G}_m[1]$ as long as $\Phi' \subset \Phi$ and $\Psi' \subset \Psi$ are orthogonal with respect to G. Taking $(\Phi', \Psi') = (0, \Psi)$ and then $(\Phi, 0)$, we thus get a canonical commutative square (of sheaves on the small smooth site C_{sm})

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}xt^1_{C_{sm}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{G}_m) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}xt^1_{C_{sm}}(\mathcal{B}^0, \mathbb{G}_m) \end{array} \tag{19}$$

Our proof consists in three steps.

Step 1. The compatible pair of arrows (19) induces morphisms as follows:

$$[\mathcal{A}^0 \to \mathcal{A}] \to R\mathcal{H}om_{C_{sm}}([\mathcal{B}^0 \to \mathcal{B}], \mathbb{G}_m[1]) \qquad \text{in } D^b(C_{sm}^{[1]}) \tag{20}$$

$$R\Gamma(\underline{C}, [\mathcal{A}^0 \to \mathcal{A}]) \to R\Gamma(\underline{C}, [\mathcal{B}^0 \to \mathcal{B}])^{\vee}[-2] \quad \text{in } D^b({}_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{[1]}) \tag{21}$$

Let us indicate how to get these. The arrows of (19) give rise to

$$\begin{array}{rll} & [\mathcal{A}^0 \to \mathcal{A}] \to \mathcal{E}xt^1_{C_{sm}}([\mathcal{B}^0 \to \mathcal{B}], \mathbb{G}_m) & \text{in } Mod(C_{sm}^{[1]}, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \text{and thus to} & [\mathcal{A}^0 \to \mathcal{A}] \to (\tau_{\geq 1} R\mathcal{H}om_{C_{sm}}([\mathcal{B}^0 \to \mathcal{B}], \mathbb{G}_m))[1] & \text{in } D^b(C_{sm}) \end{array}$$

and (19) follows since $\mathcal{H}om_{C_{sm}}([\mathcal{B}^0 \to \mathcal{B}], \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$ (ref). Applying $R\Gamma(\underline{C}, -)$ we get

$$R\Gamma(\underline{C}, [\mathcal{A}^0 \to \mathcal{A}]) \to R\underline{Hom}_{C_{sm}}([\mathcal{B}^0 \to \mathcal{B}], \mathbb{G}_m)$$

where $\underline{Hom}_{C_{sm}}([M_1 \to M_2], M_3)$ means the object of ${}_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{[1]}$ whose nth component is $[Hom_{C_{n,sm}}(M_{2|C_n}, M_{3|C_n}) \to Hom_{C_{n,sm}}(M_{1|C_n}, M_{3|C_n})]$ with G_n acting by conjugation and where going up (resp. down) transition maps are given by restriction (resp. trace). We note that there is a natural morphism

$$\underline{Hom}_{C_{sm}}([M_1 \to M_2], M_3) \to Hom(\Gamma(\underline{C}, [M_1 \to M_2]), \Gamma(C, M_3))$$

which is bifunctorial with respect to $([M_1 \to M_2], M_3)$ where for $([N_1 \to N_2], N_3)$ in ${}_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{[1]} \times Mod(\mathbb{Z})$, $Hom([N_1 \to N_2], N_3)$ means the normic system whose nth component is $[Hom(N_{2,n}, N_3) \to Hom(N_{1,n}, N_3)]$ and where going down (resp. up) transition maps are deduced from the going up (resp. down) transition maps of N_2 and N_3. This natural transformation gives rise

$$R\underline{Hom}_{C_{sm}}([M_1 \to M_2], M_3) \to RHom(\Gamma(\underline{C}, [M_1 \to M_2]), \Gamma(C, M_3))$$

in $D^-({}_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{[1]})$ as long as $R\Gamma(C, M_3)$ is bounded. Applying this to $([\mathcal{B}^0 \to \mathcal{B}], \mathbb{G}_m)$ and using the trace morphism $R\Gamma(C, \mathbb{G}_m) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-2]$ finally gives (21) as claimed.

It is worth noting that the pairings on cohomology resulting from (21) are compatible with those of [Mi2], III. To state this fact precisely, let us fix any point closed point $v \in |C|$ as well as an open $U \subset C$ over which $\mathcal{A}$ has good reduction. Then it follows from the definitions that one has a natural commutative "diagram"

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H^q(Z_{n,v}, \Phi_{|Z_{n,v}}) & \times & H^{1-q}(Z_{n,v}, \Psi_{|Z_{n,v}}) & \xrightarrow{1} & H^1(Z_{n,v}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & & \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \wr\uparrow & & \\
H^q(C_{n,v}, \mathcal{A}_{|C_{n,v}}) & \times & H^{1-q}(C_{n,v}, \mathcal{B}_{|C_{n,v}}) & \xrightarrow{2} & H^2(C_{n,v}, j_{v,*}\mathbb{G}_m) & & \\
\uparrow & & \downarrow & & \wr\downarrow & & \\
H^q(U_{n,v}, A_{|U_{n,v}}) & \times & H^{1-q}(U_{n,v}, B_{|U_{n,v}}) & \xrightarrow{3} & H^2(U_{n,v}, \mathbb{G}_m) & & \\
\uparrow & & \downarrow & & \wr\downarrow & & \\
H^q(C_{n,v}, \mathcal{A}_{|C_{n,v}}) & \times & H^{2-q}_{Z_{n,v}}(C_{n,v}, \mathcal{B}^0_{|C_{n,v}}) & \xrightarrow{4} & H^3_{Z_{n,v}}(C_{n,v}, \mathbb{G}_m) & & \\
\uparrow & & \downarrow & & \wr\downarrow & & \\
H^q(C_n, \mathcal{A}_{|C_n}) & \times & H^{2-q}(C_n, \mathcal{B}^0_{|C_n}) & \xrightarrow{5} & H^3(C_n, \mathbb{G}_m) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{Tr} H^3(C, \mathbb{G}_m) & \simeq \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\
\downarrow & & \uparrow & & \wr\uparrow & & \\
H^q_c(U_n, \mathcal{A}_{|U_n, p^k}) & \times & H^{2-q}(U_n, \mathcal{B}^0_{|U_n, p^k}) & \xrightarrow{6} & H^3_c(U_n, \mathbb{G}_m) & &
\end{array}$$

where:

- pairings 1 and 2 are the ones occurring during the proof of [Mi2], III 7.11, ie. are analogous to those of *loc. cit.* lemma 2.4.
- pairing 3 (resp. 4, 6) pairing is the one occurring in [Mi2], III 7.8 (resp. 7.13, 8.2).
- pairing 5 is defined using the Yoneda pairing for Ext's and the vanishing of $\mathcal{H}om_{C_n}(\mathcal{B}^0, \mathbb{G}_m)$ (or alternatively, that of $\mathcal{H}om_{C_n}(\mathcal{B}^0, \mathbb{G}_m)$, cf [Mi2], prop C.4). By the restriction-corestriction formula, it coincides with the one induced by (21);

It can be checked that similar compatibilities hold in the derived category. The proof however is not so easy to write down. We will thus avoid it and use the following trick instead. The morphism (19) induces

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^k \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{A}[-1] \to R\mathcal{H}om_{C_{sm}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^k \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{B}^0, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

Now it it straightforward to check that this morphism induces compatible pairings $1', \ldots, 6'$ just as $1, \ldots, 6$ above with $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}^0$ respectively replaced by $\mathbb{Z}/p^k \otimes^L \mathcal{A}[-1]$ and $\mathbb{Z}/p^k \otimes^L \mathcal{B}^0$. Moreover, the resulting pairing

$$H^q(C_n, \mathbb{Z}/p^k \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_{|C_n}[-1]) \quad \times \quad H^{2-q}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}/p^k \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{B}^0_{|C_n}) \quad \overset{5'}{\to} \quad H^3(C_n, \mathbb{G}_m) \quad \underset{\sim}{\overset{Tr}{\to}} \quad H^3(C, \mathbb{G}_m) \quad \simeq \quad \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

coincides with the one deduced from (21) by applying $\mathbb{Z}/p^k \otimes^L (-)$.

Step 2. The morphism (20) induces a canonical morphism of distinguished triangles in $D^b(\underline{_G}Mod(\mathbb{Z}))$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Sel(A/\underline{K}) & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(\underline{C}, \mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\underline{Z}, \Phi_{|Z})[-1] & \xrightarrow{+1} & \\ {\scriptstyle a}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle b}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle c}\downarrow & & \\ Sel(B/\underline{K})^\vee[-2] & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(\underline{C}, \mathcal{B}^0)^\vee[-2] & \longrightarrow & H^0(\underline{Z}, \Psi_{|Z})^\vee[-1] & \xrightarrow{+1} & \end{array}$$

Let us explain this. Since it can be expressed in terms of truncation, functorial cones and natural transformation of functors in the variable $[M \to N] \in Mod(C_{sm}, \mathbb{Z})^{[1]}$, we observe that the following diagram of $D(\underline{_G}Mod(\mathbb{Z}))$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\Gamma(\underline{C}, N) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \tau_{\geq 1} C(R\Gamma(\underline{C}, [M \to N])) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R\Gamma(\underline{C}, N) & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & \tau_{\geq 1} C(R\Gamma(\underline{Z}, [z^{-1}M \to z^{-1}N])) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R\Gamma(\underline{C}, N) & \xrightarrow{loc_C^1} & \prod_{v \in |Z|} \tau_{\geq 1} R\Gamma(\underline{C}_v, N) \end{array}$$

has an obvious counterpart in the corresponding derived category of diagrams of this form. Applying this to $[M \to N] = [\mathcal{A}^0 \to \mathcal{A}]$ and forming mapping fibers of the horizontal arrows, we get canonical isomorphisms (using arguments already explained in the proof of 4.7):

$$MF(\beta) \overset{\sim}{\leftarrow} MF(\beta') \overset{\sim}{\to} MF(loc_C^1) \simeq Sel(A/\underline{K})$$

Consider the following diagram as a functor whose argument $[\underline{M} \xrightarrow{f} \underline{N}]$ varies in the category of complexes $C(\underline{_G}Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{[1]})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \tau_{\leq 1} MF(f) & \simeq & \tau_{\leq 1} MF(f) & & & & \\ \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \underline{\alpha}}\downarrow & & & & \\ MF(f) & \longrightarrow & \underline{M} & \xrightarrow{f} & \underline{N} & \longrightarrow & C(f) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tau_{\geq 2} MF(f) & \longrightarrow & MF(\underline{\beta}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{N} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\beta}} & \tau_{\geq 1} C(f) \end{array}$$

We remark the following:
- since the left vertical composed morphism is zero, so is the middle vertical one. Whence a canonical morphism $C(\underline{\alpha}) \to MF(\underline{\beta})$. As shown by the diagram, this is automatically a quasi-isomorphism.

- the functors $C(\underline{\alpha})$ and $MF(\underline{\beta})$ are exchanged by the exact contravariant autofunctor $(-)^\vee = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ of $C(_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{[1]})$. Indeed:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}(C(\alpha)[M \to N])^\vee & \simeq & (C([\tau_{\leq 1}MF(f) \to M]))^\vee \\ & \simeq & MF([M^\vee \to \tau_{\geq 1}C(f^\vee)]) \\ & \simeq & MF(\beta)[N^\vee \to M^\vee]\end{array}$$

Putting everything together we get in $D(_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z}))$:

$$\begin{array}{rcll}Sel(A/\underline{K}) & \simeq & MF(\beta)[\mathcal{A}^0 \to \mathcal{A}] & \\ & \simeq & MF(\underline{\beta})(R\Gamma(\underline{C}, [\mathcal{A}^0 \to \mathcal{A}])) & \\ & \to & MF(\underline{\beta})(R\Gamma(\underline{C}, [\mathcal{B}^0 \to \mathcal{B}])^\vee[-2]) & \text{(cf. (21))} \\ & \simeq & (C(\underline{\alpha})(R\Gamma(\underline{C}, [\mathcal{B}^0 \to \mathcal{B}])))^\vee[-2] & \\ & \simeq & (MF(\underline{\beta})(R\Gamma(\underline{C}, [\mathcal{B}^0 \to \mathcal{B}])))^\vee[-2] & \\ & \simeq & (MF(\underline{\beta})[\mathcal{B}^0 \to \mathcal{B}])^\vee[-2] & \\ & \simeq & Sel(B/\underline{K})^\vee[-2] & \end{array}$$

Step 3. The morphisms a, b, c of Step 2 become invertible when applied $\mathbb{Z}/p. \overset{L}{\otimes} (-)$.

It is of course sufficient to prove this for b and c. By [Mi2], III, 7.11, c itself is an isomorphism. Now the statement about b is equivalent to the horizontal arrow b' below being an isomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^q_c(U_n, \mathbb{Z}/p^k \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_{|U_n}[-1]) & \longrightarrow & H^{2-q}(U_n, \mathbb{Z}/p^k \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{B}^0_{|U_n})^\vee \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^q(C_n, \mathbb{Z}/p^k \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_{|C_n}[-1]) & \xrightarrow{b'} & H^{2-q}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}/p^k \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{B}^0_{|C_n})^\vee \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{v \in |Z_n|} H^q(C_{n,v}, \mathbb{Z}/p^k \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_{|C_{n,v}}[-1]) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in |Z_n|} H^{2-q}_{Z_{n,v}}(C_{n,v}, \mathbb{Z}/p^k \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{B}^0_{|C_{n,v}})^\vee \end{array}$$

This diagram commutes thanks to the compatibilities explained in Step 1 and its columns are long exact sequences as follows easily from the definition of compactly supported cohomology together with the complete excision property

$$\prod_{v \in |Z_n|} H^{2-q}_{Z_{n,v}}(C_{n,v}, \mathbb{Z}/p^k \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{B}^0_{|C_{n,v}}) \simeq H^{2-q}_{Z_n}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}/p^k \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathcal{B}^0_{|C_n}),$$

obtained by using [Mi1], III, 1.28 and [Mi2], III, 7.14. The first (resp. third) horizontal morphism is invertible thanks to [Mi2], III, 8.2 (resp. [Mi2], III 7.13) and the result follows.

□

Remark 4.9. *The proof does not require any assumption about the reduction of A at the points of Z.*

Corollary 4.10. *Consider the usual Selmer group*

$$Sel^1_{p^k}(A/K_n) := Ker\left(H^1(K_n, A_{|K_n, p^k}) \to \underset{v \in |C|}{\oplus} H^1(K_{n,v} A_{|K_{n,v}})\right)$$

and let $Sel^1_{p^\cdot}(A/\underline{F})$ *denote the object of* $Mod(_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z})^{N_2})$ *encapsulating the whole collection (together with the various natural transition morphisms) for varying* n, k. *The cohomology of the complex* $Sel_{p^\cdot}(A/\underline{K})$ *vanishes outside* $[0,3]$ *and is described as follows in this range:*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H^0 & = & A_{p^\cdot}(\underline{K}) \\ H^1 & = & Sel^1_{p^\cdot}(A/\underline{K}) \\ H^2 & \simeq & Sel^1_{p^\cdot}(\hat{A}/\underline{K})^\vee \\ H^3 & \simeq & \hat{A}_{p^\cdot}(\underline{K})^\vee \end{array}$$

Proof. The description of H^0 and H^1 follows easily from the following distinguished triangle (4.7 (i)):

$$Sel_{p^\cdot}(A/\underline{K}) \longrightarrow R\Gamma(\underline{K}, A_{p^\cdot}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v\in|C|} \mathbb{Z}/p^\cdot \overset{L}{\otimes} H^1(\underline{U}_v, A_{|U_v})[-2] \xrightarrow{+1}$$

whose associated long exact sequence of cohomology reads as the collection of the following ones for varying n, k:

$$\begin{array}{lllll} 0 \longrightarrow & H^0(Sel_{p^k}(A/K_n)) \longrightarrow & H^0(K_n, A_{p^k}) \longrightarrow & 0 & \\ \longrightarrow & H^1(Sel_{p^k}(A/K_n)) \longrightarrow & H^1(K_n, A_{p^k}) \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v\in|C|} Tor_1(\mathbb{Z}/p^k, H^1(K_{n,v}, A_{|K_{n,v}})) & \ldots \end{array}$$

(note that for any abelian group M, $Tor_1(\mathbb{Z}/p^k, M)$ is identified with the kernel of p^k on M. It it easy to check that modulo this identification the right arrow in the second exact sequence is induced from the localization map occurring in the definition of $Sel^1_{p^k}(A/K_n)$).

The description of H^2 and H^3 follows by duality thanks to 4.7 and 4.8.

□

Corollary 4.11. *In* $D^b(_{\underline{G}}Mod(\mathbb{Z}_p))$, *one has the duality isomorphism*

$$Sel_{T_p}(\hat{A}/\underline{K}) \simeq Sel_{p^\infty}(A/\underline{K})^\vee[-3]$$

and those complexes are concentrated in $[1,3]$.

Proof. Just apply $Rlimproj_k$ to 4.8 and notice that H^0 vanishes because for n fixed, $\hat{A}_{p^k}(K_n)$ is bounded independently of k.

□

Corollary 4.12. $R\Gamma^Z(\underline{C}, \mathcal{A}_{p^\cdot})$ *is concentrated in* $[0,3]$ *and its cohomology objects fit into compatible exact sequences and isomorphisms as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{l} H^0 \hookrightarrow A_{p^\cdot}(\underline{K}) \to \mathcal{A}_{p^\cdot}(\underline{Z}) \to H^1 \to Sel_{p^\cdot}(A/\underline{K}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\underline{Z})/p^\cdot \\ H^2 \simeq Sel_{p^\cdot}(\hat{A}/\underline{K})^\vee \\ H^3 \simeq \hat{A}_{p^\cdot}(\underline{K})^\vee \end{array}$$

Remark 4.13. *The relation to the complexes of* [KT] *is as follows.*

- The complex $Sel_{p^\infty}(A/K) = limind Sel_{p^k}(A/K)$ *coincides with* $R\Gamma_{ar}$ *in* loc. cit.

- The complex $limind_k R\Gamma^Z(C, \mathcal{A}_{p^k})$ *coincides with* $R\Gamma_{ar,V}$ *in* loc. cit. *for* $V = (V_v)_{v\in Z}$ *and* $V_v = Ker(A(K) \to \mathcal{A}(Z_v))$.

4.4. **Crystalline syntomic complexes.**

In this section we assume that A/K is semi-stable and we recall the main result of [TV] which will relate the Selmer complex of the previous section to the mapping fiber of some operator on the crystalline cohomology of the log Dieudonné crystal of A/K and produce two distinguished triangles that will play a key role in our proof of the main conjecture.

4.4.1. We keep the notations of the previous section (A/K, $\mathcal{A}/C$, U, Z, $\epsilon : C_{FL} \to C_{et}$) and we let furthermore $C^\sharp$ denote the log-scheme whose underlying scheme is C and whose log-structure is induced by Z. In the chapter 7 of [TV] is associated to the semi stable abelian variety A/K a log Dieudonné crystal (D, F, V). Recall that such object is a crystal of locally free $\mathcal{O}$-modules of finite rank in the crystalline small étale ringed topos $((C^\sharp/\mathbb{Z}_p)_{crys,et}, \mathcal{O})$ endowed with two operators $F : \sigma^* D \to D$, $V : D \to \sigma^* D$ (where σ denotes the endomorphism of $(C^\sharp/\mathbb{Z}_p)_{crys,et}$ induced by the absolute Frobenius of $C^\sharp$) such that $FV = VF = p$. This log-crystal extends the covariant Dieudonné crystal $(D_U(\mathcal{A}|_U), F, V)$ of [BBM] and is endowed with a canonical epimorphism

$$\pi : D \to Lie(\mathcal{A}),$$

where the Lie algebra $Lie(\mathcal{A})$ is seen as a crystalline sheaf ([TV], 5.35 (i)).

We set $Fil^1 D := Ker(\pi)$ and denote $\mathbf{1} : Fil^1 D \to D$ the canonical injection.

4.4.2. Let $u : (C^\sharp/\mathbb{Z}_p)_{crys,et} \to C_{et}$ denote the canonical projection of the crystalline topos on C_{et}. Following [TV], 5.4, we recall the construction of an operator

$$\phi : Ru_* Fil^1 D(-Z) \to Ru_* D(-Z),$$

where the twist by $-Z$ of a crystalline sheaf is defined in [TV], 4.6.

Consider $(U^\sharp_{[.]}, Y^\sharp_{[.]}, \iota_{[.]}, \tilde{\sigma})$ where $U^\sharp_{[.]}$ is a semisimplicial fine log-scheme above $C^\sharp$, $Y^\sharp_{[.]}$ is a semisimplicial p-adic formal log scheme with finite local p-bases over $Spf(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (in the sense of [TV], 4.23 (v)), endowed with a lifting of Frobenius $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\iota : U^\sharp_{[.]} \to Y^\sharp_{[.]}$ is a closed immersion. We denote $T^\sharp_{[.],n}$ the log pd envelope of $U^\sharp_{[.]}$ into the reduction $Y^\sharp_{[.],n}$ of $Y^\sharp_{[.]}$ modulo p^n and, for a crystalline sheaf E, $E_{T^\sharp_{[.],n}}$ the realization of the sheaf at the pd-thickening $(U^\sharp_{[.]}, T^\sharp_{[.],n})$. It is proved ([TV], Lemma 4.31) that we can always chose these data such that $(U^\sharp_{[.]}, T^\sharp_{[.],n})$ is a hypercovering in the topos $(C^\sharp/\mathbb{Z}_p)_{crys,et}$. In [TV], 5.49 it is proved that there exists a unique collection (ϕ_n) of $\tilde{\sigma}$-semi-linear morphisms of $\mathcal{O}_{T_{[.],n}}$-modules rendering the following diagram commutative for all n:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Fil^1 D(-Z)_{T^\sharp_{[.],n+1}}/p^n & \xrightarrow{\phi_n} & D(-Z)_{T^\sharp_{[.],n}} \\ \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow p \\ D(-Z)_{T^\sharp_{[.],n}} & \xrightarrow{Fr} & (\tilde{F})_* D(-Z)_{T^\sharp_{[.]}} \end{array}$$

(here Fr is the morphism built up from the operator F of D together with the lifting of frobenius $\tilde{\sigma}$ of T_n). Finally, we set

$$\phi := R\varprojlim_n Rf_{T_{[.],n},*}\phi_n,$$

where $f_{T_{[.],n}} : T_{[.],n,et} \to C_{et}$. By cohomological descent in the crystalline topos, this yields a morphism ([TV], Proposition 5.52, (ii) and Remark 5.56)

$$\phi : Ru_*Fil^1D(-Z) \to Ru_*D(-Z).$$

4.4.3. To simplify the notations, we set:

(i) $N := R\epsilon_*^Z R\varprojlim_n \mathcal{A}_{p^n}$,
(ii) $I := Ru_*Fil^1D(-Z)$,
(iii) $P := Ru_*D(-Z)$,
(iv) $L := Lie(\mathcal{A})(-Z)$.

All N, I, P, L are considered as objects of the derived category $D(C_{et}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

The main theorem of [TV] implies (note that ϵ_*^Z as defined in 4.1 coincides with the functor $\epsilon_*\underline{\Gamma}^Z$ used in *loc. cit.*):

Theorem 4.14. *(*[TV]*, Theorem 8.17) In $D^p(C_{et}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ there are canonical distinguished triangles as follows:*

$$N \to I \overset{1-\phi}{\to} P \overset{+1}{\to}$$
$$I \overset{1}{\to} P \to L \overset{+1}{\to}$$

4.4.4. For $X \in N, I, P, L$, we denote $\underline{X} := (X_n)$ the object $R\Gamma(\underline{C}, X) \in D^b(\underline{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod))$. Given a galois subextension K'/K of K_∞/K, we denote $X_{K'}$ (resp. $X^*_{K'}$) the derived projective limit of the projective system of complexes indexed by the finite subextensions of K'/K (eg. $X_{K_n} = X_n$, $X^*_{K_n} = X^*_n$, $X_{K_\infty} := R\varprojlim_n X_n$, $X^*_{K_\infty} := R\varprojlim_n RHom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(X_n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$). We have by 4.14 two pairs of distinguished triangles in $D(\Lambda)$:

(i) $N_{K_\infty} \to I_{K_\infty} \overset{1-\phi}{\to} P_{K_\infty} \overset{+1}{\to}$
(ii) $I_{K_\infty} \overset{1}{\to} P_{K_\infty} \to L_{K_\infty} \overset{+1}{\to}$

and

(i) $P^*_{K_\infty} \overset{1^*-\phi^*}{\to} I^*_{K_\infty} \to N^*_{K_\infty} \overset{+1}{\to}$
(ii) $L^*_{K_\infty} \to P^*_{K_\infty} \overset{1}{\to} I^*_{K_\infty} \overset{+1}{\to}$

In fact, the two cohomology theories X_{K_∞} and $X^*_{K_\infty}$ are related as follows:

Proposition 4.15. *Let $X \in N, I, P, L$. Then X_{K_∞} and $X^*_{K_\infty}$ are in $D^p(\Lambda)$. Moreover:*

(i) If $\underline{\Lambda} = (\mathbb{Z}[G_n])$ denotes the canonical normic system then we have in $D(\underline{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod))$ isomorphisms as follows:

$$\underline{\Lambda} \overset{L}{\otimes}_\Lambda X_{K_\infty} \simeq \underline{X} \qquad and \qquad \underline{\Lambda} \overset{L}{\otimes}_\Lambda X^*_{K_\infty} \simeq \underline{X}^*$$

(ii) We have an isomorphism in $D^p(\Lambda)$:

$$RHom_\Lambda(X^*_{K_\infty}, \Lambda) \simeq X_{K_\infty}.$$

Proof. Since C is proper and smooth, well known finiteness results imply that $\underline{L}$ and $\underline{P}$ satisfy the finiteness condition of 2.23. The perfectness statement follows directly from 2.23 for $X = L$ or P. It then follows from the distinguished triangle *(ii)* (resp. *(i)*) for $X = I$ (resp. N). The remaining statements follow from 2.23 as well (using [Va] 2.10.3 and 3.1).

□

5. The Main conjecture

5.1. The constant $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension.

Recall that $K_{ar} := Kk_\infty$ denotes the constant $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of K. During this paragraph, we index by k_n the n-th layer of k_∞/k.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\underline{W} := (W(k_n)) \in \underline{_G}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod)$ denote the normic system of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules formed by the Witt vectors along k_∞/k. Also, let $\underline{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (-) : \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod \to \underline{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod)$ denote the obvious functor. Then:*

(i) For $X \in \{I, P, L\}$, there is a canonical isomorphism in $D^b(\underline{_G}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod))$:

$$\underline{W} \overset{L}{\otimes} X_K \simeq \underline{X}$$

(ii) The morphism $\mathbf{1} : I_n \to P_n$ (resp. $\phi : I_n \to P_n$) is $W(k_n)$-linear (resp. $W(k_n)$-semi-linear with respect to the action of the absolute frobenius $\sigma : W(k_n) \to W(k_n)$). In particular, the morphism $\mathbf{1} - \phi : \underline{I} \to \underline{P}$ can be described at level n as $\lambda \otimes x_0 \mapsto \lambda \otimes \mathbf{1}x_0 - \sigma\lambda \otimes \phi x_0$.

Proof. (i) follows from the Zariski log-crystalline base change theorem (note that the base change map is well defined at the level complexes and thus makes sense in $D^b_{\underline{\Gamma}}(\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-}mod)$).

(ii) Linearity of $\mathbf{1}$ and semi-linearity of ϕ are immediate from the definitions given in [TV] 5.8.1. The second statement folllows.

□

Corollary 5.2. *Both $N_{K_{ar}}$ and $N^*_{K_{ar}}$ are torsion over $\Lambda(\Gamma)$, ie.*

$$Q(\Gamma) \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} N_{K_{ar}} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad Q(\Gamma) \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} N^*_{K_{ar}} = 0$$

Proof. Since by 4.15, we have $N_{K_{ar}} \simeq RHom_\Lambda(N^*_{K_{ar}}, \Lambda)$, it suffices to prove that $N_{K_{ar}}$ is torsion. Applying $\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (-)$ to the distinguished triangles of 4.4.3 gives rise to the distinguished triangle:

$$\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} N_{K_{ar}} \to \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} I_{K_{ar}} \overset{1-\phi}{\to} \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P_{K_{ar}} \to \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} N_{K_{ar}}[1]$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} N_{K_{ar}} \to W_\infty \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} I_K) \to W_\infty \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P_K) \to \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} N_{K_{ar}}[1]$$

Now $\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} L_{K_{ar}} = 0$, since $Lie(D)$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space and $\mathbf{1} : \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} I_{K_{ar}} \to \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} P_{K_{ar}}$ thus has an inverse. Since moreover $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $W_\infty := \varprojlim W(k_n)$ are $\mathbb{Z}_p$-flat, the long exact sequence of cohomology of the previous triangle can be written

$$\cdots \to \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^q(N_{K_{ar}}) \to W_\infty \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^q(P_K)) \overset{id - \phi\mathbf{1}^{-1}}{\to} W_\infty \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^q(P_K)) \to \dots$$

where $(id - \phi\mathbf{1}^{-1})(\lambda \otimes x) = \lambda \otimes x - \sigma\lambda \otimes \phi\mathbf{1}^{-1}x$.

To end the proof, we need the following result from σ-linear algebra:

Lemma 5.3. *If ψ is a linear endomorphism of a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space M then:*

(i) The kernel of $id-\sigma\otimes\psi$ on $W(k_n)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}M$ has $\mathbb{Q}_p$-dimension bounded by the number of unit eigenvalues of ψ (counted with multiplicities). In particular, its dimension is bounded independently of n.

(ii) The $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear endomorphism $id-\sigma\otimes\psi$ of $(\varprojlim W(k_n))\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}M)$ is injective.

Proof. (i) Set $L=Frac(W(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}))$. Since L^σ (the fixed points by σ) are reduced to $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $W(k_n)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}M\subset L\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}M$, [EL2] 6.2 gives the result.

(ii) Let Γ act on $\subset W(k_n)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}M$ via $W(k_n)$. Thanks to (i), we know that there is a open subgroup $\Gamma_m\subset\Gamma$ which fixes the kernel of $id-\sigma\otimes\psi$ acting on $W(k_n)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}M$ for all n. The result follows, since

$$(W_\infty\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}M)^{\Gamma_m}=(\varprojlim W(k_n)^{\Gamma_m})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}M=0.$$

□

Applying the lemma to $M=\mathbb{Q}_p\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}H^q(P_K)$ and $\psi=\phi\mathbf{1}^{-1}$ shows that the first distinguished triangle of 4.4.4 reduces to short exact sequences

$$0\to\mathbb{Q}_p\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}H^q(P_{K_{ar}})\overset{id-\psi}{\to}\mathbb{Q}_p\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}H^q(P_{K_{ar}})\to\mathbb{Q}_p\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}H^q(N_{K_{ar}})\to 0$$

and the result follows by applying $Q(\Gamma)\otimes_{\Lambda(\Gamma)}(-)$ to those, since $Q(\Gamma)\otimes_{\Lambda(\Gamma)}H^q(P_{K_{ar}})$ is a finite dimensional vector space over $Q(\Gamma)$.

□

Following [Ja], we set $E^q:=Ext^q_{\Lambda(\Gamma)}(-,\Lambda(\Gamma))$. In loc. cit. these functors (and others) are used to classify $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-modules up to isomorphism. Let us recall that, for a finitely generated $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-module they verify the following properties:

- $E^0(M)$ is free. It is zero if and only if M is torsion.
- $E^1(M)$ is torsion and is (non canonically) pseudo-isomorphic to M. It has no non zero finite submodules if M is torsion.
- $E^2(M)$ is finite.

Corollary 5.4. *$N_{K_{ar}}$ and $N^*_{K_{ar}}$ can be described as follows:*
1. (i) $N_{K_{ar}}$ is concentrated in degrees $[1,3]$ and its cohomology $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-modules are described by the following exact sequence and isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
&H^1(N_{K_{ar}})\hookrightarrow\varprojlim_n Sel_{T_p}(A/Kk_n)\twoheadrightarrow\varprojlim_{k,n}\prod_{v\in Z}\mathcal{A}(k_vk_n)/p^k\\
&H^2(N_{K_{ar}})\simeq Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\varinjlim_n Sel_{p^\infty}(\hat{A}/Kk_n),\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)\\
&H^3(N_{K_{ar}})\simeq Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\varinjlim_n\hat{A}_{p^\infty}(Kk_n),\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Moreover, one has the following isomorphisms and exact sequences of $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & H^1(N_{K_{ar}}) & \simeq & E^1(H^0(N^*_{K_{ar}}))\\
E^2(H^0(N^*_{K_{ar}})) & \hookrightarrow & H^2(N_{K_{ar}}) & \twoheadrightarrow & E^1(H^{-1}(N^*_{K_{ar}}))\\
E^2(H^{-1}(N^*_{K_{ar}})) & \hookrightarrow & H^3(N_{K_{ar}}) & \twoheadrightarrow & E^1(H^{-2}(N^*_{K_{ar}}))
\end{array}$$

2. (i) $N^*_{K_{ar}}$ is concentrated in degrees $[-2,0]$ and its cohomology $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-modules are described by the following exact sequence and isomorphism:

$$H^{-2}(N^*_{K_{ar}}) \simeq \varprojlim_n Sel_{T_p}(\hat{A}/Kk_n)$$
$$Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\varinjlim_n Sel_{p^\infty}(A/Kk_n), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \hookrightarrow H^{-1}(N^*_{K_{ar}}) \to Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\varinjlim_n \oplus_{v\in Z} \mathcal{A}_{p^\infty}(k_v k_n), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$$
$$\to Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\varinjlim_n A_{p^\infty}(Kk_n), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(N^*_{K_{ar}})$$

(ii) Moreover, one has the following isomorphisms and exact sequences of $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^{-2}(N^*_{K_{ar}}) & \simeq & E^1(H^3(N_{K_{ar}})) \\ E^2(H^3(N_{K_{ar}})) & \hookrightarrow & H^{-1}(N^*_{K_{ar}}) & \twoheadrightarrow & E^1(H^2(N_{K_{ar}})) \\ E^2(H^2(N_{K_{ar}})) & \hookrightarrow & H^0(N^*_{K_{ar}}) & \twoheadrightarrow & E^1(H^1(N_{K_{ar}})) \end{array}$$

Proof. The description of cohomology follows from 4.12 (recall that $\underline{N} = Rlimproj_k R\Gamma^Z(\underline{C}, \mathcal{A}_{p^k})$ while $\underline{N}^* = RHom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\underline{N}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq RHom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(limind_k R\Gamma^Z(\underline{C}, \mathcal{A}_{p^k}))$) using only that $A_{p^\infty}(Kk_n)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{p^\infty}(k_v k_n)$ are finite. The stated relations between $N_{K_{ar}}$ and $N^*_{K_{ar}}$ follow from Theorem 4.15 thanks to the spectral sequence

$$\begin{array}{lccc} & Ext^p_{\Lambda(\Gamma)}(H^{-q}(N^*_{K_{ar}}), \Lambda(\Gamma)) & \Rightarrow & Ext^{p+q}_{\Lambda(\Gamma)}(N^*_{K_{ar}}, \Lambda(\Gamma)) \\ (\text{resp.} & Ext^p_{\Lambda(\Gamma)}(H^{-q}(N_{K_{ar}}), \Lambda(\Gamma)) & \Rightarrow & Ext^{p+q}_{\Lambda(\Gamma)}(N_{K_{ar}}, \Lambda(\Gamma)) \quad) \end{array}$$

which degenerates by Corollary 5.2.

□

Proposition 5.5. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $N_{K_{ar}}$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (that is for any i, $H^i(N_{K_{ar}})$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module).

(ii) $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\varinjlim Sel(\hat{A}_{p^\infty}/Kk_n), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

(iii) $N^*_{K_{ar}}$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

(iv) $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\varinjlim Sel(A_{p^\infty}/Kk_n), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proof. $(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$ follows from the previous corollary. Indeed if M is any $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-module then $E^i(M)$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ as soon as M is. The remaining equivalence are obvious, because the p-rank of torsion points remains bounded along the tower.

□

From now on, we will often need to make the following assumption:

($\mu = 0$ - up to iso): There exists an abelian variety A'/K which isogenous to A/K and verifies the equivalent conditions of 5.5.

Remark 5.6. *(i) What we know about this assumption is the following:*

- It holds for constant varieties except for supersingular abelian variety with non-invertible Hasse-witt matrix (see [OT]*, Theorem 1.8).*

- If it hold for A/K, and K'/K is a finite unramified extension, then it also holds for A/K'.

(ii) In characteristic 0, it is generally expected that the analogue of ($\mu = 0$-up to iso) always holds for elliptic curves (Greenberg, Iwasawa theory, projective modules and modular representations p. 9).

5.2. **The case of a p-adic Lie extension.**

Let us begin by a cheap result.

Proposition 5.7. *Corollary 5.4 1. (i) and 2. (i) holds verbatim if one replaces Kk_n by K_n and $N_{K_{ar}}$ and $N^*_{K_{ar}}$ respectively by N_{K_∞} and $N^*_{K_\infty}$.*

□

To go further we need the following lemma which (partly) is the derived version of Nakayama's lemma.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $M \in D^p(\Lambda(G))$, then M is S-torsion (ie. $\Lambda_S \overset{L}{\otimes} M = 0$) if and only $\Lambda(\Gamma) \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G)} M \in D^p(\Lambda(\Gamma))$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.*

Proof. First assume that M is a finitely generated $\Lambda(G)$-module. In this case, the following statements are equivalent:

(*i*) M is S-torsion.

(*ii*) M is finitely generated over $\Lambda(H)$.

(*iii*) $\Lambda(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} M$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

(*iv*) each $Tor_p^{\Lambda(G)}(\Lambda(\Gamma), M)$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Indeed (*i*) $\Leftrightarrow$ (*ii*) is [CFKSV] 2.3, (*ii*) $\Leftrightarrow$ (*iii*) is the topological version of Nakayama's lemma for the ring $\Lambda(H)$ and the compact $\Lambda(H)$-module M (note that $\Lambda(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_{\Lambda(H)} M$). Finally (*iii*) $\Leftrightarrow$ (*iv*) is an immediate consequence of the fact that M admits a resolution by a perfect complex of $\Lambda(H)$-modules as soon as it is finitely generated over $\Lambda(H)$.

Now we come to the general case. As in the case of modules, the implication "M is S-torsion" $\Rightarrow$ "$\Lambda(\Gamma) \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G)} M$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$" is immediate. It thus remains to prove the reciprocal implication. Assume thus that $\Lambda(\Gamma) \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G)} M$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, ie. that the final terms of the spectral sequence

$$Tor_p^{\Lambda(G)}(\Lambda(\Gamma), H^q(M)) \Rightarrow Tor_{p-q}(\Lambda(\Gamma), M)$$

are. Then using the above equivalence (*iii*) $\Leftrightarrow$ (*iv*) for the module $H^q(M)$ as well as a descending induction on q, one gets that each initial term must also be finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (see [Va] 4.1 for details).

□

Proposition 5.9. *Under the assumption ($\mu = 0$ - up to iso), N_{K_∞} and $N^*_{K_\infty}$ are both S^*-torsion.*

Proof. Let $A \to A'$ be an isogeny over K such that A' verifies ($\mu = 0$). Then clearly

$$\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes^L_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Rlimproj R\Gamma^Z(C, T_p(\mathcal{A})) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes^L_{\mathbb{Z}_p} Rlimproj R\Gamma^Z(C, T_p(\mathcal{A}'))$$

and we may thus assume that $A = A'$. By Theorem 4.15, N_{K_∞} (resp. $N^*_{K_\infty}$) is perfect and $\underline{N}$ (resp. $\underline{N^*}$). Taking $R\varprojlim$ along Kk_∞/K thus gives

$$\Lambda(\Gamma) \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G)} N_{K_\infty} \simeq N_{K_{ar}} \qquad \text{(resp.} \qquad \Lambda(\Gamma) \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G)} N^*_{K_\infty} \simeq N^*_{K_{ar}} \quad)$$

and the result now follows directly from the previous lemma.

□

Remark 5.10. *Thanks to the previous proposition we may form the classes* $[N_{K_\infty}]$ *and* $[N^*_{K_\infty}]$ *of* N_{K_∞} *and* $N^*_{K_\infty}$ *in* $K_0(\mathcal{M}_G(H))$.

Corollary 5.11. *Under the assumption* ($\mu = 0$ - *up to iso*) *each one of the following morphisms of* $D^p(\Lambda(G)_{S^*})$

$$\mathbf{1},\ \mathbf{1}-\phi : (I_{K_\infty})_{S^*} \to (P_{K_\infty})_{S^*} \quad , \qquad \mathbf{1}^*,\ (\mathbf{1}-\phi)^* : (P^*_{K_\infty})_{S^*} \to (I^*_{K_\infty})_{S^*}$$

induced by $\mathbf{1},\ \mathbf{1}-\phi : \underline{I} \to \underline{P}$, *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. For $\mathbf{1}$, this is due to the fact that $Lie(D)(-Z)$, and thus L_{K_∞}, is killed by p. For $\mathbf{1}-\phi$, this is a direct consequence of the previous proposition.

□

We are now in position to define the "p-adic L-function" (as well as its dual version) which appears in the main conjecture.

Definition 5.12. *Under the assumption* ($\mu = 0$), *the p-adic L-function* $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(A, U, G)$ *and it dual version* $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L}^*(A, U, G)$ *are defined as follows:*
(*i*) $\mathcal{L} := Det((\mathbf{1}-\phi)\mathbf{1}^{-1}|\,(P_{K_\infty})_{S^*})^{-1} \in K_1(\Lambda_{S^*})$.
(*ii*) $\mathcal{L}^* := Det((\mathbf{1}^*)^{-1}(\mathbf{1}-\phi)^*|\,(P^*_{K_\infty})_{S^*})^{-1} \in K_1(\Lambda_{S^*})$.

Remark 5.13. (*i*) *The notation* $\mathcal{L}^*$ *is justified by the fact that it is deduced from* $\mathcal{L}$ *via the duality involution of* $K_1(\Lambda(G)_{S^*})$ *(with the convention that duals are viewed as left modules via* $g \mapsto g^{-1}$*). Indeed* $(P^*_{K_\infty})_{S^*} \simeq RHom_{\Lambda_{S^*}}((P^*_{K_\infty})_{S^*}, \Lambda_{S^*})$ *and* $(\mathbf{1}^*)^{-1}(\mathbf{1}-\phi)^*$ *is* $RHom_{\Lambda_{S^*}}(-, \Lambda_{S^*})$*-dual to* $(\mathbf{1}-\phi)\mathbf{1}^{-1}|\,(P_{K_\infty})_{S^*}$.
(*ii*) *Thanks to the previous remark and lemma 3.5, we see that for any Artin representation* ρ

$$\rho(\mathcal{L}) = \rho^\vee(\mathcal{L}^*)$$

Let us now review how one classically attaches twisted L-functions to A, U via overconvergent isocrystals. By [LST], the log Dieudonné crystal D over $C^\sharp$ induces an overconvergent F-isocrystal $D^\dagger$ over $U/\mathbb{F}_p$. Let L be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ which is *totally ramified*, O its ring of integers, and consider an Artin representation $\rho : G \to Aut_O(V_\rho)$. Chose n such that ρ factors through $G_n = Gal(U_n/U)$. Then we can see ρ as a O-representation of the fundamental group of U, having finite (in fact trivial) local monodromy. This representation corresponds to a unique unit-root overconvergent F-isocrystal $U(\rho)^\dagger$ over U/L (see e.g. [Tsz], 7.2.3) which becomes constant over U_n. Consider

$$pr^* : F-iso^\dagger(U/\mathbb{Q}_p) \to F-iso^\dagger(U/L)$$

the natural base change functor from the category of overconvergent F isocrystals over $U/\mathbb{Q}_p$ to the category of overconvergent F isocrystals over U/L. Then we set

$$L(U, A, \rho, s) := L(U, pr^*D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho)^\dagger, p^{-s})$$

where the right hand side is the classical L-function defined in [EL1] associated to the F-isocrystal $pr^*D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho)^\dagger$.

The rest of this section will be devoted to proving the following theorem, which is our main result:

Theorem 5.14. *The p-adic L-function and its dual version verify the following properties.*
1. (Char) In $K_0(\mathcal{M}_G(H))$, one has the following equalities:
(i) $\partial(\mathcal{L}) = [N_{K_\infty}] + [L_{K_\infty}]$.
(ii) $\partial(\mathcal{L}^*) = -[N^*_{K_\infty}] - [L^*_{K_\infty}]$.
2. (Interpolation): For any totally ramified extension L of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with ring of integers denoted O and every $\rho : G \to Aut_O(V)$ with contragredient $\rho^\vee : G \to Aut_O(V^*)$, one has, in $L \cup \{\infty\}$:
(i) $\rho(\mathcal{L}) = L(U, A, \rho^\vee, 1)$.
(ii) $\rho(\mathcal{L}^*) = L(U, A, \rho, 1)$.

Proof. 1. (i) According to lemma 3.3 there exists a commutative square of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_{K_\infty} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{1}-\phi} & P_{K_\infty} \\ {\scriptstyle \mathbf{1}}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_{K_\infty} & \longrightarrow & P_{K_\infty} \end{array}$$

whose edges all become isomorphisms after localization by S^*. The claimed equality follows from 3.2 since $[C(\mathbf{1}-\phi)] = [N_{K_\infty}[1]] = -[N_{K_\infty}]$ and $[C(\mathbf{1})] = [L_{K_\infty}]$. Here, the existence of such a diagram is ensured by lemma 3.3. The proof of (ii) is similar using the dual distinguished triangles describing $N^*_{K_\infty}$ and $L^*_{K_\infty}$.

2. Note that the formula (ii) follows from (i) by 5.13 (ii). In order to prove (i) we begin with preliminary results.

Proposition 5.15. *There is canonical isomorphism as follows in the derived category of L-vector spaces*

$$L\overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda_O(G)}(V_{\Lambda_O(G)})^*\overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G)}P_{K_\infty} \simeq R\Gamma_{rig,c}(U/L, pr^*D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho^\vee))$$

(here the $(\Lambda_O(G), \Lambda(G))$-bimodule structure of $(V_{\Lambda_O(G)})^*$ is as in the definition of the map $\rho : K_1(\Lambda(G)) \to K_1(O)$, see. paragraph 3.4). The action of $\phi\mathbf{1}^{-1}$ on the left hand term corresponds moreover to the action of Frobenius divided by p on the right hand side.

Proof. We have isomorphisms as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} L\overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda_O(G)}(V_{\Lambda_O(G)})^*\overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda_O(G)}P_{K_\infty} & \overset{1}{\simeq} & L\overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda_O(G)}(V^*\overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}P_{K_\infty}) \\ & \overset{2}{\simeq} & L\overset{L}{\otimes}_{L[G_n]}(V_L^*\overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}P_n) \\ & \overset{3}{\simeq} & L\overset{L}{\otimes}_{L[G_n]}(V_L^*\overset{L}{\otimes}_{L}R\Gamma_{rig,c}(U_n/L, pr^*D^\dagger)) \\ & \overset{4}{\simeq} & R\Gamma_{rig,c}(U/L, pr^*D \otimes U^\dagger(\rho^\vee)) \end{array}$$

Here the isomorphism 1 is by 3.4 (i), 2 is obvious and 4 follows from etale cohomological descent for compactly supported rigid cohomology [CT] together with the definition of $U(\rho^\vee)$. The isomorphism 3 follows from the fact that in $D(L[G_n])$ we have a Frobenius compatible isomorphism as follows:

$$L\overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}R\Gamma(C_n^\sharp/\mathbb{Z}_p, D(-Z)) \simeq R\Gamma_{rig,c}(U_n/L, pr^*D^\dagger)$$

Let us explain the latter isomorphism. Since (compactly supported) rigid cohomology is compatible to base change from $\mathbb{Q}_p$ to L we may assume that $L = \mathbb{Q}_p$ (note that the Frobenius is L-linear since $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is totally ramified) and then apply the following lemma to the diagram having $C_n^\sharp$ as only vertex and edges indexed by G_n.

Lemma 5.16. *Consider a diagram (see* [TV] *def. 2.1) X/Δ of proper smooth curves over a perfect field k, Z a smooth divisor of X, $X^\sharp = (X, Z)$, $Y = X - Z$, E an F-crystal on $X^\sharp$ and $E^\dagger$ the induced overconvergent F-isocrystal (*[LST]*). If Δ satisfies the finiteness condition of* [TV] *Lemma 5.7 then we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{Q}_p \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R\Gamma(X^\sharp/\mathbb{Z}_p, E(-Z)) \simeq R\Gamma_{rig,c}(Y, E^\dagger)$$

in $D((\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-}mod)^\Delta)$. This isomorphism is compatible with Frobenius.

Proof. By using Poincaré duality on both sides of the isomorphism, we are reduced to establish an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q}_p \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R\Gamma(X^\sharp/\mathbb{Z}_p, E) \simeq R\Gamma_{rig}(Y, E^\dagger)$$

in $D((\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-}mod)^{\Delta^{op}})$. Moreover the compatibility with Frobenius is a formal consequence of the rest since one can replace the diagram X by the diagram $Frob : X \to X$ of type $\Delta \times [1]$. Using cohomological descent on both the crystalline and the rigid side (see [CT]), we can always assume given an exact immersion $X^\sharp \subset P^\sharp$ into a log smooth formal log scheme $P^\sharp/W(k)$ as in [TV] Lemma 5.7. Then, after tensorisation by $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the crystalline cohomology of E can be computed (see [Sh] and [Tr], proof of proposition 3.3) as the log de Rham cohomology on the tube $]X[_P$ of the associated module with connection E_K ($K = Frac(W(k))$ and maps naturally to the de Rham cohomology on V, some strict neighborhood of $]Y[_P$ in $]X[_P$, of $E_K|_V$, which is nothing but the rigid cohomology of $E^\dagger$. We have then constructed a map

$$\mathbb{Q}_p \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R\Gamma(X^\sharp/\mathbb{Z}_p, E) \to R\Gamma_{rig}(Y, E^\dagger).$$

To show that this map is an isomorphism, we need to show that this is the case on each vertex of the diagram. Now we can always assume given a proper smooth lifting of each vertex (they need not be compatible) and we conclude using [LST], 4.2 and [Tsj], 1.5.

□

Proposition 5.17. [EL2] *Let $E^\dagger \in F - iso^\dagger(U/\mathbb{Q}_p)$.*

$$L(U, E^\dagger, \rho, t) = \prod_{i=0}^{2} det_L(1 - tF | H^i_{rig,c}(U/L, pr^* D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho)^\dagger)^{(-1)^{i+1}}$$

□

Now we can prove the interpolation formula 5.14,2.(i). For simplicity, we set

$${}_RP := R \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda_O(G)} (V_{\Lambda_O(G)})^* \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G)} P_{K_\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad {}_RH^q := H^q({}_RP)$$

for any $\Lambda_O(G)$-algebra R. Note that ${}_{R'}H^q \simeq R' \otimes_R {}_RH^q$ if R'/R is flat.

Applied to P_{K_∞}, lemma 3.6 gives

$$\rho_{Q_O(\Gamma)} \mathcal{L} = \prod_q det_{Q_O(\Gamma)}((\mathbf{1} - \phi)\mathbf{1}^{-1}|_{Q_O(\Gamma)} H^q)^{(-1)^{q+1}} \tag{22}$$

and lemma 3.7 applied to ${}_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}H^q$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon det_{Q_O(\Gamma)}((\mathbf{1} - \phi)\mathbf{1}^{-1}|_{Q_O(\Gamma)} H^q) &= det_L((\mathbf{1} - \phi)\mathbf{1}^{-1} | Tor_0^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, {}_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}H^q)) \\ &\quad . det_L((\mathbf{1} - \phi)\mathbf{1}^{-1} | Tor_1^{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}(L, {}_{\Lambda_O(\Gamma)_I}H^q))^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

whenever the right hand side makes sense (recall that the map ϵ was defined in (15)).

Now 5.15 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{rig,c}(U/L, pr^*D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho^\vee)) &\simeq {}_L P \\ &\simeq L \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}} P \end{aligned}$$

Whence a spectral sequence which degenerates into short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & H^2_{rig,c}(U/L, pr^*D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho^\vee)) & \twoheadrightarrow & Tor_0^{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}(L, {}_{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}H^2) \\ Tor_1^{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}(L, {}_{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}H^2) & \hookrightarrow & H^1_{rig,c}(U/L, pr^*D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho^\vee)) & \twoheadrightarrow & Tor_0^{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}(L, {}_{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}H^1) \\ Tor_1^{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}(L, {}_{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}H^1) & \hookrightarrow & H^0_{rig,c}(U/L, pr^*D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho^\vee)) & \twoheadrightarrow & Tor_0^{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}(L, {}_{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}H^0) \\ Tor_1^{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}(L, {}_{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}H^0) & = & 0 & & & & \end{array} \tag{24}$$

Claim. The operator $(\mathbf{1}-\phi)\mathbf{1}^{-1}$ is bijective on each $Tor_{-i}^{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}(L, {}_{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}H^j))$ except maybe for $(i,j)=(0,1)$. Moreover, the operator $1-p^{-1}F$ is bijective on $H^q_{rig,c}(U/L, pr^*D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho^\vee))$ except maybe for $q=1$.

Let us prove this claim. First we observe that the second statement implies the first one since by Nakayama's lemma, $(\mathbf{1}-\phi)\mathbf{1}^{-1}$ is bijective on ${}_{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}H^j$ if and only if it is on $Tor_0^{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}(L, {}_{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}H^j)$, in which case it is also bijective on $Tor_1^{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}(L, {}_{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}H^j))$. Now the second claim follows from the long exact sequence (cf. theorem 4.14 and the isomorphism between the third and last term at the beginning of the proof of 5.15)

$$L \otimes_{L[G_n]} (V_L^* \overset{L}{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} N_n) \;\to\; H^q_{rig,c}(U/L, pr^*D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho^\vee)) \;\xrightarrow{1-p^{-1}F}\; H^q_{rig,c}(U/L, pr^*D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho^\vee)) \;\to\; \dots$$

since by 4.12 $\mathbb{Q} \otimes N_n$ is concentrated in degrees $[1,2]$.

We may now conclude since the claim ensures that no indeterminate product of the form $0.\infty$ occurs in:

- the formula obtained by combining (22) with (23) (note that the left had term is nothing but $\rho(\mathcal{L})$.

$$\epsilon\rho_{Q_O(\Gamma)}(\mathcal{L}) \prod_q det_{Q_O(\Gamma)}((\mathbf{1}-\phi)\mathbf{1}^{-1}|_{Q_O(\Gamma)}H^q)^{(-1)^{q+1}} = \prod_{i,j} det_L((\mathbf{1}-\phi)\mathbf{1}^{-1}|Tor_{-i}^{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}(L, {}_{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}H^j))^{(-1)^{i+j+1}}$$

- the formula furnished by the exact sequences (24)

$$\prod_{i,j} det_L((\mathbf{1}-\phi)\mathbf{1}^{-1}|Tor_{-i}^{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}(L, {}_{\Lambda_{O(\Gamma)_I}}H^j))^{(-1)^{i+j+1}} = \prod_q det_L(1-p^{-1}F|H^q_{rig,c}(U/L, pr^*D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho^\vee))^{(-1)^{(q+1)}}$$

- the formula given by lemma 5.17

$$\prod_q det_L(1-p^{-1}F|H^j_{rig,c}(U/L, pr^*D^\dagger \otimes U(\rho^\vee))^{(-1)^{(q+1)}} = L(U, A, \rho^\vee, 1).$$

□

Remark 5.18. *(i) In the above proof we have retrieved the well known fact that* $\rho(\mathcal{L}) = L(U, A, \rho^\vee, 1)$ *may take the value* 0*, but not* ∞*.*

(ii) In [LLTT1]*, the author considers the complex* $RHom_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\underline{L}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) = \underline{L}^*[1]$ *instead of* $\underline{L}^*$*. This explains the difference of signs between formula 1. (ii) and those of loc. cit.*

References

[Ba] H. Bass, *Algebraic, K-Theory*, W. A. Benjamin, INC, 1968.

[BBM] P. Berthelot, L. Breen, W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline. II*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **930**, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[CFKSV] J. Coates, T. Fukaya, K. Kato, R. Sujatha, O. Venjakob, *The GL_2 main conjecture for elliptic curves without complex multiplication*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etud. Sci. **101**, 163-208, 2005.

[CT] B. Chiarellotto, N. Tsuzuki, *Cohomological descent of rigid cohomology for étale coverings*, Rand. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova. **109**, 63-215, 2003.

[EL1] J. Etesse, B. Le Stum, *Fonctions L associées aux F-isocristaux surconvergents I:Interprétation cohomologique*, Math. Ann. **296**, no. 3, 557-576, 1993.

[EL2] J. Etesse, B. Le Stum, *Fonctions L associées aux F-isocristaux surconvergents II: Zéros et pôles unités*, Invent. Math. **127**, no. 1, 1-31, 1997.

[FK] T. Fukaya, K. Kato, *A formulation of conjectures on p-adic zeta functions in noncommutative Iwasawa theory*, Proceedings of the St. Petersburg Mathematical Society. Vol. XII, 1-85, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **219**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[Ja] U. Jannsen, *Iwasawa modules up to isomorphism*, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **17**, 171-207, 1989.

[KT] K. Kato, F. Trihan, *On the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer in characteristic $p > 0$*, Invent. Math. **153**, 537–592, 2003.

[Kn] F. Knudsen, *Determinant Functors on Exact Categories and Their Extensions to Categories of Bounded Complexes*, Michigan Math. J. **50**, 407-444, 2002.

[LST] B. Le Stum, F. Trihan, *Log cristaux et surconvergence*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **51**, no. 5, 1189-1207, 2001.

[LLTT1] I. Longhi, K. Lai, K. Tan, F. Trihan, *The Iwasawa main conjecture for semistable abelian varieties over function fields*, Math. Z. **282**, no. 1-2, 485-510, 2016.

[La] S. Lang, *Algebraic groups over finite fields*, American Jour. of Math. **78**, 555–563, 1956.

[Mi1] J.S. Milne, *étale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series **33**, Princeton University Press, 1980.

[Mi2] J.S. Milne, *Arithmetic duality theorems*, Perspectives in Mathematics **1**, Academic Press, 1986.

[OT] T. Ochiai anf F. Trihan, *On the selmer groups of abelian varieties over function fields of characteristic $p > 0$*, Mathematical Proceedings Cambridge Philosophical Society **146**, 23-43, 2009.

[SGA4] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 1: Théorie des topos. Séminaire de Géometrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963-1964 (SGA4). Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **269**, 1972.

[SGA7] A. Grothendieck, M. Raynaud, D.S. Rim, *Groupe de monodromie en Géométrie Algébrique*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **288**, 1972.

[Sh] A. Shiho, *Crystalline fundamental groups. II. Log convergent cohomology and rigid cohomology*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **9**, no. 1, 1-163, 2002.

[Tr] F. Trihan, *Fonction L de Hasse-Weil d'une variété abélienne sur un corps de fonction algébrique à réduction semi-stable*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **9**, no. 2, 279-301, 2002.

[TV] F. Trihan, D. Vauclair, *A comparison theorem for semi abelian schemes over a smooth curve*, preprint arXiv:1505.02942, 2015.

[Tsj] T. Tsuji, *Poincaré duality for logarithmic crystalline cohomology*, Compositio Math. **118**, no. 1, 11-41. 1999.

[Tsz] N. Tsuzuki, *Finite local monodromy of overconvergent unit-root F-isocrystals on a curve.*, Amer. J. Math. **120**, no. 6, 1165-1190, 1998.

[TW] J. Thevenaz, P. Webb, *The structure of Mackey functors*, Trans. AMS **347**, no. 6, 1865-1961, 1995.

[Va] D. Vauclair, *Sur la dualité et la descente d'Iwasawa*, Ann. de l'Inst. Fourier 59, no. 1, 691-767, 2009.

Department of Information and Communication Sciences, Sophia University, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 102-0081, Japan

E-mail address: f-trihan-52m@sophia.ac.jp

Departement de Mathematiques, Universite de Caen, Esplanade de la Paix / BP 5186 / 14032 Caen Cedex France

E-mail address: vauclair@math.unicaen.fr